

# You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

**Title:** Methane-yielding microbial communities processing lactate-rich substrates : a piece of the anaerobic digestion puzzle

Author: Anna Detman, Damian Mielecki, Łukasz Pleśniak, Michał Bucha, Marek Janiga, Irena Matyasik i in.

**Citation style:** Detman Anna, Mielecki Damian, Pleśniak Łukasz, Bucha Michał, Janiga Marek, Matyasik Irena i in. (2018). Methane-yielding microbial communities processing lactate-rich substrates : a piece of the anaerobic digestion puzzle. "Biotechnology for Biofuels" (Vol. 11, iss. 1 (2018), art. no. 116), doi 10.1186/s13068-018-1106-z



Uznanie autorstwa - Licencja ta pozwala na kopiowanie, zmienianie, rozprowadzanie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie pod warunkiem oznaczenia autorstwa.



Biblioteka Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

### RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



# Methane-yielding microbial communities processing lactate-rich substrates: a piece of the anaerobic digestion puzzle

Anna Detman<sup>1</sup>, Damian Mielecki<sup>1</sup>, Łukasz Pleśniak<sup>1</sup>, Michał Bucha<sup>2</sup>, Marek Janiga<sup>3</sup>, Irena Matyasik<sup>3</sup>, Aleksandra Chojnacka<sup>1</sup>, Mariusz-Orion Jędrysek<sup>4</sup>, Mieczysław K. Błaszczyk<sup>5</sup> and Anna Sikora<sup>1\*</sup><sup>®</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** Anaerobic digestion, whose final products are methane and carbon dioxide, ensures energy flow and circulation of matter in ecosystems. This naturally occurring process is used for the production of renewable energy from biomass. Lactate, a common product of acidic fermentation, is a key intermediate in anaerobic digestion of biomass in the environment and biogas plants. Effective utilization of lactate has been observed in many experimental approaches used to study anaerobic digestion. Interestingly, anaerobic lactate oxidation and lactate oxidizers as a physiological group in methane-yielding microbial communities have not received enough attention in the context of the acetogenic step of anaerobic digestion. This study focuses on metabolic transformation of lactate during the acetogenic and methanogenic steps of anaerobic digestion in methane-yielding bioreactors.

**Results:** Methane-yielding microbial communities instead of pure cultures of acetate producers were used to process artificial lactate-rich media to methane and carbon dioxide in up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactors. The media imitated the mixture of acidic products found in anaerobic environments/digesters where lactate fermentation dominates in acidogenesis. Effective utilization of lactate and biogas production was observed. 16S rRNA profiling was used to examine the selected methane-yielding communities. Among *Archaea* present in the bioreactors, the order *Methanosarcinales* predominated. The acetoclastic pathway of methane formation was further confirmed by analysis of the stable carbon isotope composition of methane and carbon dioxide. The domain *Bacteria* was represented by *Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Synergistetes, Actinobacteria, Spirochaetes, Tenericutes, Caldithrix, Verrucomicrobia, Thermotogae, Chloroflexi, Nitrospirae, and Cyanobacteria.* Available genome sequences of species and/or genera identified in the microbial communities were searched for genes encoding the lactate-oxidizing metabolic machinery homologous to those of *Acetobacterium woodii* and *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*. Furthermore, genes for enzymes of the reductive acetyl-CoA pathway were present in the microbial communities.

**Conclusions:** The results indicate that lactate is oxidized mainly to acetate during the acetogenic step of AD and this comprises the acetotrophic pathway of methanogenesis. The genes for lactate utilization under anaerobic conditions are widespread in the domain *Bacteria*. Lactate oxidation to the substrates for methanogens is the most energetically attractive process in comparison to butyrate, propionate, or ethanol oxidation.

**Keywords:** Anaerobic lactate oxidation, Acetogenic step of anaerobic digestion, Wood–Ljungdahl pathway, Microbial communities, 16S rRNA profiling, Genome search

\*Correspondence: annaw@ibb.waw.pl

<sup>1</sup> Department of Molecular Biology, Institute of Biochemistry

and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

### Background

Anaerobic digestion is a complex four-step process, promoted by the interaction of many groups of microorganisms, that is comprised of the following steps: (i) hydrolysis of complex organic polymers to monomers; (ii) acidogenesis that results in the formation of hydrogen, carbon dioxide, ammonium, short-chain fatty acids, and alcohols; (iii) acetogenic step that involves the oxidation of non-gaseous fermentation products under anaerobic conditions; and (iv) methanogenesis, which occurs in conditions of low redox potential (<240 mV) [1-3]. The final two steps, the acetogenic step and the methane formation, are tightly coupled. The acetogenic step, i.e., the oxidation of non-gaseous products of acidogenesis to acetate, hydrogen or formate, and carbon dioxide, is an endergonic process. It involves a reverse electron transfer: the energetically unfavourable movement of electrons that requires the input of energy to drive the oxidation/ reduction reaction, as is demonstrated by the positive change in Gibbs free energy. However, when the oxidation processes are coupled to methane production, the conversion becomes thermodynamically favourable [1, 3–6]. The process responsible for energy conservation in syntrophically growing acetate producers is called flavinbased electron bifurcation [7, 8].

The metabolic pathways utilized for syntrophic oxidation of common non-gaseous products of acidogenesis include beta-oxidation for butyrate and the methylmalonyl-CoA pathway for propionate [9]. Worm et al. [10] analyzed the genomes of butyrate- and propionateoxidizing syntrophs and identified syntrophy-specific functional domains and functional domains involved in electron transfer.

Acetate is a direct substrate for methanogenesis and can also be syntrophically oxidized to hydrogen and carbon dioxide, probably via the oxidative carbon-monoxide dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase pathway (oxidative CODH/ACS). It is believed that ethanol is oxidized to acetaldehyde coupled to NADH formation. Subsequently, acetaldehyde is oxidized to acetate and reduced ferredoxin is formed. Pelobacter species oxidize ethanol in syntrophic cooperation with methanogens [11]. Recently, Bertsch et al. [12] showed that in the acetogen Acetobacterium woodii ethanol is converted to acetyl-CoA by the bifunctional ethanol/acetaldehyde dehydrogenase and joining the two activities in one enzyme makes this conversion thermodynamically favoured. Adding the reductive carbon-monoxide dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase pathway (the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway), A. woodii converts ethanol and carbon dioxide to acetate  $(\Delta G^{0'} = -75.4 \text{ kJ/mol ethanol}).$ 

Surprisingly, until recently, the oxidation of lactate under anaerobic conditions performed by lactate oxidizers did not draw enough attention in the context of the acetogenic step of AD, despite the fact that lactate is a key intermediate in anaerobic digestion of organic matter [13, 14]. Furthermore, lactate oxidation is a thermodynamically attractive process when compared to butyrate, propionate, acetate, or ethanol oxidation. Syntrophic lactate oxidation in the presence of a hydrogenotrophic methane-producing partner has been described for Desulfovibrio spp. This occurs only in environments poor in sulfates; otherwise, sulfate reduction occurs. Lactate can also act as a substrate for the non-methanogen Archaeoglobus, a known sulfate reducer capable of oxidizing lactate to carbon dioxide [1, 9]. It has also been demonstrated that lactate can be used as a sole carbon source and oxidized to acetate, propionate, and hydrogen by Megasphaera elsdenii [15].

Recently, Weghoff et al. [16] described a mode of anaerobic lactate oxidation used by the acetogen *A. woo-dii*. FAD-dependent lactate dehydrogenase LDH (GlcD domain) in a stable complex with an electron transfer flavoprotein (EtfA/B) catalyzes the following reaction: lactate + Fd<sup>2-</sup> + 2NAD<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  pyruvate + Fd + 2NADH. This process requires reverse electron transport via EtfA/B (electron-bifurcating mechanism). The Etf complex drives endergonic lactate oxidation with NAD<sup>+</sup> as oxidant at the expense of simultaneous oxidation of reduced ferredoxin. The Rnf complex drives ferredoxin reduction with NADH as reductant.

The Rnf, Ech, or hydrogenase complexes are recognized as functional domains involved in electron transfer in syntrophic bacteria [10], and they have been detected in potential lactate oxidizers [16]. Pyruvate is transformed to acetyl-CoA and further to acetate with the release of ATP. Two molecules of lactate are transformed to two molecules of acetate and two molecules of carbon dioxide. Carbon dioxide is further reduced to acetate via the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway by NADH formed from lactate oxidation. It is important to link the carbon reduction steps with the regeneration of NAD.

