



You have downloaded a document from  
**RE-BUŚ**  
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

**Title:** On the existence of optimal control for general stochastic equations

**Author:** Maria Stolarczyk

**Citation style:** Stolarczyk Maria. (1990). On the existence of optimal control for general stochastic equations. "Annales Mathematicae Silesianae" (Nr 3 (1990), s. 115-126).



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI  
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka  
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki  
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

MARIA STOLARCZYK\*

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF OPTIMAL CONTROL FOR GENERAL STOCHASTIC EQUATIONS

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider the problem of optimal control for general stochastic differential equation of Itô type. We prove the existence of solutions of this equation under weaker assumptions than in [2]. Moreover, we prove the compactness of the space of solutions and the existence of optimal control.

In this paper we consider the problem of optimal control for general stochastic differential equation of Itô type. The equation of this type has been considered in the paper [2] by Fleming and Nisio, where under certain assumptions the existence and uniqueness of solutions and existence of optimal control were proved.

In the present paper using Opial's theorem on the differential inequalities and some ideas of the paper [4] we prove the existence of solutions of this equation under weaker assumptions than in [2]. Similar assumptions are made for stochastic differential equation without delay and control by Blaž in [1]. Moreover, in this paper we prove the compactness of the space of solutions and obtain the theorem on the existence of optimal control similar to the one of [2].

**Preliminaries.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space. Given a stochastic process  $X(t)$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , denote by  $\mathcal{B}_{u,v}(X)$  the least  $\sigma$ -algebra for which  $X(t)$  is measurable for  $t \in [u, v]$ . The Wiener process is denoted by  $B(t)$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ ,  $B(0) \equiv 0$ .  $\mathcal{B}_{u,v}(dB)$  denotes the least  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{B(t) - B(s), u \leq s \leq t \leq v\}$ . The least  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_1 \vee \mathcal{B}_2 \vee \dots$ .

For fixed  $s$ , we define the process  $\Pi_s X$  by:

$$(1.1) \quad (\Pi_s X)(t) = X(s+t), \quad t \leq 0.$$

By  $\mathcal{C}_-$  we denote the space of all real continuous functions defined on the negative half-line  $(-\infty, 0]$  with the metric  $\varrho_-$ , where

$$(1.2) \quad \varrho_-(f, g) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \frac{\|f-g\|_m}{1 + \|f-g\|_m}$$

with

$$\|h\|_m = \sup_{t \in [-m, 0]} |h(t)|.$$

Let  $a(t, f)$  and  $b(t, f, g)$  be real valued continuous functionals defined on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C}_-$  and  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C}_- \times \mathcal{C}_-$ , respectively. Let  $X_-(t)$ ,  $t \leq 0$ , be a con-

*Received June 10, 1983.*

AMS (MOS) Subject classifications (1980). Primary 60H10. Secondary 34H05.

\*Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, Katowice, ul. Bankowa 14, Poland.

tinuous stochastic process. A stochastic process  $U(t), t \geq 0$ , is called an *admissible control*, or to be more precise, the triple  $(X_-, U, B)$  is called an *admissible system* if with probability one:

$$(1.3) \quad |U(t) - U(s)| \leq |t - s|, \quad 0 \leq t, s < \infty, U(0) = 0,$$

and if

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{B}(X_-) \vee \mathcal{B}_{0,t}(U) \vee \mathcal{B}_{-\infty,t}(B) \text{ is independent of } \mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(dB)$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ .

A continuous stochastic process  $X(t)$  is called a *solution of a stochastic differential equation* (for an admissible system  $(X_-, U, B)$ )

$$(1.5) \quad dX(t) = a(t, \Pi_t X) dU(t) + b(t, \Pi_t X, \Pi_t B) dB(t)$$

with the past condition  $X_-$ , if

$$(1.6) \quad X(t) = X_-(t), \quad t \leq 0,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{B}_{-\infty,t}(X) \vee \mathcal{B}_{0,t}(U) \vee \mathcal{B}_{-\infty,t}(B) \text{ is independent of } \mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(dB)$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ , and if with probability one

$$(1.8) \quad X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t a(\tau, \Pi_\tau X) dU(\tau) + \int_0^t b(\tau, \Pi_\tau X, \Pi_\tau B) dB(\tau).$$

