



You have downloaded a document from
RE-BUŚ
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: On a local stability of the Jensen functional equation

Author: Zygfryd Kominek

Citation style: Kominek Zygfryd. (1989). On a local stability of the Jensen functional equation. "Demonstratio Mathematica" (Vol. 22, nr 2 (1989) s. 499-507), doi 10.1515/dema-1989-0220



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

Zygfryd Kominek

ON A LOCAL STABILITY
OF THE JENSEN FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

The problem of stability of Cauchy's functional equation on a restrict domain D in R has a positive answer [5]. In this paper we shall show that Cauchy's functional equation and Jensen's functional equation on a restrict domain D being some subset of R^N are stable. Moreover, we shall use a such type result to give a positive answer to a problem of K. Nikodem ([3], [4]).

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space and let D be a subset of X . We say that a function $f: D \rightarrow X$ is ε -additive ($\varepsilon \geq 0$ is fixed) in D iff

$$(1) \quad \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in D$ such that $x+y \in D$. Similarly, we say that $f: D \rightarrow X$ is ε -Jensen function iff

$$(2) \quad \|2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in D$ such that $\frac{x+y}{2} \in D$. If $D = R^N$ and (1) holds true with $\varepsilon = 0$ we say that f is additive function and every function $f: D \rightarrow X$ satisfying (2) with $\varepsilon = 0$ is called Jensen function.

F. Skof in [5] has proved that if $f: [0, a) \rightarrow X$, $a > 0$, is ε -additive then there exists an additive function $F: R \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq 3\varepsilon$ for each $x \in [0, a)$.

1. ε -additive functions

We start with a theorem extending the result of F. Skof mentioned above.

Theorem 1. Let $f: [0, a]^N \rightarrow X$, $a > 0$, N - positive integer, be an ε -additive function in $[0, a]^N$. Then there exists an additive function $F: \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq (4N-1)\varepsilon$ for every $x \in [0, a]^N$.

Proof. We define the functions $f_i: [0, a] \rightarrow X$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, by the following formulas:

$$f_i(x_i) := f(0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots, 0).$$

The functions f_i , $i=1, \dots, N$, are ε -additive in $[0, a]$, thus the result of F. Skof mentioned above guarantees the existence of additive functions $F_i: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$ for which the following inequalities

$$(3) \quad \|F_i(x_i) - f_i(x_i)\| \leq 3\varepsilon, \quad x_i \in [0, a], \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

hold true.

Writing $x \in \mathcal{R}^N$ in the form (x_1, \dots, x_N) we see that $F: \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow X$ given by the formula

$$(4) \quad F(x) := \sum_{i=1}^N F_i(x_i)$$

is an additive function. Moreover, for each $x \in [0, a]^N$, by (3) and (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x) - f(x)\| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \|F_i(x_i) - f_i(x_i)\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(x_i) - f(x) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq 3N\varepsilon + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f_i(x_i) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0) \right\| + \|f(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0) + \\ &+ f(0, \dots, 0, x_N) - f(x)\| \leq 3N\varepsilon + \varepsilon + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f_i(x_i) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \dots \leq 3N\varepsilon + (N-1)\varepsilon = (4N-1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

L e m m a 1. If a function $f:(-a,a) \rightarrow X$ is ϵ -additive in $(-a,a)$ then there exists an additive function $F: R \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(5) \quad \|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq 4\epsilon \text{ for all } x \in (-a,a).$$

P r o o f . Putting

$$f_1(x) := \frac{1}{2} [f(x) + f(-x)], \quad x \in (-a,a)$$

and

$$f_2(x) := \frac{1}{2} [f(x) - f(-x)], \quad x \in (-a,a)$$

we note that

$$(6) \quad \|f_1(x)\| \leq \epsilon, \text{ for every } x \in (-a,a),$$

and

$\|f_2(x+y) - f_2(x) - f_2(y)\| \leq \epsilon$, for all $x,y \in (-a,a)$ such that $x+y \in (-a,a)$. On account of a result of F. Skof there exists an additive function $F: R \rightarrow X$ such that $\|F(x) - f_2(x)\| \leq 3\epsilon$ for each $x \in [0,a)$. But f_2 and F are odd and therefore

$$\|F(x) - f_2(x)\| \leq 3\epsilon, \text{ for all } x \in (-a,a).$$

Hence, and by (6) we get condition (5).

L e m m a 2. If $f:(-a,a)^N \rightarrow X$ is an ϵ -additive in $(-a,a)^N$ then there exists an additive function $F: R^N \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq (5N-1)\epsilon, \text{ for any } x \in (-a,a)^N.$$

P r o o f . It is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 1. Here, we use Lemma 1 instead of a result of F. Skof.