Finally, lactate is converted exclusively to acetate  $(\Delta G^{0'} = -61 \text{ kJ/mol lactate})$  and does not require a partner methanogen [17]. It was suggested that this mechanism is utilized by many anaerobic microbes including members of the *Clostridiales, Halanaerobiales, Fusobacteriales, Thermotogales,* and *Thermoanaerobacteriales,* based on the finding that their genomes contain probable operons including the LldP domain (lactate permease), GlcD domain, EtfA and EtfB, and also the LarA domain (lactate racemase) in several cases [16].

In this contribution, we report on an investigation of methane-yielding microbial communities grown on lactate-rich artificial media in up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors. These bioreactor communities have been characterized by 16S rRNA profiling. Some of the identified microorganisms had previously sequenced genomes, which we searched to identify genes encoding proteins potentially involved in lactate oxidation and the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway. Lactate was efficiently utilized by the microbial communities. Stable carbon isotopes analysis of methane and carbon dioxide revealed domination of the acetoclastic pathway of methanogenesis. In conclusion, we postulate that when a lactate-rich substrate is processed by a methane-yielding microbial community, the main end product of the acetogenic step is acetate, which is then utilized by acetotrophic methanogens.

### Methods

### Inocula, feed composition, and experimental set-up for processing of lactate-rich media to methane

An experimental set-up for collection of data enabling the description of metabolic transformation of lactate during the acetogenic and methanogenic steps of anaerobic digestion in methane-yielding bioreactors was presented in this study in two repeats. The objects were two methane-yielding microbial communities (designated M1A and M1B) processing a lactate-rich medium in 3.5-L up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) bioreactors (Table 1). Both UASB reactors were filled with a methanogenic inoculum (1.5 L) and neutralized

Methane-vielding microbial communities processing lactate-rich medium to methane

lactate-rich artificial medium (2 L), and then incubated at room temperature (20-25 °C). The inocula came originally from the same municipal waste treatment plant. In both cases, the volumes were the same. The lactate-rich artificial medium was a modified M9 medium [18] in which MgSO<sub>4</sub> was replaced by MgCl<sub>2</sub> (190 mg/L) and no glucose was added. The medium was supplemented with sodium lactate, butyric acid/sodium butyrate, propionic acid, and acetic acid, as shown in Table 1. Neutralization of the medium with calcium hydroxide (7 g/L) was performed in a separate tank. The medium was supplied to the UASB reactors using a peristaltic pump (ZALIMP, Poland). The M1A bioreactor run lasted for 40 weeks. In the case of M1B, after taking samples for total DNA isolation, the medium flow was switched off for 3 weeks during which the COD of the fluid phase in the bioreactor dropped below 100 mg  $O_2/L$ . Then, the medium with a known carbon isotopic composition was supplied to the bioreactor again and fermentation gas samples were taken for isotope analyses over the next 7 weeks.

### **Analytical methods**

The pH of the medium and the methanogenic effluents as well as the redox potential in the UASB reactor were measured using a standard pH meter (ELMET-RON model CP-502) equipped with a combination ORP (redox, mV) electrode-type ERPt-13. The chemical

Table	1 Summar	y of th	e experimenta	l set-up used	for t	he processin	g of a	lactate-ri	ich meo	lium to met	hane
-------	----------	---------	---------------	---------------	-------	--------------	--------	------------	---------	-------------	------

, , ,	5	
	M1A	M1B
The seed methanogenic inoculum	Activated sludge from a municipal waste treatment plant "Warszawa Południe" in Warsaw, Poland, sampled in the winter <sup>a</sup>	Methane-yielding sludge from the 50-L-UASB bio- reactor processing acidic effluent from molasses fermentation [19] inoculated with activated sludge from a municipal waste treatment plant "Warszawa Południe" in Warsaw, Poland, sampled in the autumn <sup>a</sup>
Lactate-rich medium—modified M9 (containing $MgCl_2$ instead of $MgSO_4$ , without glucose)	Sodium lactate 8.26 g/L, butyric acid 1.06 g/L, propionic acid 0.97 g/L, acetic acid 1.54 g/L	Sodium lactate 7 g/L, sodium butyrate 1.3 g/L, propionic acid 0.99 g/L, acetic acid 1.05 g/L, yeast extract 0.5 g/L
Hydraulic retention time (HRT), days	7	7
Culture history	<ul> <li>Incubation at room temperature (20–25 °C) for 26 days after inoculation</li> <li>27th–57th day of cultivation—neutralized medium continuously supplied to the bioreactor</li> <li>Since 58th day of cultivation—non- neutralized medium supplied to the bioreactor</li> </ul>	Incubation at room temperature (20–25 °C) for 10 days after inoculation. Since 11th day of cultivation—non-neutralized medium supplied to the bioreactor
Sample collection for 16S rRNA profiling	37th week of cultivation	40th week of cultivation
Analyses performed on the samples collected from the UASB reactors shown in Table 2	33rd—40th week of cultivation	35th—40th week of cultivation
Sample collection for isotope analyses of fermenta- tion gas	-	44th—50th week of cultivation

<sup>a</sup> The director of the Municipal Water and Sewage Enterprise in the capital city of Warsaw in Poland issued the permission to sample activated sludge and use it for scientific research

oxygen demand (COD) of the medium and the methanogenic effluents was determined using a NANOCOLOR COD 1500 kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to ISO 1575:2002.

The total rate of gas production was measured using an MGC-1 MilliGascounter (RITTER). The composition of the fermentation gas was analyzed using an HPR20 mass spectrometer (Hiden, England) with QGA version 1.37.

The concentration of short-chain fatty acids in the methanogenic effluents was analyzed by HPLC with photometric detection (Waters HPLC system with Waters 2996—Photodiode Array Detector, using a  $300 \times 7.8$  mm Aminex HPX-87 H column with guard column). The HPLC conditions were as described previously [20].

The concentration of sulfide  $(S^{2-})$  in the methanogenic effluents was determined using a NANOCOLOR SULFID 3 kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the method DIN 38405-D26/27. Effluents were centrifuged before the analyses to remove microbial cells and debris.

Data from all analyses performed on samples collected from the UASB reactors are presented in the respective tables. In each case, the mean values  $\pm$  SD (standard deviation) are shown.

### Total DNA isolation and 16S rRNA profiling

Total DNA from the methanogenic communities formed in the UASB reactors was isolated from samples taken in the 37th and 40th week, for the M1A and M1B, respectively (Table 1). DNA was extracted and purified using a PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol with some modifications. In each case (M1A and M1B), five 0.3-g samples of the methane-yielding microbial community were placed into five bead tubes for extraction. These tubes were incubated at 65 °C for 20 min and then shaken horizontally in a MoBio vortex adapter for 15 min at maximum speed. The remaining steps were performed as directed by the manufacturer. The final samples of DNA extracted from the five replicates were pooled and stored at -20 °C. The total masses of purified DNA obtained from the M1A and M1B microbial communities were 25.7 and 20.5 µg, respectively.

Using the total DNA isolated from the methanogenic communities as template, the hypervariable V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified by PCR. The universal primers 341F and 785R were employed for the simultaneous detection of *Bacteria* and *Archaea* [21]. PCR was performed using Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity Master Mix (NEB) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing of the amplified V3–V4 region libraries was performed using a MiSeq next-generation sequencer (Illumina) with  $2 \times 250$  nt paired-end technology (PE), using the v2 Illumina kit. Automatic analysis of

the data to determine the composition of the microbial communities was carried out using 16S Metagenomics software available on the BaseSpace server (Illumina). This analysis consisted of three stages: (i) automatic demultiplexing of the samples, (ii) generation of fastq files containing the raw reads, and (iii) classification of the reads into taxonomic categories.

The 16S Metagenomics Protocol classifies the reads to species level based on the Greengenes v13\_5 reference database, modified by Illumina. This modification comprises filtering out the following sequences: (i) of < 1250 base pairs (bp) in length; (ii) containing > 50 degenerate bases (M, R, W, S, Y, K, V, H, D, B, and N); and (iii) those incompletely classified, i.e., not to the level of genus or species. DNA sequencing was performed by the Genomed Joint-Stock Company (Warsaw, Poland).

Two diversity indices were calculated: the Shannon–Wiener index, according to the equation  $H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{R} pi \ln pi$  (where pi is the proportion of the *i*th element), and true diversity being  ${}^{1}D = e^{H'}$  [22, 23].

All raw sequences generated in this study have been deposited in NCBI databases with the following accession numbers: BioProject—PRJNA377904; BioSamples—SAMN06475116 (for M1A) and SAMN06475215 (for M1B); and SRA—SRS2040135 (for M1A) and SRS2040199 (for M1B).

