



You have downloaded a document from  
**RE-BUŚ**  
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

**Title:** Sequences of contractions and random fixed point theorems in dynamic programming

**Author:** Andrzej Nowak

**Citation style:** Nowak Andrzej. (1981). Sequences of contractions and random fixed point theorems in dynamic programming. "Demonstratio Mathematica" (Vol. 14, nr 2 (1981) s. 343-353), doi 10.1515/dema-1981-0207



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI  
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka  
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki  
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

Andrzej Nowak

## SEQUENCES OF CONTRACTIONS AND RANDOM FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

In this paper we consider a non-stationary discounted dynamic programming model with a random parameter. We associate with this model a backward sequence of decision problems with increasing planning horizon, and study the asymptotic behaviour of optimal rewards. In this analysis we use some results on multifunctions and sequences of contractions, and apply a random analogue of the Banach fixed point theorem. Similar problems were investigated by Çapar [3], and we generalize his results.

### 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this section  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, P)$  a complete probability space. A function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is measurable if for any Borel set  $B \subset X$ ,  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{U}$ . By  $C(X)$  we denote the Banach space of all real-valued bounded continuous functions on  $X$  with the sup norm. A mapping  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is called a  $k$ -contraction, where  $0 \leq k < 1$ , if for every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(g(x), g(y)) \leq kd(x, y)$ .

Let  $(g_i)$  be a sequence of functions  $g_i : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $g_i$  is a  $k_i$ -contraction. If  $X$  is complete, then each  $g_i$  has a unique fixed point  $a_i$ . Denote  $k := \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} k_i$ .

The following theorem is a generalization of a result of Çapar ([3], Theorem 1).

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose  $X$  is complete, the sequence  $(g_i)$  converges pointwise to  $g$ , and  $k < 1$ . Then the sequence  $(a_i)$  converges to  $a$ , the unique fixed point of  $g$ . Moreover, for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(g_{i-1}(\dots g_1(x_0)\dots)) = a.$$

**Proof.** By ([2], pp.6-7),  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = a$ . Fix  $x_0 \in X$  and denote

$$x_i := g_i(g_{i-1}(\dots g_1(x_0)\dots)), \quad i=1,2,\dots$$

$$b := \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_i, a).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_i, a) &= d(g_i(x_{i-1}), a) \leq d(g_i(x_{i-1}), g_i(a)) + d(g_i(a), a) < \\ &\leq kd(x_{i-1}, a) + d(g_i(a), g(a)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $b \leq kb$  and the boundness of the sequence  $(d(x_i, a))$ . Hence  $b = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = a$ .

A function  $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$  is a random contraction if for any  $x \in X$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  is measurable, and  $P$ -almost surely  $F(\omega, \cdot)$  is a  $k(\omega)$ -contraction, where  $k : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is a measurable function. A measurable mapping  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is called a random fixed point of  $F$  if  $F(\omega, f(\omega)) = f(\omega)$  a.s.

We will use the following random analogue of the Banach fixed point theorem:

**Theorem 1.2** (see [5], Theorem 5). Let  $X$  be a Polish space (i.e. a separable complete metric space), and  $F : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$  a random contraction. Then there exists a unique random fixed point  $f$  of  $F$ ; that is, if  $\tilde{f}$  is another fixed point, then  $f(\omega) = \tilde{f}(\omega)$  a.s.

The following lemma is very useful:

**L e m m a 1.3** (see [4], Lemma 16; [8], Prop.4.2).

(i) If  $f : \Omega \rightarrow C(X)$  is measurable, then the function  $g : \Omega \times X \rightarrow R$  defined by  $g(\omega, x) := f(\omega)(x)$  is measurable in  $\omega$ .

(ii) If  $X$  is compact, and  $g : \Omega \times X \rightarrow R$  is measurable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $x$ , then the function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow C(X)$  given by  $f(\omega) := g(\omega, \cdot)$  is measurable.

A multifunction  $\varphi$  from  $Y$  to  $X$ , where  $Y$  is an arbitrary set, is a function defined on  $Y$ , whose values are non-empty subsets of  $X$ . A multifunction  $\varphi$  is called bounded (closed, compact)-valued if  $\varphi(y)$  is bounded (closed, compact) for all  $y \in Y$ . The family of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of  $X$  can be considered as a metric space, with the Hausdorff metric  $D$  induced by  $d$ . Thus, if  $Y$  is a topological space, then the continuity of a closed bounded-valued multifunction  $\varphi$  from  $Y$  to  $X$  is well defined.

**T h e o r e m 1.4.** (see [1], p.122). Let  $\varphi$  be a multifunction from  $Y$  to  $X$ , and  $u : Y \times X \rightarrow R$ . If  $\varphi$  is compact-valued and continuous, and  $u$  is continuous, then the function  $v : Y \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad v(y) := \sup_{x \in \varphi(y)} u(y, x)$$

is continuous.

