



You have downloaded a document from  
**RE-BUŚ**  
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

**Title:** On generalized solutions of some differential equations

**Author:** Jan Ligeża

**Citation style:** Ligeża Jan. (1990). On generalized solutions of some differential equations. "Annales Mathematicae Silesianae" (Vol. 3 (1990), s. 72-81).



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI  
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka  
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki  
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

JAN LIGEŻA\*

**ON GENERALIZED SOLUTIONS  
OF SOME DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

**Abstract.** We prove theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the distributional solutions of the Cauchy problem for equation (1.0).

**1. Introduction.** In this note we consider the following equation

$$(1.0) \quad y' = F(y, y(h)),$$

where  $F$  is a given operation,  $y$  is an unknown real function of locally bounded variation in  $\mathbf{R}^1$  ( $\mathbf{R}^1$  denotes the real line),  $h$  is a continuous real function defined in  $\mathbf{R}^1$  and  $F(y, y(h))$  is a measure. The derivative is understood in the distributional sense. Our theorems generalize some results given in [2], [3] and [4].

**2. Notation.** By  $\mathcal{V}$  ( $\mathcal{V}[t_0, t+a)$ ) we denote the set of all real functions of locally bounded variation in  $\mathbf{R}^1$  (resp. the set of real functions of locally bounded variation defined in the interval  $[t_0, t_0+a)$ ). We say that a distribution  $p$  is a *measure in  $\mathbf{R}^1$*  if  $p$  is the first distributional derivative of a function from the class  $\mathcal{V}$ . The symbol  $\mathcal{M}$  ( $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ) denotes the set of all measures (resp. non negative measures) defined in  $\mathbf{R}^1$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then we define

$$(2.0) \quad P^*(t_0) = \frac{P(t_0+) + P(t_0-)}{2},$$

$$(2.1) \quad \int_c^d p(t) dt = P^*(d) - P^*(c)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(t) dt = \lim_{c \rightarrow -\infty} \left( \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \int_c^d p(t) dt \right),$$

where  $P(t_0+)$ ,  $(P(t_0-))$  denotes the right (resp. left) hand side limits of the function  $P$  at the point  $t_0$  and  $P' = p$ . One may show that if  $Q \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $p \cdot Q \in \mathcal{M}$  (see [1]) and

$$(2.3) \quad |pQ| \leq |p| |Q|,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \int_c^d p(t) Q(t) dt \right| \leq \sup_{c \leq t \leq d} |Q|^*(t) \int_c^d |p|(t) dt,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \int_c^d p(t) dt \leq \int_c^d q(t) dt,$$

where  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $p \leq q$  (see [5], [6]). By  $\mathcal{V}^*$  we denote the set of all functions  $z \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $z(t) = z^*(t)$  for every  $t$ . Let  $L \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $c$  be a positive constant. We define

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_L^c = \{x \in \mathcal{V}^* : \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} [(|x|^*(t_0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t x(s))E(t)] < \infty\},$$

Received January 11, 1982.

AMS (MOS) Subject classification (1980). Primary 34A10, Secondary 46F10.

\*Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, Katowice, ul. Bankowa 14, Poland.

where  $\text{var}_{t_0}^t x = \text{var}_{t_0}^t x$  if  $t < t_0$ ,  $\text{var}_0^0 x = 0$  and  $E(t) = e^{-c|\int_{t_0}^t L(s)ds|}$ . The set  $\mathcal{B}_L^c$  is a linear space (the sum of two functions and the product of a scalar and a function is understood in the usual way). Next, if  $x \in \mathcal{B}_L^c$  we put

$$(2.7) \quad w(t) = |x|^*(t_0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t x(s),$$

$$(2.8) \quad E^{-1}(t) = (E(t))^{-1},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|x\| = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} w(t)E(t),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|x\|_{[a,b]} = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} w(t)E(t), \quad (t_0 \in [a, b])$$

$$(2.11) \quad \|x\|^* = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} w(t)$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \|x\|_{[a,b]}^* = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} w(t), \quad t_0 \in [a, b].$$

One may show that a  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm in  $\mathcal{B}_L^c$ . The space  $\mathcal{B}_L^c$  with the norm (2.9) we denote by  $\mathcal{B}$ .