### Intersection analysis of two lactate-processing microbial communities M1A and M1B

For intersection analysis of the two lactate to methaneprocessing microbial communities, M1A and M1B, only species identified by>10 reads were selected. Species common to both microbial communities, as well as those present in only one community, were computed and visualized as a Venn diagram using a custom script written in Python 2.7, producing three groups: group I—present only in M1A; group II—present in both M1A and M1B; and group III—present only in M1B.

The distribution of aligned reads between these three groups was visualized in box and violin plots prepared using the 'vioplot' package version 0.2 of R 3.2.2 [24].

### Collecting genome sequences for identification of lactate metabolism genes

Genome sequences of species classified in group II (i.e. present in both the M1A and M1B communities) that were available in the NCBI databases were collected. Where only particular genera were identified in the communities, all species of such genera available in the NCBI databases were also collected for inclusion in the analysis. The Assembly database was queried with proper names for identified species or genera. Genome sequences were obtained from the Complete genome, Scaffold and Contig categories within the RefSeq database, and from the GenBank database in the case of *Acidimicrobium* and *Negativicoccus succinicivorans* DORA\_17\_25. The XML files were downloaded. The ftp addresses for .gbff files were retrieved from these files using a custom R Project script. For every species or genus, a file containing the ftp NCBI addresses for genome sequences was prepared. The .gbff files were downloaded with the wget64 application and imported into Geneious 10.1.2 software (http:// www.geneious.com) [25]. The final custom database consisted of 38 items, representing 34 common species and their plasmids, and species for identified genera.

### Searching for genes involved in lactate utilization under anaerobic conditions

The following enzymes involved in anaerobic lactate oxidation were selected as query protein sequences for tBLASTn searches of the prepared custom database (maximal *E*-value of 1e–1, word size set to 3, BLOSUM62 matrix, and gap open/extend cost of 11/1): lactate permease WP\_014355268 (AWO\_RS04425) (LldP), lactate racemase WP\_014355269 (AWO\_RS04430) (LarA), electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha WP 014355266 (AWO\_RS04415) (EtfA), FAD/FMN-containing dehydrogenase WP\_014355267 (AWO\_RS04420) (GlcD), electron transporter RnfC WP\_014356580 (AWO\_ RS11370) all from Acetobacterium woodii DSM 1030 genome NC 016894; L-lactate utilization protein LutB containing Fe-S oxidoreductase WP\_028317114 (Q362 RS0100810) from Desulfobulbus elongatus DSM 2908 assembly ASM62114v1; [FeFe]-hydrogenase large subunit Fe, Fe\_hydrog\_A WP\_012939287 (ACFER\_RS10010) from Acidaminococcus fermentans DSM 20731 genome NC\_013740; Ni, Fe-hydrogenase III large subunit WP\_075074147 (LARV\_RS13630) from Longilinea arvoryzae strain KOME-1 assembly ASM105023v2; and NADH-quinone oxidoreductase subunit C WP\_004312112 (HMPREF1074\_RS21770) from Bacteroides xylanisolvens CL03T12C04 assembly Bact\_xyla\_CL03T12C04\_V1. BLAST results were always shown with at least 10,000 bp sequence context to permit the examination of neighboring genes. Thus, the NAD-dependent lactate dehydrogenase Ldh\_2, Fe-S oxidoreductase GlpC, transcription factor GntR, EtfB, and LutC were identified in the vicinity of the analyzed genes and annotated. The domain/function annotations were assigned according to NCBI Conserved Domains search tool results [26].

### Searching for genes of the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway

Genera present in both the M1A and M1B communities with corresponding read numbers were retrieved (Additional file 1) and used as the input at the Vikodak Local Mapper server [27]. Sample size normalization was used with central tendency set to mean. Different pathways were assayed with the focus on energy metabolism with carbon fixation pathways in prokaryotes. The effective enzyme abundance of the sample is computed as follows, according to [27]:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Ec_i \, x \, Ab_i$$

where: E = effective abundance value of an enzyme; Ec<sub>i</sub> = enzyme copy number value in *i*th taxon of a sample; Ab<sub>i</sub> = 16S and sample size normalized abundance value of *i*th taxon in the given sample; n = number of taxa expressing the enzyme in the sample.

The output files representing the effective enzyme abundance profiles were combined in one file (Additional file 2) and EC numbers used as a query in the KEGG Mapper—Search Module [28, 29]. The corresponding functions ascribed to EC numbers identified for the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway were retrieved from the EC database (KEGG) using a custom Python script. All the EC numbers were identified by Vikodak server according to the KEGG database.

### Analyses of stable carbon isotope composition of fermentation gas and substrates

Samples of the fermentation gas were collected from the M1B bioreactor using a sterile syringe and injected into 20-mL glass ampoules with teflon cap filled with a saturated NaCl water solution. The presence of NaCl water solution decreased the solubility of carbon dioxide and consequently allows to avoid the stable carbon isotope fractionation of carbon dioxide while storing. Analyses of stable carbon isotope composition of carbon dioxide and methane were carried out with an on-line method on a Delta V Advantage Mass Spectrometer coupled with a Trace GC Ultra gas chromatograph with a GC Isolink device (Thermo Scientific). The GC column used for gas analyses was an HP-PLOT/Q (Agilent Technologies, dimensions: 30 m  $\times$  0.32 mm  $\times$  20  $\mu$ m). Helium was used as the carrier gas. The GC oven was initially held at 30 °C for 4 min, then heated at a rate of 10 °C/min to 210 °C, and held for 4 min. A CO<sub>2</sub> certified gas standard  $(\delta^{13}C_{VPDB} = -36.2\%)$ , Air Liquide Deutschland, GmbH) was used for calibration. A gas with known carbon isotopic composition was analyzed regularly to check the accuracy of the measurement with  $\pm 0.2\%$  precision.

Stable isotope analyses of substrates were carried out using an off-line preparative system. About 2–5 mg or  $\mu$ L of pure substrates were combusted using a CuO wire in a sealed quartz tube, under vacuum at 900 °C [30]. The

 $\rm CO_2$  gas produced was cryogenically purified by off-line technique (liquid nitrogen and dry ice/ethanol mixture). The purified gas was introduced into an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS; Delta V Advantage/dual inlet, Thermo Scientific) for an analysis of the stable carbon isotope ratio. For the normalization of the  $\delta^{13}\rm C$  values, international standards (NBS22 and USGS24 distributed by the International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna) were used, and then, the values were reported relative the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) scale with  $\pm$  0.1‰ precision.

### Results

### Performance of the microbial communities processing lactate-rich media

To examine lactate transformation to methane and carbon dioxide, two methane-producing microbial communities continuously processing lactate-rich artificial media in UASB reactors were studied. Composition of the growth media was designed to imitate the acidic products cocktail of microbial communities in environments where lactate fermentation dominates in the acidogenesis. Page 6 of 18

The data in Table 2 describe the representative performance of the studied methane-yielding microbial communities near the point in time when samples were taken for 16S rRNA profiling. As shown in part A of Table 2, methane was produced by communities M1A and M1B at the respective rates of 18.9 L/L-reactor/day (2.5 L/g COD of the medium) and 25.1 L/L-reactor/day (3.6 L/g COD of the medium). The overall COD removal efficiency was 85 and 97%, for M1A and M1B, respectively. This indicates the efficient utilization of components of the artificial medium by the methane-yielding microbial communities (Table 2, part B). The analysis of shortchain fatty acids revealed almost complete utilization of lactate by both methane-producing communities. Acetate, butyrate, and propionate were also detected in the effluent from the UASB bioreactors.

The obtained results for both M1A and M1B show the same tendency of lactate domination as a key factor determining the performance of the bioreactor and the composition of the microbial community as described below.