**Itô's formula [3].** Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a twice continuously differentiable function and let  $\alpha(t)$  be a continuous stochastic process which may be represented as the difference of two increasing processes. Suppose that

$$(2.1) \quad \xi(t) = \xi(u) + \int_u^t \mathcal{R}(s) d\alpha(s) + \int_u^t A(s) dB(s).$$

Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad f(\xi(t)) - f(\xi(u)) = \int_u^t f'_x(\xi(s)) \mathcal{R}(s) d\alpha(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_u^t f''_{xx}(\xi(s)) A^2(s) ds + \int_u^t f'_x(\xi(s)) A(s) dB(s).$$

In particular, if  $f(x) = x^4$  then

$$(2.3) \quad [\xi(t)]^4 = [\xi(u)]^4 + 4 \int_u^t \xi^3(s) \mathcal{R}(s) d\alpha(s) + 6 \int_u^t \xi^2(s) A^2(s) ds + 4 \int_u^t \xi^3(s) A(s) dB(s).$$

If

$$\int_u^t E[\xi^6(s) A^2(s)] ds < \infty$$

then

$$(2.4) \quad E[\xi(t)]^4 = E[\xi(u)]^4 + 4E \int_u^t \xi^3(s) \mathcal{R}(s) d\alpha(s) + 6 \int_u^t E[\xi^2(s) A^2(s)] ds.$$

Using  $4\xi^3 \mathcal{R} \leq 3\xi^4 + \mathcal{R}^4$  and  $2\xi^2 A^2 \leq \xi^4 + A^4$  we have

$$E[\xi(t)]^4 \leq E[\xi(u)]^4 + 3E \int_u^t [\xi^4(s) + \mathcal{R}^4(s)] d\alpha(s) + 3 \int_u^t E[\xi^4(s) + A^4(s)] ds.$$

If in particular  $\alpha(s)$  with probability one satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|\alpha(t) - \alpha(s)| \leq |t - s|$$

then with probability one  $\alpha(t)$  has almost everywhere a derivative  $\alpha'(t)$  bounded by one and if a sum  $\xi^4(s) + \mathcal{R}^4(s)$  is continuous then

$$\int_u^t [\xi^4(s) + \mathcal{R}^4(s)] d\alpha(s) \leq \int_u^t [\xi^4(s) + \mathcal{R}^4(s)] ds.$$

By (2.4) we have

$$(2.5) \quad E[\xi(t)]^4 \leq E[\xi(u)]^4 + 6 \int_u^t E[\xi^4(s) + \mathcal{R}^4(s) + A^4(s)] ds.$$

**Prohorov Metric.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a separable complete metric space with the metric  $\varrho$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\varrho$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets on  $\Sigma$ . Given two probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\Sigma$ , we define the Prohorov metric  $L(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_{12}$  be the infimum of  $\varepsilon$  such that for every closed subset  $F$  of  $\Sigma$

$$\mu_1(F) \leq \mu_2(O_\varepsilon(F)) + \varepsilon$$

where  $O_\varepsilon(F)$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $F$ . Define  $\varepsilon_{21}$  by changing  $\mu_1$  on  $\mu_2$  and  $\mu_2$  on  $\mu_1$  in the definition of  $\varepsilon_{12}$ . Set

$$L(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \max(\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}).$$

The set of all probability measures on  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}_\varrho)$  with metric  $L$  is a separable complete metric space.