### 3. The main results.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The space  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Banach space.*

Now we examine the following problem

$$(3.0) \quad \begin{cases} y' = F(y, y(h)) \\ (3.1) \quad y^*(t_0) = \bar{y}_0. \end{cases}$$

By a solution of the problem (3.0)—(3.1) we understand a function  $y \in \mathcal{B}$  which satisfies (3.0) (in the distributional sense) and (3.1). We shall introduce two hypotheses.

**Hypothesis  $H_1$ .**

1.  $F$  is an operation defined for every system of functions  $(u, v)$  of the class  $\mathcal{V}$ .
2.  $F(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$ .
3.  $h$  is the continuous real function defined in  $\mathbf{R}^1$  such that if  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $u(h) \in \mathcal{V}$ .
4. For every  $M_0$  there exists  $N$  such that  $0 < N < M_0$  and

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^t |F(y, y(h))|(s)ds \right\|^* \leq N \text{ for } t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

whenever  $\|y\|^* \leq M_0$ .

5.  $|\bar{y}_0| \leq M_0 - N$ .
6. If  $y_n, y_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\|y_n\|^* \leq M_0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $y_n \rightrightarrows y_0$  (almost uniformly), then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(y_n) - T(y_0)\| = 0,$$

where

$$T(y_i)(t) = \bar{y}_0 + \int_{t_0}^t F(y_i, y_i(h))(s) ds \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots),$$

7. There exists  $k \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  such

$$|F(y, y(h))| \leq k$$

for every  $y \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\|y\|^* \leq M_0$  and  $\|\hat{k}\|^* \leq M_0$ , where  $(\hat{k})' = k$ .

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{L})^*(t) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{L})^*(t) = -\infty$ , where  $(\hat{L})' = L \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ . Moreover, let  $L \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(t) dt = r < \infty$ ,  $|\int_{t_0}^t L(s) ds|^*(t_0) = m$ ,  $0 < r+m < 1$ ,  $\bar{h}$  a constant and  $y \in \mathcal{V}$ . It is not difficult to check that the operations  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  defined as follows

$$F_1(y, y(h))(t) := L(t)y(t+\bar{h}),$$

$$F_2(y, y(h))(t) := L(t) \frac{y(t)}{1+|y(t+\bar{h})|}$$

satisfy the hypothesis  $H_1$ . In fact, by (2.4) we can write

$$\|F_j(y, y(h))\|^* \leq M_0(m+r) := N < M_0$$

for  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $0 < m+r < 1$  and  $\|y\|^* \leq M_0$ . Let  $y_n, y_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\|y_n\|^* \leq M_0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and let  $y_n \Rightarrow y_0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(y_i) - T(y_0)\| &= \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [F_j(y_i, y_i(h)) - F_j(y_0, y_0(h))](s) ds \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [F_j(y_i, y_i(h)) - F_j(y_0, y_0(h))](s) ds \right\|_{[-a, a]} + \frac{4M_0 r (1 + M_0 D_j)}{e^{cP(a)}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $P(a) = \min[|\hat{L}^*(a) - \hat{L}^*(t_0)|, |\hat{L}^*(-a) - \hat{L}^*(t_0)|]$ ,  $t_0 \in [-a, a]$ ,  $D_1 = 0$  and  $D_2 = 1$ . Thus

$$\|T(y_n) - T(y_0)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } i > n_0 \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0$$

(and for sufficiently large  $a$ ). We put

$$k(t) := M_0 L(t).$$

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $f: \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^1$  be a continuous function such that

$$|f(t, y(t), y(h(t)))| \leq Q(t)$$

whenever  $\|y\|^* \leq M_0$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q(t) dt \leq M_0$ . Then we consider operation  $F$  defined as follows

$$F(y, y(h))(t) := f(t, y(t), y(h(t))).$$

Next, we assume that

1. For every  $M_0$  there exists  $N$  such that  $0 < N < M_0$  and

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^t |F(y, y(h))(s)| ds \right\|^* \leq N,$$

whenever  $\|y\|^* \leq M_0$ .