	M1A	M1B		
A. Characteristics of the biogas				
Total biogas production				
L/working volume of the bioreactor/day	$28.6 \pm 0.45$	34.9±4.0		
Composition of biogas (%)				
Methane	$66.0 \pm 0.02$	71.8±1.2		
Carbon dioxide	$33.3 \pm 0.02$	$28.1 \pm 1.3$		
Hydrogen	$0.66 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.03$		
Hydrogen sulfide	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$		
Methane production				
$_{L}$ -CH <sub>4</sub> /working volume of the bioreactor/day	$18.9 \pm 0.3$	$25.10 \pm 2.85$		
L-CH <sub>4</sub> /g COD	$2.53 \pm 0.04$	3.64±0.41		

### Table 2 Performance of the methane-yielding microbial communities processing a lactate-rich artificial medium

B. Characteristics of the substrate and effluent after the methanogenic process

	Substrate	Effluent	Substrate	Effluent
COD (g O <sub>2</sub> /L)	$15.5 \pm 1.2$	2.3±0.4	12.5±1.8	0.4±0.1
Concentration of	See Table 1		See Table 1	
Acetic acid (mg/L)		$443 \pm 236$		$68 \pm 41$
Butyric acid (mg/L)		126±43		< 1.0 <sup>a</sup>
Lactic acid (mg/L)		$22.0 \pm 36$		$4.3 \pm 5.8$
Propionic acid (mg/L)		$518 \pm 145$		$38 \pm 22$
Sulfide (mg/L)	< 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	< 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	$0.09 \pm 0.04$
рН	$5.69 \pm 0.17$	$6.95 \pm 0.15$	$4.91 \pm 0.12$	$7.41 \pm 0.05$
Redox potential in the UASB bioreactor (mV) <sup>b</sup>		(-277) to (-290)		(-273) to (-307)

The data come from the analyses done in the 33rd—40th week of cultivation and 35th—40th week of cultivation for M1A and M1B, respectively

<sup>a</sup> The limit of quantification

<sup>b</sup> According to hydrogen electrode

### Biodiversity of the microbial communities processing artificial lactate-rich medium

The 16S rRNA gene fragment libraries amplified from DNA isolated from the methane-yielding microbial communities have been sequenced. In the case of the community M1A, the total number of reads was 115,296 and 100% of them passed the quality filtering, while for M1B, there were 114,490 reads and 92.8% passed the quality filtering.

Diversity indices were calculated for both analyzed microbial communities. The respective Shannon–Wiener index values for M1A and M1B were 2.79 and 2.09 at the species level, and 3.13 and 3.05 at the genus level. The respective True Diversity index values for M1A and M1B were 16.21 and 8.06 at the species level, and 22.79 and 21.02 at the genus level. These diversity indices for the two communities are comparable and indicate that both are moderately rich in species.

In the case of M1A, 89,358 reads were assigned to *Bacteria*, 25,744 to *Archaea*, while 194 remained unclassified at the kingdom level, whereas for M1B, these values were 71,102, 34,875, and 236, respectively. For a summary of the taxonomic assignments, see Fig. 1 (detailed assignments are shown in Additional file 3, Additional file 4).

Analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences derived from the methanogenic communities formed in the bioreactor revealed that the order *Methanosarcinales* predominated among the *Archaea* (Fig. 1b, d). The most abundant genus within this order was *Methanosaeta*, represented by *M. concilii. Archaea* conducting the hydrogenotrophic pathway of methane production were in the minority, and included *Methanomicrobiales* such as *Methanocorpusculum*, *Methanoculleus*, *Methanospirillum*, and *Methanofollis*.

In the two microbial communities, the domain Bacteria was represented by Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Synergistetes, Actinobacteria, Spirochaetes as well as Tenericutes, Caldithrix, Verrucomicrobia, Thermotogae, Chloroflexi, Nitrospirae, and Cyanobacteria. All these phyla are commonly found in anaerobic digesters/ biogas plants. The predominant Bacteroidetes belonged to the classes Sphingobacteriia (order Sphingobacteriales, family Sphingobacteriaceae), Flavobacteriia (order Flavobacteriales, family Flavobacteriaceae), and Bacteroidia (order Bacteroidiales, family Bacteroidaceae and Porphyromonadaceae). The predominant Firmicutes belonged to the class Clostridia. The order Clostridiales was represented by the following families: Veillonellaceae, Syntrophomonadaceae, Clostridiaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Sulfobacillaceae. The order Thermoanaerobacterales was represented by the Thermovenabulum and Caldicellulosiruptoraceae families. The predominant Proteobacteria belonged to the class Deltaproteobacteria. The order Syntrophobacterales was represented mainly by Syntrophaceae and Desulfobacteraceae. The order Desulfovibrionales was represented by Desulfovibrionaceae and Desulfohalobiaceae. The predominant Synergistetes belonged to the class Synergistia represented by the order Synergistales, with the families Synergistaceae, Aminiphilaceae and Dethiosulfovibrionaceae. The phylum Actinobacteria was represented by the class Acidimicrobiia, order Acidimicrobiales, family Acidimicrobiaceae. Finally, the phylum Spirochaetes was represented by Spirochaetales (family Spirochaetaceae) and Sphaerochaetales (family Sphaerochaetaceae).

## Intersection analysis of the microbial communities M1A and M1B

Totally, 127 and 134 species were found in M1A and M1B communities, with more than 10 reads, respectively. Intersection analysis of the two lactate-rich media-processing microbial communities revealed that 87 species were common to both M1A and M1B (designated group II) (Fig. 2a). They constituted 68 and 65% species identified in M1A and M1B, respectively. This common group consisted of species with 580 and 600 mean reads, respectively (corresponding to 79 and 80 median reads). The respective values for species present only in M1A (group I, 40 species) or in M1B (group III, 47 species) were 42 and 75 mean reads (corresponding to 26 and 21 medians). In addition, the read numbers in the common group II showed relatively equal distribution, which contrasts with the species present in groups I and III (Fig. 2b). Consequently, the common species were selected for further genomic analysis, where available.

### The identification of genes potentially responsible for anaerobic lactate oxidation

A database comprised of genome sequences of 34 species classified to group II (i.e., present in both communities, M1A and M1B) was searched for genes encoding proteins potentially involved in anaerobic lactate utilization (Additional file 5 and Fig. 3).

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Composition of methane-yielding communities based on the 16S rRNA gene fragment sequences: **a** total microbial community M1A, reads assigned to class level; **b** reads assigned to the *Archaea* domain in community M1A; **c** total microbial community M1B, reads assigned to class level; **d** reads assigned to the *Archaea* domain in community M1B





The genomes of Clostridium taeniosporum 1/k and Johnsonella ignava ATCC51276, both belonging to the Firmicutes (Clostridiales), and Sphaerochaeta globosa str. Buddy (Spirochaetes, Spirochaetales), contain genes belonging to an operon of Acetobacter woodii, previously described by Weghoff et al. [16], although they lack the gene for lactate racemase LarA. In addition, the Rnf complex and at least one Fe-dependent hydrogenase FeFe\_hydrog\_A are encoded by these genomes, except that of Clostridium taeniosporum 1/k. The genome of Aminiphilus circumscriptus DSM 16581 (Synergistetes, Synergistales) contains the operon encoding GlcD and Ldh\_2, EtfA/B, and three LarA proteins, FeFe\_hydrog\_A and Ni, Fe-hydrogenase III large subunits, but it lacks the gene for lactate permease LldP. Genomes of two Acidaminococcales (Firmicutes) species, *Acidaminococcus fermentans* DSM 20731 and *Phascolarctobacterium succinatutens* YIT 12067, encode at least 3 GlcD proteins and at least one EtfA/B complex, but no lactate permease genes are present. In addition, they encode at least two FeFe\_hydrog\_A proteins. One of the LarA genes of *P. succinatutens* YIT 12067 is present in an operon encoding an NADHdependent HicDH-like protein with C-terminal domain of lactate/malate dehydrogenases Ldh\_1\_C capable of converting L-lactate to pyruvate. The bacterial genomes encoding the GlcD domain and the EtfA/B in one operon are shown in Fig. 3a.

In several species, like Gramella forsetii KT0802 (Flavobacteriales, Bacteroidetes), Longilinea arvoryzae KOME-1 (Chloroflexi, Anaerolineales), Syntrophomonas palmitatica JCM 14374, S. wolfei subsp. methylbutyrica, and S. wolfei subsp. wolfei str. Goettingen G311 (Firmicutes, Clostridiales), the gene encoding the EtfA/B complex is not located in an operon with the GlcD gene (Fig. 3b). The GlcD domain is either fused to or adjacent to Fe-S oxidoreductase GlpC. The two S. wolfei subspecies methylbutyrica and wolfei str. Goettingen G311 also possess genes for at least 3 Ni-dependent hydrogenases containing Ni, Fe-hydrogenase III large subunit or FeFe\_ hydrog\_A proteins, respectively. S. palmitatica JCM 14374 also possesses genes encoding an Rnf complex, and S. wolfei subsp. methylbutyrica, a Ni, Fe-hydrogenase I large subunit. Similarly, Aquimarina macrocephali JAMB N27 (Bacteroidetes, Flavobacteriales) encodes a GlcD/GlpC fusion and EtfA/B complex, but no LldP or LarA genes were found. All these bacterial species apparently lack a lactate permease (LldP).