Let  $X(\omega)$  be a  $\Sigma$ -valued random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . The random variable  $X$  defines a probability measure  $\mu_X$  on  $\Sigma$

$$\mu_X(B) = P(\{\omega: X(\omega) \in B\}) \text{ for } B \in \mathcal{B}_\varrho.$$

Let  $\chi(\Sigma)$  be the system of all  $\Sigma$ -valued random variables (they need not be defined on the same probability space). We define a distance between two random variables  $X_1, X_2 \in \chi(\Sigma)$  by:

$$L(X_1, X_2) = L(\mu_{X_1}, \mu_{X_2}).$$

In this way, we can define  $L$ -convergence,  $L$ -compactness, etc., on  $\chi(\Sigma)$ . Moreover, we have the following

**THEOREM** (Skorohod, [4]). *If  $X_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  (not necessarily defined on the same probability space) is an  $L$ -Cauchy sequence, then there are a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and a sequence of random variables  $Y, Y_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  defined on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad L(Y_n, X_n) = 0$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad P(\varrho(Y_n, Y) \rightarrow 0) = 1.$$

So if in  $\chi(\Sigma)$  we identify random variables  $X, Y$  which have the same probability law then Skorohod's theorem implies that  $(\chi(\Sigma), L)$  is a complete space. The convergence in the sense of the metric  $L$  means the weak convergence.

A subsystem  $\mathcal{H} = \{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  of  $\chi(\Sigma)$  is *weakly compact* if  $\mathcal{H}$  is compact under weak convergence.

We shall use the following

**THEOREM** (Prohorov, [4]). *In order for  $\mathcal{H} = \{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  to be weakly compact in  $\chi(\Sigma)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact subset  $K_\varepsilon$  of  $\Sigma$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad P(X_\alpha \in K_\varepsilon) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for every } \alpha \in A.$$

Let  $(\Sigma_i, \varrho_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be separable complete metric spaces. Then the direct product space  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \times \dots \times \Sigma_n$  is also a separable complete metric space with metric

$$\varrho(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varrho_i(x_i, y_i), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n).$$

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{X_\alpha = (X_{\alpha,1}, \dots, X_{\alpha,n}): \alpha \in A\}$  be a subsystem of  $\chi(\Sigma)$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is weakly compact if and only if its component  $\mathcal{H}_i = \{X_{\alpha,i}: \alpha \in A\}$  is weakly compact for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

In this paper we consider also  $(\mathcal{C}_+, \varrho_+)$  and  $(\mathcal{C}, \varrho)$ -spaces of all continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , respectively, where

$$\varrho_+(f, g) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \frac{\|f-g\|_m}{1 + \|f-g\|_m}, \quad \|h\|_m = \max_{t \in [0, m]} |h(t)|;$$

$$\varrho(f, g) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \frac{\|f-g\|_m}{1 + \|f-g\|_m}, \quad \|h\|_m = \max_{t \in [-m, m]} |h(t)|.$$

They are separable complete metric spaces.

We have the following useful condition for weakly compactness of  $\mathcal{C}_+$ .

**LEMMA 1** [4, Lemma 3.2].  *$\mathcal{H} \subset \chi(\mathcal{C}_+)$  is weakly compact if there exist  $c > 0$  and  $c_m > 0, m = 1, 2, \dots$  such that, for every  $X = (X(t): t \geq 0) \in \mathcal{H}$ ,*

$$(3.4) \quad EX^4(0) < c,$$

$$(3.5) \quad E|X(t) - X(s)|^4 \leq c_m |t - s|^{3/2}, \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq m.$$

*This condition holds also for  $\mathcal{H} \subset \chi(\mathcal{C}_-)$  and  $\mathcal{H} \subset \chi(\mathcal{C})$ .*

**Aproximate sums of a stochastic integral.** Let  $A$  be a parameter set. For each  $\alpha \in A$  we have a continuous stochastic process  $X_\alpha = X_\alpha(t)$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , an admissible control  $U_\alpha = U_\alpha(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and a Wiener process  $B_\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(X_\alpha) \vee \mathcal{B}_{0, t}(U_\alpha) \vee \mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(B_\alpha)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{B}_{t, \infty}(dB_\alpha)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ .

