

# You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: A closed epigraph theorem

Author: Joanna Ger

**Citation style:** Ger Joanna. (1990). A closed epigraph theorem. "Demonstratio Mathematica" (Vol. 23, nr 2 (1990) s. 521-528).



Uznanie autorstwa - Licencja ta pozwala na kopiowanie, zmienianie, rozprowadzanie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie pod warunkiem oznaczenia autorstwa.



Biblioteka Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

#### DEMONSTRATIO MATHEMATICA

Vol. XXIII No 2 1990

#### Joanna Ger

## A CLOSED EPIGRAPH THEOREM

<u>1.</u> Various generalizations of the notion of convexity are known in the literature. One of them is the notion of d-convexity or convexity in Menger's sense (M-convexity) in a metric space (X,d) (cf. H. Busemann [1], B-II. COLTAH [2], Menger [8], [9]). We shall confine ourselves to the notion of convexity in the so called G-space. In papers of B-II. COLTAH [2]-[5] and J. Ger [6] the notion of convex function defined on a metric space was introduced. Below we recall those properties of G-spaces which will be useful in the sequel referring to [1] for further details.

Let  $(X,\rho)$  be a metric space and let  $x,y,z \in X$  be three pairwise distinct points. We shall say that y lies between x and z and write  $(x \ y \ z)$  if  $\rho(x,z) = \rho(x,y) + \rho(y,z)$ .

Definition 1 (cf. Busemann [1], Menger [9]). A metric space  $(X,\rho)$  is called M-convex (convex in Menger's sense) iff for every two distinct points  $x, z \in X$  there exists a point  $y \in X \setminus \{x, z\}$  such that  $(x \cdot y \cdot z)$ .

Definition 2 (cf. Busemann [1], Menger [9]). A metric space  $(X, \varphi)$  is called finitely compact iff every bounded and infinite subset of X has at least one cluster point.

Alternatively, we say that  $(X, \rho)$  is finitely compact iff every bounded and closed subset of X is compact.

H. Busemann [1] introduced and investigated the notion of a G-space defined as follows:

Definition 3 (cf. Busemann [1]). A finitely compact M-convex metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is called a G-space provided that:

 $1^{\circ}$  for every point  $p \in X$  there exists a positive number  $r_p$  such that for any two points x,y from the ball  $K(p,r_p)$  centered at p and with radius  $r_p$ , there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $(x \ y \ z)$ ;

 $2^{\circ}$  for any two distinct points x, y \in X and any points  $z_1, z_2 \in X$ such that  $(x \ y \ z_1)$ ,  $(x \ y \ z_2)$  and  $\rho(y, z_1) = \rho(y, z_2)$  one has  $z_1 = z_2$ . Condition  $1^{\circ}$  is called the axiom of local prolongability, (ALP); condition  $2^{\circ}$  expresses the uniqueness of prolongation.

In this paper the symbol X always denotes a G-space; the symbols R, Q, N will stand for sets of reals, rationals and positive integers, respectively.

Fix any two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $I:[0, \rho(x, y)] \longrightarrow X$  be an isometry such that I(0) = x,  $I(\rho(x, y)) = y$  or  $I(\rho(x, y)) = x$ , I(0) = y. Then the set  $T(x, y) := I([0, \rho(x, y)])$  is called a segment joining the points x and y. Any two distinct points in a G-space X may be joined by a segment contained in X (cf. [1], [9]). Such a segment need not be unique. If this segment is unique then there exists exactly one isometry  $I:[0, \rho(x, y)] \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} T(x, y)$  and such that I(0) = x and  $I(\rho(x, y)) = y$  (see [6] Remark 3).

Definition 4 (cf. Busemann [1]). A set DC X is called convex iff for every two distinct points  $x,y \in clD$  the segment T(x,y) is unique and  $T(x,y) \subset D$  if  $x,y \in D$ .

Let us note that if D is convex then the sets clD and intD are convex, too. (cf. [1]).