Interestingly, the genome of euryarchaeotan acetotrophic methanogen *Methanosaeta concilii* GP-6 contains an operon coding for GlcD, GlpC and LarA; however, it too lacks a lactate permease gene (Fig. 3b). The same genes were identified in *M. harundinacea* 6Ac. *M. pelagica* was also detected in the studied microbial communities, but its genome sequence is not available.

Among the bacteria growing on lactate in the bioreactor, many species were found to possess genes coding for LutC and LutB proteins (Fig. 3c). The genome of *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* CL03T12C04 (*Bacteroidetes*,

(See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 3** Lactate utilization genes in identified species of known genome sequences with corresponding protein domains: FAD-dependent lactate dehydrogenase (GlcD domain) (purple), (Fe–S)-binding protein GlpC (turquoise), lactate permease (LldP domain) (dark blue), lactate permease (LarA domain) (orange), electron transfer flavoproteins EtfA/B (light/deep green), fused GlcD/GlpC protein (light blue), lactate utilization LutB and LutC proteins (brown), NAD-dependent lactate dehydrogenase Ldh\_2 (violet), transcriptional regulator GntR (gray), and other genes (yellow). Groups of genes coding for proteins with the GlcD and EtfA/B exclusively in one operon (**a**), coding the GlcD and GlpC only in a fusion or as probable operon (**b**), or possessing the lut operon and genes encoding GlcD and GlpC (**c**) are shown. *Peptococcus niger* DSM 20475 is shown separately (**d**) as an example of a bacterium possessing genes coding for both GlcD and EtfA/B as well as lut operons, plus additional copies of genes encoding GlcD and GlpC



*Bacteroidales*) contains an operon composed of genes for C-GlpC, LutB, and LutC, and an LldP gene in different parts of the genome. It also encodes an Rnf complex and FeFe\_hydrog\_A. Similarly, the genome of *Runella limosa* 

DSM 17973 (*Bacteroidetes, Cytophagales*) contains two gene fusions encoding GlcD/GlpC and one operon comprised of the C-GlpC, LutB, and LutC genes, plus genes coding for GlcD and EtfA/B spread throughout

the genome. One gene encoding a Ni, Fe-hydrogenase I large subunit is also present. However, R. limosa DSM 17973 lacks a lactate permease gene. An even more complex machinery of lactate utilization is encoded by the genomes of Chthoniobacter flavus Ellin428 (Verrucomicrobia, Chthoniobacterales) and Thermobaculum terrenum ATCC BAA-798 (unclassified Terrabacteria group). In the *Chthoniobacter* species, genes coding for the following proteins were found: lactate permease LldP, two copies of LarA, at least three GlcD copies, two of which are in an operon with GlpC, with one containing an additional GlcD protein, a GlcD/GlpC fusion (with a DUF3390 domain), plus GlpC, LutB, and LutC genes in an operon. The genes for lactate utilization under anaerobic conditions are similar in the genome of the Thermobaculum species except that the encoded LutB protein is in a fusion with GlpC, and lactate permease LldP and LarA genes are not present.

The most diverse collections of lactate utilization genes were found in the representatives of the  $\delta$ -Proteobacteria such as the sulfate-reducing bacteria: Desulfobulbus elongatus DSM 2908 (Desulfobacterales), Desulfomonile tiedjei DSM 6799 (Syntrophobacterales), Desulfovibrio fairfieldensis CCUG 45958 (Desulfovibrionales), as well as Geobacter pickeringii G13 (Desulfuromonadales) and Thermodesulfovibrio thiphilus DSM 17215 (Nitrospirae, Nitrospirales) (Fig. 3c). All except D. tiedjei DSM 6799 contain an operon encoding LldP, GlcD, GlpC, LutC, and a LutB/GlpC fusion. In addition, the genomes of the first three species code for at least one GlcD/GlpC fusion, and all apart from T. thiphilus DSM 17215 possess an additional operon composed of genes encoding GlcD and GlpC, and possibly LarA. All species except D. fairfieldensis CCUG 45958 code for an EtfA/B complex, but not in the vicinity of the aforementioned genes. The LldP, GlcD, and GlpC operon, and other genes of anaerobic lactate utilization, although dispersed in the genome are present in D. tiedjei DSM 6799. All the species code for at least one Ni, Fe-hydrogenase III large subunit and, with the exception of D. elongates DSM 2908, a Ni, Fe-hydrogenase I large subunit, as well. The first three species also possess genes for Fe, Fe\_hydrog\_A, and Rnf complex genes are present in the genomes of *D. tiedjei* DSM 6799 and D. fairfieldensis CCUG 45958.

Peptococcus niger DSM 20475 (Firmicutes, Clostridiales) seems to combine two pathways of lactate utilization under anaerobic conditions. The operon comprised of GlcD and EtfA/B genes is present, and it also possesses a C-GlpC, LutB and LutC operon. In addition, it has a GlcD/GlpC fusion gene, an N-GlpC, C-GlpC, and LutB operon, an additional copy of the LutB gene, and two additional copies of genes encoding EtfA/B. Lactate permease LldP and Fe, Fe\_hydrog\_A genes are also present (Fig. 3d).

The Aminobacterium colombiense DSM 12261 (Synergistetes, Synergistales) genome codes only for one LldP and three LarA proteins, with one of the latter genes probably in an operon with NADH-dependent L-lactate dehydrogenase Ldh\_2, capable of oxidizing lactate to pyruvate. There are also genes encoding Rnf and two Ni, Fe-hydrogenase III large subunits.

The genomes of Bellilinea caldifistulae GOMI-1 (Chloroflexi, Anaerolineales), Caloramator mitchellensis VF08 (Firmicutes, Clostridiales), and Negativicoccus succinicivorans DORA\_17\_25 (Firmicutes, Veillonellales) lack genes for FAD-dependent dehydrogenase, but all encode an EtfA/B complex. In addition, B. caldifistulae GOMI-1 possesses genes coding for two LldP proteins, one LarA, and one Ni, Fe-hydrogenase III large subunit; C. mitchellensis VF08 has three FeFe\_hydrog\_A genes and one Ni, Fe-hydrogenase I large subunit gene; and N. succinicivorans DORA\_17\_25 has a LarA gene. Peptonophilus coxii DNF00729 (Firmicutes, Tissierellales) lacks genes encoding FAD-dependent lactate dehydrogenases, LldP, and the Lut operon, but it contains at least two EtfA/B complex genes, one of which is in the vicinity of the genes encoding HicDH-like dehydrogenase, Rnf, and the hydrogenase FeFe\_hydrog\_B1.

Several species common to the microbial communities M1A and M1B lack complete or scaffold genome sequences. In addition, many reads in these communities were identified only at the genus level. To further elucidate the likely nature of the lactate metabolism in microorganisms present in the bioreactor, we also searched for relevant genes in the genomes of species related to those identified in the microbial communities. A detailed analysis is presented in Additional file 5.

### Searching for Wood–Ljungdahl pathway genes

Genes encoding all nine proteins of the reductive acetyl-CoA (Wood–Ljungdahl) pathway (KEGG Module: M00377) (Additional file 6) were found in both microbial communities, M1A and M1B, with similar frequency (Additional file 2). The gene for carbon-monoxide dehydrogenase (catalytic subunit) (EC: 1.2.7.4), the first enzyme in the pathway, occurs with an abundance of 87–91 (Fig. 4). Genes for the other proteins are present with frequencies ranging from 0.4 to 104 (Fig. 4).

### Stable carbon isotope composition of substrates and fermentation gas

Fermentation substrates (yeast extract, sodium lactate, sodium butyrate, propionic acid, and acetic acid) as well methane and carbon dioxide in 16 fermentation gas samples were analyzed to determine their stable carbon



isotopic composition. All the results are presented in the Additional file 7 and on Fig. 5. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values of fermentation substrates ranged from -44.9 to -23.0%. The results of stable carbon isotope analyses in carbon dioxide varied from 0.4 to 2.2‰ with an average of 1.4‰. The results of stable carbon isotope analyses in methane ranged from -33.1 to -31.0% with an average of -31.5%. It is worth mentioning that the lowest values of both  $\delta^{13}$ C(CO<sub>2</sub>) and  $\delta^{13}$ C(CH<sub>4</sub>) were noted for the same, first gas sample.

According to Jędrysek [31] and Vinson et al. [32] in a regular, closed methanogenic system, CH<sub>4</sub> is depleted of <sup>13</sup>C compared to the source of organic carbon, whereas the CO<sub>2</sub> is enriched in <sup>13</sup>C compared to the source of organic carbon. In that experiment, the dominant substrate was the sodium lactate, for which the  $\delta^{13}$ C value is -23.0%. The  $\delta^{13}$ C(CO<sub>2</sub>) and  $\delta^{13}$ C(CH<sub>4</sub>) values obtained during the experiment are -31.5 and 1.4‰, respectively. This is in accordance with the literature predictions.