Let  $a(t, f)$  and  $b(t, f, g)$  be continuous for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_-$ . The following stochastic integral is defined:

$$(4.1) \quad J_\alpha = \int_0^t a(\tau, \Pi_\tau X_\alpha) dU_\alpha(\tau) + \int_0^t b(\tau, \Pi_\tau X_\alpha, \Pi_\tau B_\alpha) dB_\alpha(\tau).$$

Let  $\Delta = \{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = t\}$  and  $J_\alpha(\Delta)$  be an approximate sum of  $J_\alpha$  for  $\Delta$ :

$$(4.2) \quad J_\alpha(\Delta) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} a(s_l, \Pi_{s_l} X_\alpha) [U_\alpha(s_{l+1}) - U_\alpha(s_l)] + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} b(s_l, \Pi_{s_l} X_\alpha, \Pi_{s_l} B_\alpha) [B_\alpha(s_{l+1}) - B_\alpha(s_l)].$$

By the definition of stochastic integral  $J_\alpha$  we have that  $J_\alpha(\Delta) \rightarrow J_\alpha$  in probability for each  $\alpha$  as  $\|\Delta\| = \max(s_{l+1} - s_l) \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. there exists  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, \alpha)$  such that  $\|\Delta\| < \delta$  implies

$$P(|J_\alpha(\Delta) - J_\alpha| > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon.$$

**LEMMA 2** [2, Lemma 4]. *Let  $a(t, f)$  be a continuous functional on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C}_-$ . Then  $a(t, \Pi_t \varphi)$  is continuous in  $(t, \varphi)$  of  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C}$ . Similarly  $b(t, \Pi_t \varphi, \Pi_t \psi)$  is continuous in  $(t, \varphi, \psi)$  of  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ .*

**LEMMA 3** [2, Lemma 6]. *If  $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  is weakly compact then there is a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$  independent of  $\alpha$  such that  $\|\Delta\| < \delta$  implies*

$$(4.3) \quad P(|J_\alpha(\Delta) - J_\alpha| > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon \text{ for every } \alpha \in A.$$

**Existence of solution.** We consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = a(t, \Pi_t X) dU(t) + b(t, \Pi_t X, \Pi_t B) dB(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0$$

with past condition

$$X(t) = X_-(t) \text{ for } t \leq 0.$$

Let us impose the following assumptions:

(A.1)  $a: [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C}_- \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $b: [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C}_- \times \mathcal{C}_- \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are continuous;

(A.2) there exist a bounded measure  $dK_1$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  and a function  $\Phi_1: \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$a^4(t, f) \leq \Phi_1(t, \int_0^t |f(s)|^4 dK_1(s));$$

(A.3) there exist a positive integer  $M$ , two bounded measures  $dK_2, dK_3$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , an increasing function  $G(t)$  and a function  $\Phi_2: \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  such that

$$b^4(t, f, g) \leq \Phi_2(t, \int_{-\infty}^0 |f(s)|^4 dK_2(s) + \int_{-\infty}^0 g^{2M}(s) dK_3(s))$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \int_{-\infty}^0 |s + \tau|^M dK_3(s) \leq G(t);$$

(A.4) for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $y \in \mathbf{R}^+$  functions  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  are increasing and there exist two positive constants  $V_1, V_2$  such that for every random variable  $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+, E\xi < \infty$

$$E\Phi_i(t, \xi) \leq V_i \Phi_i(t, E\xi), \quad i = 1, 2, t \in [0, \infty);$$

$$(A.5) \quad EX_-^4(t) \leq c < \infty, \quad t \leq 0;$$

(A.6) the right-hand maximum solution  $M(t; 0, c)$  of deterministic differential equation

$$y' = 6\Phi(t, y),$$

where

$$\Phi(t, y) = y + V_1 \Phi_1(t, \|K_1\|y) + V_2 \Phi_2(t, \|K_2\|y + G(t)),$$

$$\|K_1\| = \int_{-\infty}^0 dK_1(s), \quad \|K_2\| = \int_{-\infty}^0 dK_2(s), \quad A = (2M-1) \cdot (2M-3) \cdot \dots \cdot 3 \cdot 1,$$

with initial condition  $(0, c)$  exists in the interval  $[0, \infty)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Under assumptions (A.1)—(A.6) there exists a solution  $X(t)$  of equation (1.5), (1.6) and the inequality*

$$(5.1) \quad E[X(t)]^4 \leq M(t; 0, c), \quad t \geq 0,$$

holds.