2.  $h$  is a continuous real non increasing function.
3.  $|\bar{y}_0| \leq M_0 - N$ .

It is not difficult to verify that the operation  $F$  satisfies assumptions of hypothesis  $H_1$ .

Hypothesis  $H_2$ .

1. Assumptions 1. and 2. of  $H_1$  are fulfilled.
2.  $h$  is a real continuous function such that for every  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $t$  holds

$$|u(h) - v(h)|^*(t) \leq |u - v|^*(t_0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^{t+\gamma(t)}(u - v)^*(s)$$

and  $u(h) \in \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\gamma$  is a continuous real function defined in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

3. There exists  $L \in \mathcal{M}$  such that for every  $u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathcal{V}$  holds

$$|F(u, v) - F(\bar{u}, \bar{v})| \leq L(|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}|),$$

where

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(t) dt = r, \quad |F(0, 0)| \leq cL, \quad c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) = q.$$

$$4. \quad \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} e^{ct} \int_t^{t+\gamma(t)} L(s) ds = m.$$

$$5. \quad \alpha := (q + r)(m + 1) < 1.$$

$$6. \quad p \geq \frac{|\bar{y}_0| + cq + 1}{1 - (q + r)(m + 1)}.$$

$$7. \quad \mathcal{B}^* := \{y \in \mathcal{B} : \|y\|^* \leq p\}.$$

EXAMPLE 3. We consider the following problem

$$(3.2) \quad y' = \frac{1}{4} \delta(t) y(t + \bar{h}), \quad y^*(0) = 1,$$

where  $\delta$  denotes the Dirac delta,  $\bar{h}$  a constant. If we shall put

$$L = \frac{1}{4} \delta, \quad \gamma(t) = \bar{h}, \quad r = \frac{1}{4}, \quad q = \frac{1}{8}, \quad m = e^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \alpha < 1$$

and

$$F(y, y(h))(t) = \frac{1}{4} \delta(t) y(t + \bar{h}),$$

then hypothesis  $H_2$  is satisfied.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let hypothesis  $H_1$  be fulfilled. Then the problem (3.0)—(3.1) has at least one solution.*

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let hypothesis  $H_2$  be satisfied. Then the problem (3.0)—(3.1) has exactly one solution in the class  $\mathcal{B}^*$ .*

#### 4. Proofs.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let  $y_n \in \mathcal{B}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and let for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $r_0$  such that

$$(4.0) \quad \|y_n - y_m\| < \varepsilon$$

for every  $n, m > r_0$ . Then

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (|y_n(t) - y_m(t)|)E(t) &= \\ &= (|y_n(t) - y_m(t) + y_n(t_0) - y_n(t_0) + y_m(t_0) - y_m(t_0)|)E(t) \leq \\ &\leq (|y_n - y_m|^*(t_0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t(y_n - y_m)(s))E(t) \leq \\ &\leq \|y_n - y_m\| < \varepsilon \quad (n, m > r_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sequence  $\{y_n(t)\}$  is almost uniformly convergent to a function  $y$ . We shall show that  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ . In fact, from (4.1) we have

$$(4.2) \quad \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} (\text{var}_{t_0}^t(y_n - y_m)(s))E(t) \leq \|y_n - y_m\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for  $n, m > r_1$ . Hence taking into account [7, Theorem 5.7] we infer that

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} \text{var}_{t_0}^t(y_n - y)(s)E(t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } n > r_1.$$

Let

$$(4.4) \quad |y_n - y|^*(t_0) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } n > r_2.$$

Then, by (4.3) and (4.4) we can write

$$(4.5) \quad \|y_n - y\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n > r_3,$$

where  $r_3 = \max(r_1, r_2)$ . Thus the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