The dominant pathway of methanogenesis can be established by means of stable carbon isotopic composition of methane and the difference between  $\delta^{13}C(CO_2)$ and  $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$ . According to Sugimoto and Wada [33], the values of  $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$  more positive than -33.0%indicate that the acetoclastic pathway is the dominant pathway during the methanogenesis. The  $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$ values lower than -60.0% are indicative of a purely hydrogenotrophic pathway. The results of  $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$  in this work have an average value of -31.5%. The isotope fractionation factor between carbon dioxide and methane  $[\alpha(CO_2-CH_4)]$  ranged from 1.032 to 1.035 with an average of 1.034. These results come close with the range for the acetoclastic methanogenesis (1.039-1.058) estimated by Whiticar [34] whereas  $\alpha(CO_2-CH_4)$  values of 1.049-1.095 are characteristics of the hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis. The  $\alpha(CO_2-CH_4)$  as low as 1.034 is an evidence that in the experiment only the acetoclastic pathway took place. The lower limit of the range estimated by Whiticar [34] for such a fermentation scenario could, perhaps, be shifted from 1.039 down to 1.034.

This is in agreement with the fact that methanogenesis with the dominant acetoclastic pathway experiences mutual positive or negative variations in both  $\delta^{13}C(CO_2)$ and  $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$ . Figure 5 shows shifts in both  $\delta^{13}C(CO_2)$ and  $\delta^{13}C(CH_4)$  in the same direction, especially on the 11-13, 13-15, and 36-39 days of sampling. Note that the days of sampling are numbered from the beginning of the 44th week of cultivation, i.e., the 6th day of sampling belongs to the 44th week of cultivation, etc. On the other hand, in methanogenesis with the dominant hydrogenotrophic pathway, an opposite relationship is observed (increasing amounts of stable carbon isotopes in carbon dioxide is accompanied by decreasing amounts of stable carbon isotopes in methane). Such  $CO_2$ -CH<sub>4</sub> isotopic picture has been described for numerous natural conditions [31, 35]. Our other studies (data not shown) with a replicate of the M1A culture also indicated the acetoclastic pathway of methane synthesis.

The performance of the M1B methane-yielding microbial community at the time samples of the fermentation gas were taken for analysis of the stable carbon isotope composition (Additional file 8) was comparable to that presented in Table 2.

### Discussion

### Lactate as a key intermediate in anaerobic digestion and a factor that determines the type of methanogenic pathway

Lactate, a product of acidic fermentation, is an important intermediate in anaerobic digestion of organic matter. Lactic acid bacteria are widespread and universal microbes in terrestrial and aquatic environments. They are found in plants and animals, where they constitute a significant component of the microbial flora of the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts as well as skin and mucosa. Lactic acid bacteria are responsible for food fermentation and this process has been used to preserve some foodstuffs [13, 36].

Various types of silage are common feedstocks for commercial biogas plants. Silage technology has been applied to biomass storage in biogas production and ensilaging is regarded as a means of increasing methane yield from anaerobic digestion. Lactic acid is a common product of the acidogenic step in two-stage anaerobic digesters [14, 37–40].

Many studies clearly show that lactate is effectively utilized by methane-producing microbial communities. Analyses of the acidogenic fraction subjected to methanogenesis and the end products of the process in two-stage biogas digesters showed that lactate is the best utilized component, irrespective of its initial concentration [38, 41–43].

In this view, a relatively small number of studies have been published on anaerobic lactate oxidation (in comparison to butyrate oxidation and propionate oxidation) during the acetogenic step of AD. Studies done on pure cultures of *Acetobacter woodii* and *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* have constituted milestones in the research on the anaerobic lactate oxidation. However, they show the metabolism of single species only and not the functioning of whole microbial communities.

Considering the above issues, in the present study, methane-yielding microbial communities instead of pure cultures of microorganisms were used to process lactaterich artificial media to collect data allowing the description of the metabolic transformation of lactate during the acetogenic and methanogenic steps of AD in methaneyielding bioreactors. The artificial media were intended to imitate a mixture of acidic products in the anaerobic environments/anaerobic digesters where lactate fermentation dominates. Both the acetogenic and methanogenic steps took place in the bioreactors and the effective utilization of lactate was observed. Our system is closer to the natural environments and biogas digesters where microbial communities and not pure cultures exist.

The respective  $\Delta G^{0'}$  values/reaction for the oxidation of acetate, butyrate, propionate, ethanol, and lactate clearly shows that lactate degradation requires the lowest energy input and provides the highest energy gain for acetic acid-producing bacteria [4, 5, 44, 45]. This determines the attractiveness of lactate as an intermediate during anaerobic digestion (Fig. 6). Furthermore, the contribution of hydrogenotrophic methanogens to the process is not required [16, 17]. Anaerobic oxidation of lactate to



acetate creates excellent selective conditions for aceto-trophic methanogens.

Culture-independent molecular analyses of methanogenic communities based on next-generation sequencing have revealed that the contribution of methanogens performing the acetoclastic, methanotrophic, or hydrogenotrophic pathways in anaerobic digesters depends on the nature of the substrate and the process conditions [42, 46–49]. We previously discussed this issue and presented arguments in favor of the dominance of the hydrogenotrophic pathway of methane generation in bioreactors processing the acidic effluent from molasses fermentation [3, 42].

Our data on the processing of lactate-rich medium by the microbial communities in the bioreactor clearly show that the acetoclastic pathway of methanogenesis is dominant and further support the thesis that the substrate determines the operative methanogenic pathway.

As it has been shown above, stable carbon isotope analysis of carbon dioxide and methane in the fermentation gas points to the acetoclastic pathway of methanogenesis in the bioreactor. It is convincing evidence that shows the enzymatic activity in the microbial community. It is worth adding that the isotope distribution factor between carbon dioxide and methane stays within the range for hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis when butyrate or propionate-rich media are processed to methane (unpublished data).

The most abundant methanogens were *Methanosarcinales* represented by *Methanosaeta*. *Methanosarcina* and *Methanosaeta*, members of the order *Methanosarcinales*, are capable of methane production from acetate. Moreover, only *Methanosaeta* is strictly acetoclastic, whereas *Methanosarcina* is able to produce methane from acetate,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$ , and from methylated compounds [50, 51].

The obtained results are in accordance with those of a recent study on the anaerobic digestion of molasses wastewater in a UASB reactor, which revealed the significant contribution of Lactococcus and Methanos*aeta* [52]. These authors analyzed cDNA obtained by reverse transcription of RNA isolated from methaneyielding sludge samples. They proposed lactate as the major fermentation product being subsequently oxidized to acetate, a substrate for Methanosaeta. As a lactic acid bacterium, Lactococcus is a lactate producer, whereas Methanosaeta utilizes acetate generated by lactate oxidation. However, the identification of lactate oxidizers was ambiguous. In another study, analysis of the microbial community during corn stalk silage digestion revealed an abundance of Lactobacillus and Acetobacter species as well as a high concentration of lactic acid. During processing of the corn stalk silage to methane, Methanosaeta species were the predominant methanogens [38]. Wu et al. [43] used a two-stage system for the anaerobic digestion of fruit and vegetable waste. Lactate was a dominant product and Lactobacillus was the predominant microorganism during the first acidogenic phase, whereas Methanosaeta was the predominant methanogen in the second methaneproducing phase. The scenario that lactose can be readily converted to lactate by homolactic bacteria, e.g., Streptococcus lactis, lactate to acetate by Clostridium formicoaceticum and, finally, acetate to methane by *Methanosarcina mazei* was shown before using pure cultures of microorganisms [53]. From the available data, it may be concluded that an abundance of lactate in the acetogenic stage of AD favours the acetoclastic pathway of methanogenesis.

The oxidation of lactate to acetate also yields hydrogen and carbon dioxide as well as other products such as propionate [4, 15, 45]. Furthermore, anaerobic oxidation of butyrate or propionate (present in the processed medium at low concentrations) requires syntrophic metabolic processes that generate hydrogen, formate, and carbon dioxide used directly by partner hydrogenotrophic methanogens [9]. This may explain the minor contribution of hydrogenotrophic methanogens in the methaneyielding microbial communities processing a lactate-rich substrate. The fate of lactate during the acetogenic step of AD is summarized in Fig. 6 and the associated table.

The results of this and other recent studies (cited above) have contributed to solving the anaerobic digestion puzzle by shedding light on the processing of lactate during the acetogenic step of AD.