**Proof.** Take  $h > 0$  and define an approximate solution  $X_h(t)$  by Cauchy's polygonal method:

$$(5.2) \quad X_h(t) = \begin{cases} X_-(t), & t \leq 0, \\ X_h(nh) + a(nh, \Pi_{nh} X_h)(U(t) - U(nh)) \\ \quad + b(nh, \Pi_{nh} X_h, \Pi_{nh} B)(B(t) - B(nh)), & nh \leq t \leq (n+1)h, \\ & n = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi_h(t) = nh \text{ for } t \in [nh, (n+1)h), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then  $X_h(t)$  satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} X_h(t) &= X_h(0) + \int_0^t a(\varphi_h(s), \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} X_h) dU(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t b(\varphi_h(s), \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} X_h, \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} B) dB(s), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(5.5) \quad c_h(t) = \sup_{s \leq t} E[X_h(s)]^4, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We shall show that  $c_h(t) < \infty$  and  $c_h(t) \leq M(t; 0, c)$ . Since  $c_h(t)$  is increasing, to prove that it is finite it is enough to show that  $c_h(t) < \infty$  for  $t = nh$ , by induction. By (A.5) we have

$$c_h(0) = \sup_{s \leq 0} E[X_h(s)]^4 = \sup_{s \leq 0} E[X_-(s)]^4 \leq c < \infty.$$

If  $c_h(nh) < \infty$  then  $c_h((n+1)h) < \infty$  because we have, for  $t \in [nh, (n+1)h]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_h(t)]^4 &\leq 27 \{E[X_h(nh)]^4 + Ea^4(nh, \Pi_{nh} X_h) h^4 + 3Eb^4(nh, \Pi_{nh} X_h, \Pi_{nh} B) h^2\} \leq \\ &\leq 27 \{c_h(nh) + E\Phi_1(nh, \int_{-\infty}^0 X_h^4(s+nh) dK_1(s)) h^4 + \\ &\quad + 3E\Phi_2(nh, \int_{-\infty}^0 X_h^4(s+nh) dK_2(s) + \int_{-\infty}^0 B^{2M}(s+nh) dK_3(s)) h^2\} \leq \\ &\leq 27 \{c_h(nh) + V_1 \Phi_1(nh, c_h(nh) \|K_1\|) h^4 + 3h^2 V_2 \Phi_2(nh, c_h(nh) \|K_2\| + \\ &\quad + AG(nh))\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (5.4) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_h(v)]^4 &\leq E[X_h(0)]^4 + 6 \int_0^v E[X_h^4(s) + a^4(\varphi_h(s), \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} X_h) + \\ &\quad + b^4(\varphi_h(s), \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} X_h, \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} B)] ds \leq \\ &\leq c + 6 \int_0^v [c_h(s) + V_1 \Phi_1(\varphi_h(s), c_h(s) \|K_1\|) + \\ &\quad + V_2 \Phi_2(\varphi_h(s), c_h(s) \|K_2\| + AG(s))] ds \leq \\ &\leq c + 6 \int_0^v \Phi(s, c_h(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We have the integral inequality

$$(5.6) \quad c_h(t) \leq c + 6 \int_0^t \Phi(s, c_h(s)) ds.$$



The Opial's theorem [6, Theorem 52.1] implies that

$$(5.7) \quad c_h(t) \leq M(t; 0, c), \quad t \geq 0.$$

This estimation does not depend on  $h$ .

