

## You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: A theorem on spaces of finite subsets

**Author:** Szymon Plewik

Citation style: Plewik Szymon. (1986). A theorem on spaces of finite subsets. "Annales Mathematicae Silesianae" (Nr 2 (1986), s. 108-110).



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).







## SZYMON PLEWIK\*

## A THEOREM ON SPACES OF FINITE SUBSETS

Abstract. We give conditions under which iterated hyperspaces of finite subsets, with Ochan's topology, are homeomorphic.

**Introduction.** In [2] and [3] Ochan introduced a new topology on the space of subsets of a given space X. His topology is generated by sets  $[x, V] = \{y \subset X : x \subset y \subset V\}$ , where x is a closed subset of X and Y is an open subset of X. Then Pixley and Roy [4] proved that non-void finite subsets of reals, with the Ochan's topology creates an important example of a Moore space. Later some other authors investigated the Pixley-Roy hyperspaces and generalizations of the Pixley and Roy's construction (see for instance Douven [1], Przymusiński [6] or Plewik [5]).

The main theorem. Let  $\mathscr{F}[X]$  be the set of non-void finite subsets of a  $T_1$ -space X. Equip  $\mathscr{F}[X]$  by topology induced from the Ochan's topology. Let  $\langle x, V \rangle = [x, V] \cap \mathscr{F}[X]$ . Observe that sets  $\langle x, V \rangle$  are closed-open and that they form a base.

LEMMA. Let X be a  $T_1$ -space and let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal. If for each point  $x \in X$  there exists a decreasing and well ordered family  $U(x) = \{x(\alpha) : \alpha < \lambda\}$  of open neighbourhoods such that  $\bigcap U(x) = \{x\}$ , then for every n there exists a collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  of open subsets of  $\mathscr{F}[\mathscr{F}[X]]$  such that:

- (1) every collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  covers the subspace  $\{y \in \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]: |y| = n\}$ ,
- (2) every collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is discrete in the subspace  $\{y \in \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]: |y| \ge n\}$ ,
- (3)  $|B \cap \{y \in \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]: |y| = n\}| = 1$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{D}_n$ .

Proof. If  $y = \{y_1, ..., y_n\}$ , then let  $y(\alpha) = \langle y, y_1(\alpha) \cup ... \cup y_n(\alpha) \rangle$ ,  $y_k = \{y_k^1, ..., y_k^r\}$  r = r(k), and  $y_k(\alpha) = \langle y_k, y_k^1(\alpha) \cup ... \cup y_k^r(\alpha) \rangle$ .

Let  $\alpha = \alpha(y)$  be the least ordinal such that if  $t \in y_i$  and  $t \notin y_k$ , then  $t \notin y_k^1(\alpha) \cup ... \cup y_k^r(\alpha)$ , i.e.  $\{t\} \cup y_k \notin y_k(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{y(\alpha) : |y| = n \text{ and } \alpha = \alpha(y)\}$ . So, it is easy to verify, that collections  $\mathcal{D}_n$  satisfied conditions (1), (2), (3).

Received November 14, 1982.

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1980). Primary 54B20.

<sup>\*</sup> Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, Katowice, ul. Bankowa 14, Poland.

Any space  $\mathscr{F}[Z]$  can be partitioned into closed-open sets as follows. Let  $A_*$  be the set of isolated points of  $\mathscr{F}[Z]$  and let  $A_0 = \{x \in \mathscr{F}[Z] :$  there is an open subset  $V^x \subset Z$  such that  $|\langle x, V^x \rangle| \leq \aleph_0 \} \setminus A_*$ .

If sets  $A_{\beta}$  are defined for  $\beta < \alpha$ , then let  $A_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathscr{F}[Z]: \text{ there is an open subset } V^x \subset Z \text{ such that } |\langle x, V^x \rangle| \leq \aleph_{\alpha} \} \setminus \bigcup \{A_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\} \cup A_{\ast}.$ 

THEOREM. Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal and let X be a  $T_1$ -space with no or infinite many of isolated points such that for each point  $x \in X$  there exists a decreasing and well ordered base  $\{x(\alpha): \alpha < \lambda\}$  of open neighbourhoods, then  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]$ .

Proof. Denote by  $A_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathscr{A}_{\alpha}$  elements of the above defined partition for spaces  $\mathscr{F}[X]$  and  $\mathscr{F}[\mathscr{F}[X]]$ , respectively, instead of a space Z. Observe that  $|A_{\alpha}| = |\mathscr{A}_{\alpha}|$  for all  $\alpha \ge 0$  and  $|A_{*}| = |\mathscr{A}_{*}|$ .