### Genes for lactate utilization under anaerobic conditions

The above considerations suggest that the lactate oxidisers constitute a physiological group in methane-yielding microbial communities.

Using next-generation DNA sequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragment libraries, the microbial composition of the communities processing artificial lactate-rich media to methane was determined. The identified phyla match those that are usually found in anaerobic digesters/biogas plants. We next selected species and genera found in both bioreactors, whose genomes are available in databases, and searched these sequences for genes encoding proteins involved in anaerobic lactate oxidation. The majority of species identified in the microbial communities are potentially able to use lactate as an energy source and they can be divided into several groups (Fig. 3). The first group (representatives of Firmicutes, Tissierellia, Synergistetes, Spirochaetes, and Actinobacteria) uses the enzymatic machinery recently described in Acetobacterium woodii, with the FAD-dependent lactate dehydrogenase GlcD and EtfA/B electron transfer complex that converts lactate to acetate, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen as intermediates [16]. In this group, a minimal GlcD-EtfA/B operon was identified. In the second group (representatives of Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes,  $\delta$ -Proteobacteria, and Chloroflexi), the GlcD dehydrogenase can occur with Fe-S oxidoreductase GlpC instead of EtfA/B, either as a fusion protein, two separate units, or both. GlpC is an iron-sulfur cluster-binding protein domain found in the FAD-dependent D-lactate dehydrogenase subunit of Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough [54].

Many representatives of *Bacteroidetes*,  $\delta$ -*Proteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Firmicutes*, *Terrabacteria*, and *Nitrospirae* possess the LutB, LutC protein domains found in the L-lactate dehydrogenase subunit as demonstrated for *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough [54]. Some representatives of the *Firmicutes* as well as  $\delta$ -*Proteobacteria* possess genes encoding both the GlcD-EtfA/B proteins and the GlcD/GlpC and Lut proteins.

The genes encoding both D-lactate and L-lactate dehydrogenases in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* are part of the *luo* operon (lactate utilization operon). This operon is conserved in other genera of sulfate-reducing bacteria. Furthermore, a high degree of redundancy is observed in the lactate utilization machinery of *D. vulgaris*. Members of the genus *Desulfovibrio* are capable of syntrophic growth on lactate and ethanol with hydrogenotrophic methaneproducing partners in the absence of sulfate. Since sulfate reduction is thermodynamically more favourable than methanogenesis, such a syntrophic metabolism is possible only when electron acceptors such as sulfate are absent [1, 9].

It is noteworthy that the presence of LldP permease and LarA racemase might not be obligatory for these potential lactate oxidizers, which would suggest, especially in the case of the former, either the passive diffusion of lactate or another mechanism of transport across the cell envelope.

Summing up, the genes encoding enzymes involved in anaerobic lactate metabolism are widespread in the domain *Bacteria*.

Notably, the genes encoding all nine proteins of the reductive acetyl-CoA (Wood–Ljungdahl) pathway were detected in the analyzed genomes. This indicates the capacity of the microbial communities to form acetate from carbon dioxide and hydrogen.

Although the bioinformatics analysis presented above is detailed and informative, it is based only on 16S rDNA amplicon verification and the information concerning the whole genomes has been retrieved from databases. Further studies using biochemical analysis and meta-omics approaches are warranted.

### Conclusions

Studies on methane-yielding microbial communities processing lactate-rich artificial media with a fixed composition revealed that (i) lactate oxidisers constitute a physiological group in the bacterial communities and the genes for lactate utilization under anaerobic conditions are widespread in the domain *Bacteria*; (ii) among *Archaea* present in the bioreactors the order *Methano sarcinales* predominated. The acetoclastic pathway of methane formation was confirmed by analysis of the stable carbon isotope composition of methane and carbon dioxide. The energy output on lactate degradation to the substrates for methanogenesis is the lowest in comparison to oxidation of acetate, butyrate, and propionate; therefore, attractiveness of lactate as an intermediate during anaerobic digestion is the highest. We postulate that lactate is oxidized mainly to acetate during acetogenesis and this comprises the acetotrophic pathway of methanogenesis. The results contribute to the knowledge of metabolic pathways of anaerobic digestion. They can also help to understand and improve the operation of biogas plants.

### **Additional files**

Additional file 1. Genera present in both the M1A and M1B communities with corresponding read numbers used in searching for genes of the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway.

Additional file 2. Enzymes (identified by EC number) of prokaryotic carbon fixation pathways in bioreactor microbial communities M1A and M1B, including the enzymes comprising the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway.

Additional file 3. Number of reads assigned to respective taxonomic branches, M1A microbial community.

Additional file 4. Number of reads assigned to respective taxonomic branches, M1 B microbial community.

Additional file 5. Lactate utilization genes in identified species and genera with known genome sequences.

Additional file 6. Genes encoding all nine proteins of the reductive acetyl-CoA (Wood–Ljungdahl) pathway (KEGG Module: M00377) found in both microbial communities, M1A and M1B.

Additional file 7. Stable carbon isotopic composition of substrates and fermentation gas.

Additional file 8. Performance of the M1B methane-yielding microbial community processing a lactate-rich artificial medium between 44th and 50th week of cultivation.

#### Abbreviations

AD: anaerobic digestion; COD: chemical oxygen demand; Etf: electron transfer flavoprotein; HPLC: high-performance liquid chromatography; HRT: hydraulic retention time; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; LarA: lactate racemase; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; LldP: lactate permease; ORP: oxidation/reduction potential; oxidative CODH/ACS: oxidative carbon-monoxide dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase pathway; SD: standard deviation; UASB bioreactor: upflow anaerobic sludge blanket bioreactor.

#### Authors' contributions

AS, MB, and MKB planned the work. AS, AD, MB, and ŁP conceived and designed the experiments. AD, AC, and MJ performed the experiments. AS, DM, MB, IM, and M-OJ analyzed the results. AS wrote the paper and MB revised it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Department of Molecular Biology, Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland. <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Earth Sciences, University of Silesia in Katowice, Sosnowiec, Poland. <sup>3</sup> Oil and Gas Institute, National Research Institute, Cracow, Poland. <sup>4</sup> Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Wroclaw, Wroclaw, Poland. <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Agriculture and Biology, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Warsaw, Poland.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. John Gittins for editorial assistance.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its additional files]. The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Funding

We acknowledge the support of The National Science Centre, Poland, through Grant UMO-2015/17/B/NZ9/01718 awarded for the years 2016–2019 and The National Centre for Research and Development, Poland, through Grant BIOSTRATEG2/297310/13/NCBiR/2016 awarded for the years 2016–2019.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 9 October 2017 Accepted: 4 April 2018 Published online: 21 April 2018

#### References

- Sieber JR, McInerney MJ, Gunsalus RP. Genomic insights into syntrophy: the paradigm for anaerobic metabolic cooperation. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2012;66:429–52.
- Mao CL, Feng YZ, Wang XJ, Ren GX. Review on research achievements of biogas from anaerobic digestion. Renew Sust Energ Rev. 2015;45:540–55.
- Sikora A, Detman A, Chojnacka A, Błaszczyk MK. Anaerobic digestion: I. A common process ensuring energy flow and the circulation of matter in ecosystems. II. A tool for the production of gaseous biofuels. In: Jozala AF, editor. Fermentation processes. Rijeka: InTech; 2017. p. 14.
- Mcinerney MJ, Bryant MP. Anaerobic degradation of lactate by syntrophic associations of *Methanosarcina-barkeri* and *desulfovibrio* species and effect of H-2 on acetate degradation. Appl Environ Microb. 1981;41:346–54.
- Kamagata Y. Syntrophy in anaerobic digestion. Anaerobic biotechnology. London: Imperial College Press; 2015. p. 13–30.
- Stams AJM, Plugge CM. Electron transfer in syntrophic communities of anaerobic bacteria and archaea. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7:568–77.
- Buckel W, Thauer RK. Energy conservation via electron bifurcating ferredoxin reduction and proton/Na+ translocating ferredoxin oxidation. Bba-Bioenergetics. 2013;1827:94–113.
- Shen L, Zhao QC, Wu X, Li XZ, Li QB, Wang YP. Interspecies electron transfer in syntrophic methanogenic consortia: from cultures to bioreactors (vol 54, pg 1358, 2016). Renew Sust Energ Rev. 2016;56:1431.
- Muller N, Worm P, Schink B, Stams AJM, Plugge CM. Syntrophic butyrate and propionate oxidation processes: from genomes to reaction mechanisms. Env Microbiol Rep. 2010;2:489–99.
- Worm P, Koehorst JJ, Visser M, Sedano-Nunez VT, Schaap PJ, Plugge CM, et al. A genomic view on syntrophic versus non-syntrophic lifestyle in anaerobic fatty acid degrading communities. Bba-Bioenergetics. 2014;1837:2004–16.
- Schmidt A, Frensch M, Schleheck D, Schink B, Muller N. Degradation of acetaldehyde and its precursors by *Pelobacter carbinolicus* and *P*-acetylenicus. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e115902.
- Bertsch J, Siemund AL, Kremp F, Muller V. A novel route for ethanol oxidation in the acetogenic bacterium *Acetobacterium woodii*: the acetaldehyde/ethanol dehydrogenase pathway. Environ Microbiol. 2016;18:2913–22.
- Daeschel MA, Andersson RE, Fleming HP. Microbial ecology of fermenting plant materials. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1987;46:357–67.