Next we shall prove that

$$(5.8) \quad E|X_h(t) - X_h(s)|^4 \leq c_n |t - s|^{3/2}, \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} X_h(t) - X_h(s) &= \int_s^t a(\varphi_h(\tau), \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} X_h) dU(\tau) + \\ &\quad + \int_s^t b(\varphi_h(\tau), \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} X_h, \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} B) dB(\tau), \\ E(X_h(t) - X_h(s))^4 &\leq 8(t-s)^3 \int_s^t E a^4(\varphi_h(\tau), \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} X_h) d\tau + \\ &\quad + 8 \cdot 6(t-s) \int_s^t E b^4(\varphi_h(\tau), \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} X_h, \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} B) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\int_s^t E b^4(\varphi_h(\tau), \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} X_h, \Pi_{\varphi_h(\tau)} B) d\tau \leq V_2 \int_s^t \Phi_2(\varphi_h(\tau), c_h(\tau) \|K_2\| + AG(\tau)) d\tau < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_h(t) - X_h(s))^4 &\leq 8(t-s)^3 V_1 \int_s^t \Phi_1(\varphi_h(\tau), c_h(\tau) \|K_1\|) d\tau + \\ &\quad + 48(t-s) V_2 \int_s^t \Phi_2(\varphi_h(\tau), c_h(\tau) \|K_2\| + AG(\tau)) d\tau \leq \\ &\leq 8(t-s)^3 V_1 \int_s^t \Phi_1(\tau, \|K_1\| \cdot M(\tau; 0, c)) d\tau + \\ &\quad + 48(t-s) V_2 \int_s^t \Phi_2(\tau, \|K_2\| \cdot M(\tau; 0, c) + AG(\tau)) d\tau \leq \\ &\leq 8(t-s)^4 V_1 \max_{\tau \in [s, t]} \Phi_1(\tau, \|K_1\| \cdot M(\tau; 0, c)) + \\ &\quad + 48(t-s)^2 V_2 \max_{\tau \in [s, t]} \Phi_2(\tau, \|K_2\| \cdot M(\tau; 0, c) + AG(\tau)) \leq \\ &\leq c_n |t - s|^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the class of stochastic processes  $\{X_{+h} \equiv (X_h(t): t > 0): h > 0\} (\subset \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{E}))$  we can see that  $\{X_{+h}: h > 0\}$  is weakly compact. It is

obvious that  $\{B_h \equiv B: h > 0\} (\subset \chi(\mathcal{C}))$  and  $\{X_{-h} \equiv X_-: h > 0\} (\subset \chi(\mathcal{C}_-))$  are also weakly compact. Let

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{D}_+ = \{h \in \mathcal{C}_+: |h(t) - h(s)| \leq |t - s|, t, s \geq 0\}.$$

It is clear that  $\{U_h \equiv U: h > 0\}$  is weakly compact subset of  $\mathcal{D}_+$ . Hence  $\{(X_h, B, U, X_-): h > 0\}$  is weakly compact subset of  $\chi(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}_+ \times \mathcal{C}_-)$ . So that we can find an  $L$ -Cauchy sequence  $(X_{h(n)}, B, U, X_-)$  with  $h(n) \downarrow 0$ . By Skorohod's theorem we can construct  $(Y_n, B_n, U_n, Y_{-n})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$  on a certain probability space such that

$$(5.10) \quad L((X_{h(n)}, B, U, X_-), (Y_n, B_n, U_n, Y_{-n})) = 0$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad P((Y_n, B_n, U_n, Y_{-n}) \rightarrow (Y_\infty, B_\infty, U_\infty, Y_{-\infty})) = 1,$$

where the convergence is to be understood in the sense of the metric in  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}_+ \times \mathcal{C}_-$ . Since, by (5.10),

$$L((B_n, U_n, Y_{-n}), (B, U, X_-)) = 0$$

and, by (5.11),

$$P((B_n, U_n, Y_{-n}) \rightarrow (B_\infty, U_\infty, Y_{-\infty})) = 1,$$

we get

$$(5.12) \quad L((B_\infty, U_\infty, Y_{-\infty}), (B, U, X_-)) = 0.$$

If we can prove that

$$(5.13) \quad \mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(Y_\infty) \vee \mathcal{B}_{0, t}(U_\infty) \vee \mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(B_\infty) \text{ is independent of } \mathcal{B}_{t, \infty}(dB_\infty),$$

$$(5.14) \quad Y_\infty(t) = Y_{-\infty}(t), t \leq 0, \text{ with probability 1}$$