Definition 5 (cf. J. Ger [6]). Let  $x, y \in clD$ ,  $x \neq y$ . Assume l:  $[0, \varphi(x, y)] \longrightarrow T(x, y)$  to be an isometry such that l(0) = x and  $l(\varphi(x, y)) = y$ . For every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  we define

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} \oplus (1-\lambda) \mathbf{y} := \mathbf{I}((1-\lambda)\rho(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})).$$

Remark (cf. [6] Lemma 1). If x, y e clD, x  $\neq$  y,  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  and  $z = \lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda) y$  then  $\rho(z,x) = (1-\lambda)\rho(x,y)$  and  $\rho(z,y) = = \lambda \rho(x,y)$ .

In the whole paper the symbol D denotes a non-empty, open and convex subset of X.

<u>2</u>. The following theorem holds true in any linear topological Baire space E: (cf. R. Ger [7]); if f is a J-convex function defined on an open and convex set  $D_0 \subset E$  and if the set

epi f := 
$$\left\{ (x, y) \in D_{o} \times \mathbf{R} : f(x) \leq y \right\}$$

is closed in  $D_{o} \times \mathbf{R}$  then f is continuous. The goal of the present paper is to show that this result carries over the case of G-spaces. We start with the following

Definition 6 (cf. [6]). A function  $f:D \longrightarrow R$  is called M-convex iff

(I) 
$$f(\lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y)$$

for  $x, y \in D$  and every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . A function  $f: D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is JM-convex (Jensen M-convex) if (1) holds for all  $x, y \in D$  and  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, we may prove the following

Lemma 1. If  $f: D \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is JM-convex and if its epigraph

epi f := 
$$\{(x,y) \in D \times R: f(x) \leq y\}$$

is closed in  $D \times \mathbf{R}$ , then f is M-convex.

**Proof.** From Theorem in [6] we get the inequality

$$f(\lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y)$$

valid for every  $\lambda \in [0,1] \cap \mathbf{Q}$  and all  $x, y \in D$ . It means that  $(\lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y, \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y)) \in epi f$ . Let us take an arbitrary  $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$  and let  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a rational sequence such that  $\lambda_0 =$ =  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n$ . Suppose that two distinct points x, y are fixed. From the

fact that epi f is closed we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (\lambda_n \mathbf{x} \oplus (1 - \lambda_n) \mathbf{y}, \lambda_n \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda_n) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})) \in \text{epi } \mathbf{f};$$

or, equivalently, if I is an isometry from  $[0, \rho(x, y)]$  onto T(x, y) we get (see Definition 5):

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (l(1-\lambda_n)\varphi(x,y)), \lambda_n f(x) + (1-\lambda_n) f(y)) \in epi f.$$

Therefore

$$(I((1-\lambda_0)\rho(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})), \lambda_f(\mathbf{x}) + (1-\lambda_0) f(\mathbf{y})) \in epi f,$$

or, in other words,

$$(\lambda_0 \mathbf{x} \oplus (1-\lambda_0) \mathbf{y}, \lambda_0 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + (1-\lambda_0) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})) \in \text{epi f.}$$

This means that

$$f(\lambda_{o} \mathbf{x} \oplus (1-\lambda_{o})\mathbf{y}) \leq \lambda_{o}f(\mathbf{x}) + (1-\lambda_{o})f(\mathbf{y})$$

and ends the proof.

Lemma 2. Let  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and  $x \in D$  be arbitrarily fixed points and let  $r_0 = r(x_0)$  be the number occurring in Definition 3. If the function  $\varphi: D \longrightarrow X$  is given by the formula