T h e o r e m 2. Let $D \subset R^N$ be a bounded set containing zero in its interior. If, moreover,

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{2} D \subset D$$

and $f: D \rightarrow X$ is ϵ -additive in D then there exist additive function $F: R^N \rightarrow X$ and constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq K, \text{ for all } x \in D.$$

More precisely, if non-negative integer p and positive a are such that the conditions

$$(ii) \quad (-a, a)^N \subset D;$$

$$(iii) \quad 2^p(-a, a)^N \supset D$$

hold, then $\|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq (2^p 5N - 1)\varepsilon$, for all $x \in D$.

P r o o f . Assume that a and p fulfil the conditions (ii) and (iii). On account of Lemma 2, we get the existence of an additive function $F: \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow X$ for which the inequality

$$(7) \quad \|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq (5N - 1)\varepsilon, \quad x \in (-a, a)^N,$$

holds true. Taking an arbitrary $x \in D$ we observe, by (i), that $\frac{1}{2^k} x \in D$ for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, and condition (iii) implies that $\frac{1}{2^p} x \in (-a, a)^N$. It follows from (1) that for every $x \in D$ and each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$

$$\left\| 2^{k-1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{k-1}}\right) - 2^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right) \right\| \leq 2^{k-1} \varepsilon$$

and therefore

$$(8) \quad \left\| f(x) - 2^p f\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) \right\| \leq (2^p - 1)\varepsilon.$$

Now, by (7), (8) and the additivity of F we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x) - f(x)\| &\leq \left\| 2^p F\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) - 2^p f\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2^p f\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) - f(x) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq 2^p(5N - 1)\varepsilon + (2^p - 1)\varepsilon = (2^p 5N - 1)\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in D$, which ends the proof.

2. ε -Jensen functions

L e m m a 3. If $g: (-a, a)^N \rightarrow X$, $a > 0$, is an ε -Jensen function then there exists a Jensen function $G: \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(9) \quad \|G(x) - g(x)\| \leq (25N-4)\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

P r o o f . The function $f_1: (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ defining by the formula $f_1(x) := g(x) - g(0)$ is ε -Jensen function, too, and moreover, $f_1(0) = 0$. We define a function $f: (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ in the following manner: for any positive integer n and $x \in A_n := \left(-\frac{a}{2^{n-1}}, \frac{a}{2^{n-1}}\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \left(-\frac{a}{2^n}, \frac{a}{2^n}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ we put $f(x) := \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} f_1(2^{n-1}x)$.

According to (2) and $f_1(0) = 0$, for every $y \in (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and each positive integer k we have

$$\|f_1(y) - 2^k f_1\left(\frac{y}{2^k}\right)\| \leq (2^k - 1)\varepsilon.$$

Hence, and by the definition of f , if $x \in A_n$ then

$$(10) \quad \|f(x) - f_1(x)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover,

$$f(x) = 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad \text{for any } x \in (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

The last equality together with (10) and (2) (for the function f_1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| &= \|2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \\ &\leq 2\|f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f_1\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\| + \|f(x) - f_1(x)\| + \|f(y) - f_1(y)\| + \\ &+ \|2f_1\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f_1(x) - f_1(y)\| \leq 5\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x+y \in (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}}$, which means that f is 5ε -additive in $(-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}}$. By Lemma 2 we infer that there exists an additive function $F: \mathcal{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq (5N-1)5\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } x \in (-a, a)^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Putting

$$G(x) := F(x) + g(0), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}^N,$$

we see that G is a Jensen function and (see (10) and (11))

$$\|G(x) - g(x)\| = \|F(x) - f_1(x)\| \leq 25N\varepsilon - 5\varepsilon + \varepsilon = (25N-4)\varepsilon$$

for every $x \in (-a, a)^N$.

Theorem 3. Let $D_1 \subset \mathcal{R}^N$ be a bounded set with non-empty interior. If there exists an element $x_0 \in \text{int } D_1$ such that the set $D := D_1 - x_0$ satisfies condition (i) then for every ε -Jensen function $g_1: D_1 \rightarrow X$ there exist Jensen function $G_1: \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow X$ and constant K (depending on g_1) such that

$$\|G_1(x) - g_1(x)\| \leq K \quad \text{for any } x \in D_1.$$

More precisely, if non-negative integer p and positive a fulfil conditions (ii) and (iii) then

$$\|G_1(x) - g_1(x)\| \leq [2^p(25N-3)-1]\varepsilon \quad \text{for each } x \in D_1.$$

Proof. Assume that a , p and D fulfil conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). The function

$$g(x) := g_1(x+x_0), \quad x \in D,$$

is an ε -Jensen function in D . Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3 we define the functions f_1 and f , namely

$$f_1(x) := g(x) - g(0), \quad x \in D,$$

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} f_1(2^{n-1}x), \quad x \in A_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

We note that

$$(12) \quad \|f_1(y) - 2^p f_1\left(\frac{y}{2^p}\right)\| \leq (2^p-1)\varepsilon, \quad \text{for each } y \in D,$$

and (see (10))

$$(13) \quad \|f(x) - f_1(x)\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for any } x \in (-a, a)^N.$$