- Satpathy P, Steinigeweg S, Siefert E, Cypionka H. Effect of lactate and starter inoculum on biogas production from fresh maize and maize silage. Adv Microbiol. 2017;7:358–76.
- Ohnishi A, Hasegawa Y, Abe S, Bando Y, Fujimoto N, Suzuki M. Hydrogen fermentation using lactate as the sole carbon source: solution for 'blind spots' in biofuel production. Rsc Adv. 2012;2:8332–40.
- Weghoff MC, Bertsch J, Muller V. A novel mode of lactate metabolism in strictly anaerobic bacteria. Environ Microbiol. 2015;17:670–7.
- 17. Schuchmann K, Muller V. Energetics and application of heterotrophy in acetogenic bacteria. Appl Environ Microb. 2016;82:4056–69.
- Miller JH. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 1972. p. 431.
- Detman A, Chojnacka A, Błaszczyk M, Piotrowski J, Sikora A. Biohydrogen and biomethane (Biogas) production in the consecutive stages of anaerobic digestion of molasses. Polish J Environ Stud. 2017;26:1023–9.
- Chojnacka A, Blaszczyk MK, Szczesny P, Nowak K, Suminska M, Tomczyk-Zak K, et al. Comparative analysis of hydrogen-producing bacterial biofilms and granular sludge formed in continuous cultures of fermentative bacteria. Bioresour Technol. 2011;102:10057–64.
- Takahashi S, Tomita J, Nishioka K, Hisada T, Nishijima M. Development of a prokaryotic universal primer for simultaneous analysis of bacteria and archaea using next-generation sequencing. PLoS One. 2014;9:e105592.
- 22. Shannon CE. A mathematical theory of communication. At&T Tech J. 1948;27:623–56.
- 23. Tuomisto H. A diversity of beta diversities: straightening up a concept gone awry. Part 2. Quantifying beta diversity and related phenomena. Ecography. 2010;33:23–45.
- 24. Team RC. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al. Geneious basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2012;28:1647–9.
- Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han LY, He JE, Lanczycki CJ, Lu SN, et al. CDD/ SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:D200–3.
- Nagpal S, Haque MM, Mande SS. Vikodak—a modular framework for inferring functional potential of microbial communities from 16S metagenomic datasets. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0148347.
- Muto A, Kotera M, Tokimatsu T, Nakagawa Z, Goto S, Kanehisa M. Modular architecture of metabolic pathways revealed by conserved sequences of reactions. J Chem Inf Model. 2013;53:613–22.
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:D353–61.
- Skrzypek G, Kaluzny A, Jedrysek MO. Carbon stable isotope analyses of mosses—comparisons of bulk organic matter and extracted nitrocellulose. J Am Soc Mass Spectr. 2007;18:1453–8.
- Jedrysek MO. S–O–C isotopic picture of sulphate–methane–carbonate system in freshwater lakes from Poland. A review. Environ Chem Lett. 2005;3:100–12.
- Vinson DS, Blair NE, Martini AM, Larter S, Orem WH, McIntosh JC. Microbial methane from in situ biodegradation of coal and shale: a review and reevaluation of hydrogen and carbon isotope signatures. Chem Geol. 2017;453:128–45.
- 33. Sugimoto A, Wada E. Carbon isotopic composition of bacterial methane in a soil incubation experiment—contributions of acetate and  $Co_2/H_2$ . Geochim Cosmochim Ac. 1993;57:4015–27.
- Whiticar MJ. Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and oxidation of methane. Chem Geol. 1999;161:291–314.
- 35. Jędrysek M. Isotope composition of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and CH<sub>4</sub> as a new quantitative tool to asses antropopression, degradation and trophy of lake environment: a primary model. In: Lange W, Borowiak D, editors. Degradational endanger and protection of lakes. Gdansk: DJ Press; 1998. p. 73–84.
- Florou-Paneri P, Christaki E, Bonos E. Lactic acid bacteria as source of functional ingredients. In: Kongo M, editor. Lactic acid bacteria—R & D for food, health and livestock purposes. Rijeka: InTech; 2013. p. 25.
- Wu YY, Ma HL, Zheng MY, Wang KJ. Lactic acid production from acidogenic fermentation of fruit and vegetable wastes. Bioresour Technol. 2015;191:53–8.

- Zhao YB, Yu JD, Liu JJ, Yang HY, Gao LJ, Yuan XF, et al. Material and microbial changes during corn stalk silage and their effects on methane fermentation. Bioresour Technol. 2016;222:89–99.
- Li HJ, Chang JL, Liu PF, Fu L, Ding DW, Lu YH. Direct interspecies electron transfer accelerates syntrophic oxidation of butyrate in paddy soil enrichments. Environ Microbiol. 2015;17:1533–47.
- Strauber H, Lucas R, Kleinsteuber S. Metabolic and microbial community dynamics during the anaerobic digestion of maize silage in a two-phase process. Appl Microbiol Biot. 2016;100:479–91.
- Park MJ, Jo JH, Park D, Lee DS, Park JM. Comprehensive study on a two-stage anaerobic digestion process for the sequential production of hydrogen and methane from cost-effective molasses. Int J Hydrogen Energ. 2010;35:6194–202.
- Chojnacka A, Szczesny P, Blaszczyk MK, Zielenkiewicz U, Detman A, Salamon A, et al. Noteworthy facts about a methane-producing microbial community processing acidic effluent from sugar beet molasses fermentation. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:e0128008.
- Wu YY, Wang CP, Liu XJ, Ma HL, Wu J, Zuo JN, et al. A new method of two-phase anaerobic digestion for fruit and vegetable waste treatment. Bioresour Technol. 2016;211:16–23.
- 44. Thauer RK. Biochemistry of methanogenesis: a tribute to marjory stephenson. Microbiol-Uk. 1998;144:2377–406.
- Oyekola OO, van Hille RP, Harrison STL. Study of anaerobic lactate metabolism under biosulfidogenic conditions. Water Res. 2009;43:3345–54.
- Jablonski S, Rodowicz P, Lukaszewicz M. Methanogenic archaea database containing physiological and biochemical characteristics. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2015;65:1360–8.

- Campanaro S, Treu L, Kougias PG, De Francisci D, Valle G, Angelidaki I. Metagenomic analysis and functional characterization of the biogas microbiome using high throughput shotgun sequencing and a novel binning strategy. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2016;9:26.
- 48. Guo JH, Peng YZ, Ni BJ, Han XY, Fan L, Yuan ZG. Dissecting microbial community structure and methane-producing pathways of a full-scale anaerobic reactor digesting activated sludge from wastewater treatment by metagenomic sequencing. Microb Cell Fact. 2015;14:33.
- Li CR, Mortelmaier C, Winter J, Gallert C. Co-digestion of wheat and rye bread suspensions with source-sorted municipal biowaste. Waste Manage. 2015;40:63–71.
- Kendall MM, Boone DR. The order *Methanosarcinales*. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H, Stackebrandt E, editors. The prokaryotes: 3: archaea bacteria: firmicutes, actinomycetes. New York: Springer; 2006. p. 244–56.
- 51. Welte C, Deppenmeier U. Bioenergetics and anaerobic respiratory chains of aceticlastic methanogens. Bba-Bioenergetics. 2014;1837:1130–47.
- Kim TG, Yun J, Cho KS. The close relation between *Lactococcus* and *Methanosaeta* is a keystone for stable methane production from molasses wastewater in a UASB reactor. Appl Microbiol Biot. 2015;99:8271–83.
- Yang ST, Tang IC. Methanogenesis from lactate by a coculture of Clostridium–Formicoaceticum and Methanosarcina–Mazei. Appl Microbiol Biot. 1991;35:119–23.
- Vita N, Valette O, Brasseur G, Lignon S, Denis Y, Ansaldi M, et al. The primary pathway for lactate oxidation in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:606.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