**REMARK.** Let  $\mathcal{V}^*(a, b)$  be the set of all real functions  $z$  of locally bounded variation in the interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbf{R}^1$  such that  $z(t) = z^*(t)$  for every  $t \in (a, b)$ . Moreover, let  $L = 0$ ,  $t_0 \in (a, b)$  and let

$$\|x\|_{(a,b)} := |x|^*(t_0) + \sup_{a < t < b} (\text{var}_{t_0}^t x^*(s)).$$

We define

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}}(a, b) := \{x \in \mathcal{V}^*(a, b) : \|x\|_{(a,b)} < \infty\}.$$

We conclude that the linear space  $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(a, b)$  with the norm  $\|x\|_{(a,b)}$  is a Banach space.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 3.2 we shall formulate the properties  $\tilde{L}$ ,  $L^*$  and two lemmas.

Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}[t_0, t_0 + a)$  ( $0 < a \leq \infty$ ). We say that a family  $\mathcal{A}$  has the property  $\tilde{L}$ , if the following condition holds (see [8] p. 29)

$$\bigwedge_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigwedge_{t_1 \in [t_0, t_0 + a)} \bigvee_{\delta > 0} \bigwedge_{t \in [t_0, t_0 + a)} \bigwedge_{f \in \mathcal{A}} [(0 < t - t_1 < \delta \Rightarrow |f(t) - f(t_1 +)| < \varepsilon) \wedge (0 < t_1 - t < \delta \Rightarrow |f(t) - f(t_1 -)| < \varepsilon)].$$

LEMMA 4.1. (see [8] p. 30). *Let  $f_n \in \mathcal{V}[t_0, t_0 + a)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  has the property  $\tilde{L}$  and if  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  for every  $t$ , then  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  almost uniformly.*

We assume that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . We say that a family  $\mathcal{A}$  has the property  $L^*$  if the following condition holds

$$\bigwedge_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigwedge_{t_1 \in (-\infty, \infty)} \bigvee_{\delta > 0} \bigwedge_{t \in (-\infty, \infty)} \bigwedge_{f \in \mathcal{A}} [(0 < t - t_1 < \delta \Rightarrow ||f(t) - f(t_1 +)| < \varepsilon) \wedge (0 < t_1 - t < \delta \Rightarrow |f(t) - f(t_1 -)| < \varepsilon)].$$

From Lemma 4.1. we conclude

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $f_n \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  has the property  $L^*$  and if  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  for every  $t$ , then  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  almost uniformly in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .*

PROOF of Theorem 3.2. We shall apply Schauder's — Mazur's theorem on fixed point. In this purpose we consider the set  $\mathcal{U}^* \subset \mathcal{B}$  defined as follows

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{U}^* = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\|^* \leq M_0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of all functions  $y \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that

$$|y(t) - y(t_1 +)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 +)| \text{ for } t > t_1$$

and

$$|y(t) - y(t_1 -)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 -)| \text{ for } t < t_1.$$

It is easy to observe that  $\mathcal{U}$  is non empty set. Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and  $y = \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v$ . Then

$$\|y\|^* \leq \|\lambda u\|^* + \|(1 - \lambda)v\|^* \leq M_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - y(t_1 +)| &\leq \lambda |u(t) - u(t_1 +)| + (1 - \lambda) |v(t) - v(t_1 +)| \leq \\ &\leq \lambda |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 +)| + (1 - \lambda) |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 +)| \leq \\ &\leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 +)| \end{aligned}$$

for  $t > t_1$ . Similarly

$$|y(t) - y(t_1 -)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 -)| \text{ for } t < t_1.$$

Hence, we infer that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a convex set. Moreover, we shall show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a closed set. In fact, let  $x_n \in \mathcal{U}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a number  $r_0$  such that

$$(4.7) \quad \|x_n - x\|_{[-a, a]} \leq \|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } n > r_0.$$

Hence

$$(4.8) \quad \|x_n - x\|_{[-a, a]}^* < \varepsilon \text{ for } n > r_1.$$

From the last inequality, we get

$$(4.9) \quad \|x\|_{[-a, a]}^* < \|x_n\|_{[-a, a]}^* + \varepsilon \leq \|x_n\|^* + \varepsilon \leq M_0 + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|x\|_{[-a, a]}^* \leq M_0.$$