and

$$(5.15) \quad Y_\infty(t) = Y_\infty(0) + \int_0^t a(\tau, \Pi_\tau Y_\infty) dU_\infty(\tau) + \int_0^t b(\tau, \Pi_\tau Y_\infty, \Pi_\tau B_\infty) dB_\infty(\tau) \text{ with probability 1}$$

then we can conclude that  $X(t) \equiv Y_\infty(t)$  is the solution of (1.5). Using some ideas of the paper [4] we shall prove (5.13), (5.14) and (5.15). By the definition of  $X_h$  we have that  $\mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(X_h) \vee \mathcal{B}_{0, t}(U) \vee \mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(B)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{B}_{t, \infty}(dB)$  and, by (5.10) and (5.11),  $\mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(Y_n) \vee \mathcal{B}_{0, t}(U_n) \vee \mathcal{B}_{-\infty, t}(B_n)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{B}_{t, \infty}(dB_n)$  for every  $n$ , also for  $n = \infty$ . (5.14) holds by definition of  $X_h$  and the continuity of  $Y_\infty(t)$  and  $Y_{-\infty}(t)$ . It remains to show (5.15). Set

$$(5.16) \quad J_n = \int_0^t a(s, \Pi_s Y_n) dU_n(s) + \int_0^t b(s, \Pi_s Y_n, \Pi_s B_n) dB_n(s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.17) \quad J_n(h) &= \int_0^t a(\varphi_h(s), \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} Y_n) dU_n(s) + \\
 &+ \int_0^t b(\varphi_h(s), \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} Y_n, \Pi_{\varphi_h(s)} B_n) dB_n(s) = \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a(kh, \Pi_{kh} Y_n) [U_n((k+1)h) - U_n(kh)] + \\
 &+ a(mh, \Pi_{mh} Y_n) (U_n(t) - U_n(mh)) + \\
 &+ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b(kh, \Pi_{kh} Y_n, \Pi_{kh} B_n) [B_n((k+1)h) - B_n(kh)] + \\
 &+ b(mh, \Pi_{mh} Y_n, \Pi_{mh} B_n) (B_n(t) - B_n(mh)).
 \end{aligned}$$

$J_n(h)$  is an approximate sum of  $J_n$  for  $\Delta = \{0 < h < 2h < \dots < mh < t\}$ . Since  $P(\varrho(Y_n, Y_\infty) \rightarrow 0) = 1$ ,  $\{Y_n: n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$  is weakly compact and by Lemma 3 for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$  such that  $|h| < \delta$  implies

$$(5.18) \quad P(|J_n(h) - J_n| > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty.$$

We have, by (5.4), (5.10) and (5.17),

$$Y_n(t) = Y_n(0) + J_n(h(n))$$

and, by (5.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(|Y_\infty(t) - Y_\infty(0) - J_\infty| > 6\varepsilon) &\leq P(|Y_\infty(t) - Y_n(t)| > \varepsilon) + \\
 &+ P(|Y_\infty(0) - Y_n(0)| > \varepsilon) + P(|J_\infty - J_n(h(n))| > 4\varepsilon) < \\
 &< 2\varepsilon + P(|J_\infty - J_n(h(n))| > 4\varepsilon), \quad n > N_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (5.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(|J_\infty - J_n(h(n))| > 4\varepsilon) &\leq P(|J_\infty - J_\infty(h)| > \varepsilon) + \\
 &+ P(|J_\infty(h) - J_n(h)| > \varepsilon) + P(|J_n(h) - J_n| > \varepsilon) + \\
 &+ P(|J_n - J_n(h(n))| > \varepsilon) < \\
 &< 3\varepsilon + P(|J_\infty(h) - J_n(h)| > \varepsilon)
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $h < \delta(\varepsilon)$  and  $n > N_2$  such that  $h(n) < \delta(\varepsilon)$  for  $n > N_2$ . By (5.17), (5.11) and the continuity of  $a(t, \Pi_t \varphi)$  and  $b(t, \Pi_t \varphi, \Pi_t \psi)$ ,  $J_n(h) \rightarrow J_\infty(h)$  with probability one. Therefore

$$(5.19) \quad P(|Y_\infty(t) - Y_\infty(0) - J_\infty| > 6\varepsilon) < 6\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, (5.19) implies (5.15). Moreover, because

$$E(X_h(t))^4 \leq M(t; 0, c) \text{ for } h > 0$$

and

$$E(Y_\infty(t))^4 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Y_n(t))^4 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_{h(n)}(t))^4 \leq M(t; 0, c)$$

we have the estimation (5.1).