(1) 
$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) := \lambda_0 \mathbf{x} \oplus (1-\lambda_0) \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D},$$

then, for every  $r \leq r_{o}$ , we have

$$\varphi(K(x_{\alpha},r)) = K(x_{\alpha},\lambda_{\alpha}r).$$

- 524 -

**Proof.** The mapping  $\varphi$  given by the formula (1) is a homeomorphism of D onto  $\varphi(D)$  (see [6] Lemma 6). We shall show that for every  $r \leq r_{c}$  the following inclusion

$$K(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha},\lambda_{\alpha}\mathbf{r}) \subset \varphi(K(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha},\mathbf{r}))$$

holds. The opposite inclusion is fulfilled in view of Remark and formula (1). Let  $z \in K(x_0, \lambda_0 r) \setminus \{x_0\}$  be an arbitrarily fixed point and let  $T(z, x_0)$  be the segment joining z and  $x_0$ . From Definition 3 we infer that there exists exactly one point y such that  $(x_0 z y), z \in T(x_0, y)$  and  $\varphi(x_0, y) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \varphi(z, x_0)$  since  $\varphi(x_0, y) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \varphi(z, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \lambda_0 r = r$ . It means that  $y \in K(x_0, r)$  and  $\varphi(z, x_0) = \lambda_0 \varphi(x_0, y)$ . Therefore (see Remark)  $z = \lambda_0 y \oplus (1 - \lambda_0) x_0$  and  $z = \varphi(y) \in \varphi(K(x_0, r))$ .

The following main result yields an analogue of the closed epigraph theorem proved by R. Ger in [7]. The point is that in our case no algebraic structure in the space considered is assumed. On the other hand one cannot treat our Theorem as a direct generalization of R. Ger's result from [7] because he had not assumed the metrizability of the underlying linear space and his functions were vector-valued. Both results however yield "convex analogues" of the classical Banach closed graph theorem.

Theorem. Let  $f: D \longrightarrow R$  be a JM-convex function. If the epigraph of f is closed in  $D \times R$  then f is continuous in D.

**Proof.** Fix an  $x \in D$  and put

 $A := \left\{ x \in D: f(x) - f(x_0) \leq 1 \right\}.$ 

For an arbitrary  $x \in D$  there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(x) - f(x_0) \leq 2^n$ . From the fact that f is M-convex (see Lemma 1) we get

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}} \times \oplus \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) \times_{o}\right) - f(\times_{o}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} f(\times) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n}}\right) f(\times_{o}) - f(\times_{o}) \leq 1^{*}.$$

Therefore, we have

(2) for every  $x \in D$  there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{2^n} x \oplus \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) x \in A$ . Let  $\varphi_n : clD \longrightarrow X$  be a mapping given by the formula

(3) 
$$\varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2^n} \mathbf{x} \oplus \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \text{clD}.$$

By virtue of (2) we obtain the inclusion

$$D \subset \bigcup \varphi_n^{-1}(A \cap D_n) \text{ where } D_n := \varphi_n^{(clD)}, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Note that the function  $\varphi_n$  given by (3) has the form (1); consequently Lemma 2 may be applied. Since D is open and nonempty subset of a complete metric space, by the classical theorem of Baire, D is of the second Baire category whence

(4) 
$$\operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} \varphi_n^{-1}(A \cap D_n) \neq \emptyset$$

for some  $n \in N$ . We are goint to show that

$$int cl(A \cap D_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

To this aim we shall first prove the following inclusion

(5) 
$$\operatorname{cl} \varphi_n^{-1}(A \cap D_n) \subset \varphi_n^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}(A \cap D_n)).$$

<sup>\*)</sup> This inequality may also be derived directly from the JM-convexity of f without using Lemma 1 (see Theorem 1 from [6]) because the coefficients occurring here are rational.