Hence we can write

$$(4.10) \quad \|x\|^* \leq M_0.$$

From the definition of the set  $\mathcal{U}$ , we have

$$(4.11) \quad |x_n(t) - x_n(t_1 +)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 +)| \text{ for } t > t_1$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad |x_n(t) - x_n(t_1 -)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 -)| \text{ for } t < t_1.$$

Since the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is almost uniformly convergent to  $x$ , by (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad |x^*(t) - x^*(t_1 +)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 +)| \text{ for } t > t_1$$

and

$$|x^*(t) - x^*(t_1 -)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 -)| \text{ for } t < t_1.$$

Taking into account relations (4.10) and (4.13) we infer that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a closed set. Next, we define transformation  $T$  as follows

$$(4.14) \quad T(x)(t) = \bar{y}_0 + \int_{t_0}^t F(x, x(h))(s) ds =: y,$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ . Using (4.14) and assumptions 4,5 of  $H_1$  we have

$$(4.15) \quad \|T(x)\|^* \leq |\bar{y}_0| + N \leq M_0 - N + N \leq M_0.$$



Moreover, by 7. of  $H_1$  and (4.14) we can write

$$(4.16) \quad |y^*(t) - y^*(t_1 +)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 +)|$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad |y^*(t) - y^*(t_1 -)| \leq |\hat{k}^*(t) - \hat{k}^*(t_1 -)|.$$

Applying (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17) we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad T(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

Let  $x_n \in \mathcal{U}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Taking into account 6. of  $H_1$  and almost uniformly convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , we conclude that  $T$  is a continuous operation. In the sequel we shall prove that  $T(\mathcal{U})$  is a compact set in  $\mathcal{B}$ . In fact, let  $y_i \in T(\mathcal{U})$  ( $i = 1, \dots$ ) i.e.

$$(4.19) \quad y_i = T(x_i), \quad x_i \in \mathcal{U}, (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

The sequence  $\{x_i\}$  has the property  $L^*$  and

$$(4.20) \quad \|x_i\|^* \leq M_0.$$

Applying Helly's theorem and Lemma 4.2 we infer that there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{i_q}\}$  of the sequence  $\{x_i\}$  almost uniformly convergent to a function  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ , because (by (4.20) and [7] p. 371)

$$(4.21) \quad \|x\|^* \leq M_0.$$

On the other hand from 6. of  $H_1$  we get

$$(4.22) \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} T(x_{i_q}) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} y_{i_q} = T(x) \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Thus  $T(\mathcal{U})$  is a compact set. Now, we use Schauder's — Mazur's theorem on fixed point to transformation  $T$ , which implies our assertion.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** We shall apply the Banach theorem on fixed point. In this purpose we consider the operation  $T$  defined by (4.14) for  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . Next, we consider the set  $\mathcal{B}^*$  (defined by 10. of  $H_2$ ). We shall show that under assumptions  $H_2$