Let $F: R^N \rightarrow X$ be an additive function such that (cf. (11))

$$\|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq (5N-1)5\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in (-a, a)^N.$$

Taking any $x \in D$, by (12) and (13), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad \|F(x) - f_1(x)\| &\leq 2^p \left\| F\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) - f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2^p f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) - f_1(x) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq 2^p \left(\left\| F\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) \right\| + \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) - f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) \right\| \right) + \left\| 2^p f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^p}\right) - f_1(x) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq 2^p(25N-5+1)\varepsilon + (2^p-1)\varepsilon = [2^p(25N-3)-1]\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we put

$$G_1(x) := F(x-x_0) + g(0), \quad x \in R^N.$$

Hence, by definitions of g and f_1 and (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_1(x) - g_1(x)\| &= \|F(x-x_0) + g(0) - g(x-x_0)\| = \\ &= \|F(x-x_0) - f_1(x-x_0)\| < [2^p(25N-3)-1]\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in D_1$. Thus the proof is complete.

3. Application

A real function f defined on an open and convex subset D of R^N is said to be J -convex (convex in the sense of Jensen) if inequality

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}$$

holds for all $x, y \in D$. If the inequality sign " \leq " is replaced by " \geq " in the above inequality we say that f is a J -concave function. In the paper [1] R. Ger and M. Kuczma introduced the following class \mathcal{A} of sets. A set $T \subset R^N$ belongs to the class \mathcal{A} iff every J -convex function defined on a convex open domain $D \supset T$ bounded above on T is continuous in D . Some in-

interesting results concerning of this class of sets may be found in [2].

Theorem 4. Let $D \subset R^N$ be an open convex set and let $T \subset D$ be a fixed set belonging to the class \mathcal{A} . If $f: D \rightarrow R$ is J -convex and $g: D \rightarrow R$ is J -concave and, moreover,

$$f(x) \leq g(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in T$$

then there exist additive function $H: R^N \rightarrow R$, convex function $f_1: D \rightarrow R$ and concave function $g_1: D \rightarrow R$ such that $f(x) = H(x) + f_1(x)$ and $g(x) = H(x) + g_1(x)$ for every $x \in D$.

Proof. Putting

$$\varphi(x) := f(x) - g(x), \quad x \in D$$

we note that φ is J -convex function bounded above on T . Thus φ is continuous in D . Let D_1 be an open convex and bounded subset of D for which there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$(15) \quad |\varphi(x)| \leq M \quad \text{for any } x \in D_1.$$

From the definitions of φ , J -concavity of g , J -convexity of f and (15) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - g(y) = \\ &= 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) - 2\varphi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \leq 4M, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in D_1$. In particular,

$$\left| 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - g(x) - g(y) \right| \leq 4M \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D_1.$$

On account of Theorem 3 there exist Jensen function $G: R^N \rightarrow R$ and constant $K > 0$ such that

$$(16) \quad |G(x) - g(x)| \leq K \quad \text{for every } x \in D_1.$$

Now, we define functions H , g_1 and f_1 by the formulas

$$H(x) := G(x) - G(0), \quad x \in R^N,$$

$$g_1(x) := g(x) - H(x), \quad x \in D,$$

$$f_1(x) := \varphi(x) + g_1(x), \quad x \in D.$$

Of course, H is an additive function. The function g_1 is J -concave bounded below on D_1 (cf. (16)) and therefore it is concave function on D . The function f_1 is convex, because it is continuous and $f_1(x) = \varphi(x) + g(x) - H(x) = f(x) - H(x)$, which imply that f_1 is J -convex. Moreover, it is easily seen that $f(x) = H(x) + f_1(x)$ and $g(x) = H(x) + g_1(x)$ for any $x \in D$.

Analogous result was obtained by K. Nikodem [4] and C.T. Ng [6] but the assumptions in Theorem 4 are slightly weaker and the method of the proof is completely different from that presented in both papers.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ger, M. Kuczma: On the boundedness and continuity of convex functions and additive functions, *Aequationes Math.* 4 (1970) 157-162.
- [2] M. Kuczma: An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities, PWN, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice, 1985.
- [3] K. Nikodem: Problem, *Rocznik Naukowo-Dydaktyczny WSP w Krakowie, Zeszyt 97, Prace Mat. XI* (1985) 257-258.
- [4] K. Nikodem: Midpoint convex function majorized by midpoint concave functions, *Aequationes Math.* 32 (1987) 45-51.
- [5] F. Skof: Proprieta Locali e Approssimazione di Operatori, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Fis. Milano* 53, 113-129 (1983).
- [6] C.T. Ng: On midconvex functions with midconcave bounds (manuscript).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY, 40-007 KATOWICE,
POLAND

Received July 23, 1987.