Let  $\alpha \ge 0$  and let  $y(\beta)$  be defined as in the proof of Lemma and let  $\mathcal{D}_n$  denotes families which satisfy conditions (1), (2), (3). We define partitions  $R_{\beta} = \{\langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle : x \in B_{\beta} \}$  of  $A_{\alpha}$  consisting of closed-open sets for  $\beta < \lambda$  such that:

- (i)  $R_{\beta}$  is a refinement of  $R_{\gamma}$  iff  $\gamma \leq \beta$ ,
- (ii)  $B_{\beta} \subset B_{\gamma}$  iff  $\beta \leqslant \gamma$ ,
- (iii)  $|R_1| = |A_{\alpha}|$ ,
- (iv)  $\{V: V \in R_{\beta} \text{ and } \beta < \lambda\}$  is a base for  $A_{\alpha}$ ,
- (v)  $| \cap \{ \langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle : \beta < \gamma \} \cap B_{\gamma} | = \aleph_{\alpha} \text{ for each } x \in \bigcup \{ B_{\beta} : \beta < \gamma \}.$

We can do this as follows: Let  $R_1^1 = \{\langle x, V(x, 1) \rangle \subset A_\alpha : |x| = 1\}$  refines  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\{y(1): |y| = 1\}$ . If collections  $R_1^k$  are defined for k < n, then let  $R_1^n = \{\langle x, V(x, 1) \rangle \subset A_\alpha \setminus \bigcup \{\bigcup R_1^k : k < n\} : |x| = n\}$  refines  $\mathcal{D}_n$  and  $\{y(1): |y| = n\}$ . Let  $R_1 = \bigcup \{R_1^n : n = 1, 2, ...\}$  and  $B_1 = \{x : \langle x, V(x, 1) \rangle \in R_1\}$ .

Assume that there are defined partitions  $R_{\beta}$  for  $\beta < \gamma$ . Let  $P_{\gamma} = \{\langle x, \bigcap \{V(x, \beta) : \beta < \gamma\} \rangle : x \in \bigcup \{B_{\beta} : \beta < \gamma\}$ . Let  $R_{\gamma}^{1} = \{\langle x, V(x, \gamma) \rangle \subset A_{\alpha} : |x| = 1\}$  refines  $P_{\gamma}$  and  $\{y(\gamma) : |y| = 1\}$ . If collections  $R_{\gamma}^{k}$  are defined for k < n, then let  $R_{\gamma}^{n} = \{\langle x, V(x, \gamma) \rangle \subset A_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup \{\bigcup R^{k} : k < n\} : |x| = n\}$  refines  $P_{\gamma}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{n}$  and  $\{y(\gamma) : |y| = n\}$  in a such way that  $|\bigcap \{V_{\gamma}(x, \beta) : \beta < \gamma\} \setminus V(x, \gamma)| = \aleph_{\alpha}$  for each  $x \in \bigcup \{B_{\beta} : \beta < \gamma\}$ . Let  $R_{\gamma} = \bigcup \{R_{\gamma}^{n} : n = 1, 2, ...\}$  and  $B_{\gamma} = \{x : \langle x, V(x, \gamma) \rangle \in R_{\gamma}\}$ . Analogously we define sets  $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$  and partitions  $\mathcal{B}_{\beta} = \{\langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle : x \in \mathcal{B}_{\beta}\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$  for  $\beta < \lambda$ .

Let us define a one-to-one function  $f: A_{\alpha} \to \mathscr{A}_{\alpha}$  step by step on sets  $B_{\beta}$ . Let f be a one-to-one function from  $B_1$  onto  $\mathscr{B}_1$ . Further, by induction, let f be a one-to-one function from  $B_{\gamma} \setminus \bigcup \{B_{\beta} : \beta < \gamma\}$  onto  $\mathscr{B}_{\gamma} \setminus \bigcup \{\mathscr{B}_{\beta} : \beta < \gamma\}$  such that if  $y \in \langle z, \bigcap \{V(z, \beta) : \beta < \gamma\} \rangle$ , then  $f(y) \in \langle f(z), \bigcap \{V(f(z), \beta) : \beta < \gamma\}$  (there is a finite many of such points z only).

Observe that  $f(A_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}$  and  $f(\langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle) = \langle f(x), V(f(x), \beta) \rangle$  for every  $\beta < \lambda$  and each  $x \in A_{\alpha}$ . Therefore the required homeomorphism is defined for  $\alpha$  was taken arbitrarily.

The assumption of Theorem do not imply that  $\mathscr{F}[X]$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathscr{F}[\mathscr{F}[X]]$ . For example, let X be the unit interval I, then  $\mathscr{F}[I]$  satisfied the countable

chain condition, see [3], but  $\mathscr{F}[\mathscr{F}[I]]$  contains a family  $\{\langle\{t\}\}, \langle\{t\}\}, \mathscr{F}[\mathscr{F}[I]]\rangle: t \in I\}$  of open pairwise disjoint sets of cardinality  $2^{N_0}$ .

Let us note, that the proof of our main theorem is a generalization of methods from [5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. VAN DOUWEN, The Pixley-Roy topology on spaces of subsets, in Set-theoretic Topology, Academic Press, 1977.
- [2] J. S. OCHAN, Space of subsets of a topological space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 32 (1941), 107-109.
- [3] J. S. OCHAN, Space of subsets of a topological space (in Russian), Mat. Sb. 12 (1943) 340-352.
- [4] C. PIXLEY, P. ROY, *Uncompletable Moore spaces*, in Proceeding of the Auburn Topology Conference, 1969.
- [5] SZ. PLEWIK, On subspaces of the Pixley-Roy example, Colloq. Math. 44 (1981), 41—46.
- [6] T. PRZYMUSIŃSKI, Normality and paracompactness of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces, Fund. Math. 113 (1981), 201—219.