$$(4.23) \quad T(\mathcal{B}^*) \subset \mathcal{B}^*.$$

In fact, let  $x \in \mathcal{B}^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.24) \quad |y|^*(t_0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t y(s) &\leq |\bar{y}_0| + \left| \int_{t_0}^t F(x, x(h))(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
&\quad + \text{var}_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s F(x, x(h))(\tau) d\tau \right) \leq \\
&\leq |\bar{y}_0| + \left| \int_{t_0}^t |F(x, x(h)) - F(0, 0)|(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{t_0}^t |F(0, 0)(s) ds|^*(t_0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s |F(x, x(h)) - \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - F(0, 0)|(\tau) d\tau \right) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s |F(0, 0)|(\tau) d\tau \right) \leq \\
&\leq |\bar{y}_0| + \left| \int_{t_0}^t (L|x|)(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \left| \int_{t_0}^t (L|x(h)))(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
&\quad + c \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \left| \int_{t_0}^t (L|x|)(s) ds \right| + \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{t_0}^t (L|x(h)))(s) ds \right| + c \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) ds \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account 2. of  $H_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.25) \quad |y|^*(0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t y(s) &\leq |\bar{y}_0| + \|x\| \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) E^{-1}(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
&\quad + \|x\| \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) e^{c \int_{t_0}^{s+\gamma(s)} L(u) du} ds \right|^*(t_0) + cq + \\
&\quad + \|x\| \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) E^{-1}(s) ds \right| + \\
&\quad + \|x\| \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) e^{c \int_{t_0}^{s+\gamma(s)} L(u) du} ds \right| + E^{-1}(t) \leq \\
&\leq |\bar{y}_0| + E^{-1}(t) q \|x\| + \\
&\quad + \|x\| E^{-1}(t) \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) e^{c \int_{t_0}^{s+\gamma(s)} L(u) du} ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
&\quad + cq + \|x\| E^{-1}(t) r + \\
&\quad + \|x\| E^{-1}(t) \left| \int_{t_0}^t L(s) e^{c \int_{t_0}^{s+\gamma(s)} L(u) du} ds \right| + E^{-1}(t) \leq \\
&\leq (|\bar{y}_0| + q \|x\| + qm \|x\| + cq + \|x\| r + \\
&\quad + mr \|x\| + 1) E^{-1}(t) \leq p E^{-1}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality we obtain relation (4.23). Let  $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{B}^*$ ,  $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{B}^*$  and let  $y = T(\bar{y})$ ,  $z = T(\bar{z})$ . Then similarly to (4.25) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |y - z|^*(t_0) + \text{var}_{t_0}^t(y - z)(s) &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t |F(\bar{y}, \bar{y}(h)) - F(\bar{z}, \bar{z}(h))|(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
 &\quad + \text{var}_{t_0}^s \left( \int_{t_0}^s |F(\bar{y}, \bar{y}(h)) - F(\bar{z}, \bar{z}(h))|(\tau) d\tau \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t (L|\bar{y} - \bar{z}|)(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_{t_0}^t L|\bar{y}(h) - \bar{z}(h)|(s) ds \right|^*(t_0) + \\
 &\quad + \text{var}_{t_0}^s \left( \int_{t_0}^s (L|\bar{y} - \bar{z}|)(\tau) d\tau \right) + \\
 &\quad + \text{var}_{t_0}^s \left( \int_{t_0}^s (L|\bar{y}(h) - \bar{z}(h)|)(\tau) d\tau \right) \leq \\
 &\leq (q \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\|) + mq \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\| + \\
 &\quad + r \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\| + rm \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\| E^{-1}(t) \leq \alpha \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\| E^{-1}(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|y - z\| \leq \alpha \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\|, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. ANTOSIK, J. LIGEŻA, *Product of measures and functions of finite variation*, Proceedings of the conference on generalized functions and operational calculi, Varna 1975, 20—26.
- [2] J. BŁAŻ, *O pewnym równaniu różniczkowym z odchylnym argumentem*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach, Prace Mat. 1 (1969), 15—23.
- [3] T. DŁOTKO, M. KUCZMA, *Sur une equation differentielle fonctionelle a argument accelere*, Colloq. Math. 12 (1964), 107—114.
- [4] J. LIGEŻA, *The existence and the uniqueness of distributional solutions of some systems of non-linear differential equations*, Casopis Pěst. Mat. 102 (1977), 30—36.
- [5] J. LIGEŻA, *O rozwiązaniach uogólnionych równań różniczkowych zwyczajnych*, Praca doktorska, Katowice 1974, Biblioteka Główna Uniwersytetu Śląskiego.
- [6] J. LIGEŻA, *On an integral inequality*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach, Prace Mat. 7 (1977), 22—27.
- [7] R. SIKORSKI, *Funkcje rzeczywiste*, T. I., Warszawa 1951.
- [8] U. SZTABA, *Badania rozwiązań pewnych uogólnionych równań różniczkowych zwyczajnych*, Praca doktorska, Katowice 1978, Biblioteka Główna Uniwersytetu Śląskiego.