**Compactness of the solution space.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes a set of all admissible systems  $S = (X_-, U, B)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{X_S : S \in \mathcal{M}\}$  where  $X_S$  denotes a solution of equation (1.5) for admissible system  $S$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X(t) - X(s))^4 &\leq 8E\left(\int_s^t a(\tau, \Pi_\tau X) dU(\tau)\right)^4 + 8E\left(\int_s^t b(\tau, \Pi_\tau X, \Pi_\tau B) dB(\tau)\right)^4 \leq \\ &\leq 8(t-s)^3 \int_s^t E a^4(\tau, \Pi_\tau X) d\tau + 48(t-s) \int_s^t E b^4(\tau, \Pi_\tau X, \Pi_\tau B) d\tau \leq \\ &\leq 8(t-s)^3 \int_s^t V_1 \Phi_1(\tau, \|K_1\| \cdot M(\tau; 0, c)) d\tau + \\ &\quad + 48(t-s) \int_s^t V_2 \Phi_2(\tau, \|K_2\| \cdot M(\tau; 0, c) + AG(\tau)) d\tau \leq \\ &\leq c_n |t-s|^{3/2}, \quad 0 \leq t, s \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1, recalling (A.5) we conclude that  $\mathcal{N}$  is weakly compact subset of  $\chi(\mathcal{C})$ .  $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{M}$  is also weakly compact subset of  $\chi(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}_- \times \mathcal{D}_+ \times \mathcal{C})$ . We can find an  $L$ -Cauchy sequence  $(X_m, S_m)$ . By Skorohod's theorem there exist a certain probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $(Y_m, Y_{-m}, \tilde{U}_m, \tilde{B}_m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$L((Y_m, Y_{-m}, \tilde{U}_m, \tilde{B}_m), (X_m, X_{-m}, U_m, B_m)) = 0$$

and

$$P((Y_m, Y_{-m}, \tilde{U}_m, \tilde{B}_m) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_{-0}, \tilde{U}_0, \tilde{B}_0)) = 1$$

where the convergence is to be understood in the sense of the metric in  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}_- \times \mathcal{D}_+ \times \mathcal{C}$ . In similar way as in existence theorem we prove that  $(Y_0, Y_{-0}, \tilde{U}_0, \tilde{B}_0)$  is a solution of (1.5). This denotes that  $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{M}$  is compact.

**Existence of optimal control.** Let  $\psi(f, h)$  be a functional on  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}_+$ ,  $0 \leq \psi(f, h) \leq +\infty$ . We have a theorem analogous to Theorem 3 in the paper [2].

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}$  be closed in metric  $L$  and  $\psi$  be lower semi-continuous on  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}_+$ . Then there exists  $S_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  such that

$$E\psi(X_0, U_0) \leq E\psi(X, U), \quad S \in \mathcal{M}_1,$$

where  $X_0$  and  $X$  are the solutions of (1.5) corresponding respectively to  $S_0$  and  $S$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. BĹAŹ. *Existence of weak solutions of Itô stochastic differential equations* (to appear).
- [2] W. H. FLEMING, M. NISIO, *On the existence of optimal stochastic controls*, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966), 777—794.
- [3] I. I. GIHMAN, A. V. SKOROHOD, *The theory of stochastic processes III*, 1975 (in Russian).
- [4] K. ITÔ, M. NISIO, *On stationary solutions of a stochastic differential equation*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 4 (1964), 1—75.
- [5] R. S. LIPTSER, A. N. SHIRYAEV, *Statistics of random processes*, Warszawa, 1981 (Polish translation).
- [6] R. RABCZUK, *Elementy nierówności różniczkowych*, Warszawa, 1976.