Indeed, take an 
$$x \in cl\varphi_n^{-1}(A \cap D_n)$$
. Then there exists a sequence  
 $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, a_k \in A \cap D_n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $x = \lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi_n^{-1}(a_k)$ . Let  
 $b_k := \varphi_n^{-1}(a_k)$ . Then  $x = \lim_{k \to \infty} b_k$ , and  $\varphi_n(b_k) = a_k$ . From (3) we  
have  $a_k = \frac{1}{2^n} b_k \oplus (1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) x_0$ . We have also  $a_k \in T(b_k, x_0)$  and  
 $\rho(a_k, x_0) = \frac{1}{2^n} \rho(b_k, x_0)$ . Since  $\lim_{k \to \infty} b_k = x$  we have  $\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = a$  and  
 $a = \frac{1}{2^n} x \oplus (1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) x_0$  (see [6] Corollary 1) and from (3) we get  
 $a = \varphi_n(x)$  or, equivalently,  $x = \varphi_n^{-1}(\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k) = \varphi_n^{-1}(a) \in \varphi_n^{-1}(cl(A \cap D_n))$ .  
From Lemma 2 we obtain that  $\varphi_n$  is an open mapping, and so

int 
$$\varphi_n^{-1}(cl(A \cap D_n)) \subset \varphi_n^{-1}(int cl(A \cap D_n)).$$

This, (4) and inclusion (5) imply that  

$$\emptyset \neq \text{ int } \operatorname{cl}(\varphi_n^{-1}(A \cap D_n)) \subset \operatorname{int } \varphi_n^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}(A \cap D_n)) \subset \varphi_n^{-1}(\operatorname{int } \operatorname{cl}(A \cap D_n)).$$

Therefore

U := int cl(A 
$$\cap$$
 D<sub>n</sub>)  $\neq \emptyset$ .

Now, we shall prove that the set  $A \cap D_n$  is closed in D. To show this let us fix a  $z \in D \setminus (A \cap D_n)$ ; then  $(z, f(x_0)+1) \in (DxR) \setminus epi f$ . From the fact that the set  $(DxR) \setminus epi f$  is open we get the existence of a neighbourhood  $U_z$  of the point z and a number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(U_z^x(f(x_0)+1-\delta, f(x_0)+1+\delta) \subset (DxR) \setminus epi f$ . So, for every  $x \in U_z$ , we have  $(x, f(x_0)+1) \in (DxR) \setminus epi f$  whence  $f(x) > f(x_0)+1$ . Consequently,  $x \in D \setminus A$  which means that  $(A \cap D_n)$  is closed in D. Moreover

$$\emptyset \neq U \cap A \cap D_n = U \cap D \cap cl(A \cap D_n) = U \cap D$$

- 527 -

and  $U \cap D$  is an open, nonempty subset of A. We have shown that int A  $\neq \emptyset$ . The function f is M-convex and upper bounded on A. From Corollary 2 in [6] we obtain that f is continuous in A.

Corollary. If  $f: D \rightarrow R$  is JM-convex and lower semicontinuous in D then f is M-convex and continuous in D.

The proof follows from Lemma 1, Theorem and the fact that if f is lower semicontinuous function then epi f is closed (see R. Sikorski [10], exercise 5, p.131).

### REFERENCES

- H. Busemann: The geometry of geodesic. Academic Press, New York, 1955.
- [2] В.П. Солтан: Введение в аксиоматическую теорию выпуклости. Кишинев, 1984.
- [3] В.П. Солтан: Аксиоматический подход к теории выпуклых функций, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 254 (1980), 813-816.
- [4] В.П. Солтан, П.С. Солтан: d-выпуклые функции, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 240 (1979), 555-558.
- [5] В.П. Солтан, В.Д. Ченой: Некоторые классы d-выпуклых функций в графе, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 273 (1983), 1314-1317.
- [6] J. Ger: Convex functions in metric spaces, Radovi Mat. 2 (1986), 217-236.
- [7] R. Ger: Convex transformations with Banach lattice range, Stochastica 11 (1987), 13-23.
- [8] K. Menger: Ergebnisse eines math. Kolloq., Wien, v.1 (931).
- [9] K. Menger: Untersuchungen uber allgemeine Metrik I, II, III, Math. Ann. 100 (1928), 75-163.
- [10] R. Sikorski: Funkcje rzeczywiste, Tom I. Monografie Mat. 35, PWN (Polish Scientific Publishers), Warszawa 1958.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY IN KATOWICE, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND

Received January 6, 1989.

8