**T h e o r e m 1.5.** Let  $Y$  be a compact metric space,  $(\varphi_i)$  a sequence of compact-valued and continuous multifunctions from  $Y$  to  $X$ , and  $(u_i)$  a sequence of continuous functions  $u_i : Y \times X \rightarrow R$ . Define a new sequence  $(v_i)$  of functions  $v_i : Y \rightarrow R$  by

$$v_i(y) := \sup_{x \in \varphi_i(y)} u_i(y, x).$$

If the sequence  $(\varphi_i)$  is uniformly convergent to a compact-valued multifunction  $\varphi$ , i.e.  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} D(\varphi_i(y), \varphi(y)) = 0$  uniformly on  $Y$ , and  $(u_i)$  is uniformly convergent to  $u$ , then the

sequence  $(v_i)$  is uniformly convergent to the function  $v$  defined by (1.1).

**P r o o f .** For any  $y \in Y$  we have

$$(1.2) \quad |v(y) - v_i(y)| \leq |v(y) - \sup_{x \in \varphi_i(y)} u(y,x)| + \\ + |\sup_{x \in \varphi_i(y)} u(y,x) - v_i(y)|.$$

We shall prove that both terms on the right hand side of this inequality are uniformly convergent to 0.

Note that the multifunction  $\varphi$  is continuous, as the uniform limit of continuous multifunctions. We shall show that its graph

$$G := \{(y,x) \in Y \times X : x \in \varphi(y)\}$$

is compact. Since  $\varphi$  is continuous and compact-valued,  $G$  is closed and the set

$$\varphi(Y) := \bigcup_{y \in Y} \varphi(y)$$

is compact (see [1], pp.116, 118). Thus  $G$  is compact, as a closed subset of  $Y \times \varphi(Y)$ .

Now we shall prove that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $i \geq n(\varepsilon)$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad |v(y) - \sup_{x \in \varphi_i(y)} u(y,x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since  $u$  is uniformly continuous on  $G$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x,x') < \delta$  implies  $|u(y,x) - u(y,x')| < \varepsilon$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $x, x' \in \varphi(y)$ . By the uniform convergence of  $(\varphi_i)$ , there is  $n_0$  such that for all  $i \geq n_0$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,  $D(\varphi_i(y), \varphi(y)) < \delta$ . Hence, for any  $i \geq n_0$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $x \in \varphi(y)$  there exists  $x' \in \varphi_i(y)$  such that  $d(x,x') < \delta$ . For such  $y, x$  and  $x'$  we have  $u(y,x) - u(y,x') < \varepsilon$ , and consequently,

$$(1.4) \quad v(y) \leq \sup_{x \in \varphi_1(y)} u(y, x) + \varepsilon.$$

Similarly, for any  $i > n_0$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $x \in \varphi_i(y)$  there is  $x' \in \varphi(y)$  such that  $d(x, x') < \delta$ . This implies

$$\sup_{x \in \varphi_i(y)} u(y, x) \leq v(y) + \varepsilon.$$

This inequality together with (1.4) give (1.3), where  $n(\varepsilon) = n_0$ .

For any  $y \in Y$ ,

$$|\sup_{x \in \varphi_i(y)} u(y, x) - v_1(y)| \leq \sup_{x \in \varphi_i(y)} |u(y, x) - u_1(y, x)|.$$

Since  $(u_i)$  is uniformly convergent to  $u$ , the right hand side of the last inequality uniformly converges to 0 on  $Y$ . This completes the proof.

A multifunction  $\varphi$  from  $\Omega$  to  $X$  is measurable if for any open  $B \subset X$ ,  $\{\omega \in \Omega : \varphi(\omega) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{U}$ . A mapping  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a measurable selector of  $\varphi$ , if  $f$  is measurable and for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $f(\omega) \in \varphi(\omega)$ .

**Theorem 1.6** (see [7], Prop.1). Let  $X$  be a Polish space, and  $\varphi, \varphi_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ) closed bounded-valued multifunctions from  $\Omega$  to  $X$ . If  $\varphi_i$  are measurable and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} D(\varphi_i(\omega), \varphi(\omega)) = 0$  a.s., then  $\varphi$  is measurable.

**Theorem 1.7** (c.f. [9], Theorem 9.1). Let  $X$  be a Polish space,  $\varphi$  a measurable compact-valued multifunction from  $\Omega$  to  $X$ ,  $u$  a real-valued function defined on  $\Omega \times X$  such that for any  $x \in X$ ,  $u(\cdot, x)$  is measurable, and for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $u(\omega, \cdot)$  is continuous. Then the function

$$v(\omega) := \sup_{x \in \varphi(\omega)} u(\omega, x), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

is measurable.

**Remark.** For the sake of simplicity we do not give the most general formulations of the theorems of this section.

## 2. Dynamic programming model

We study a dynamic programming model  $(S, A, (\varphi_i), (T_i), (r_i), (\beta_i))$ , where  $S, A$  are non-empty sets,  $\varphi_i$  is a multifunction from  $\Omega \times S$  to  $A$ ,  $T_i$  maps  $\Omega \times S \times A$  into  $S$ ,  $r_i$  is a real-valued function defined on  $\Omega \times S \times A$ , and  $\beta_i : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We interpret  $S$  as the set of states of some controlled system,  $A$  as the set of actions, and  $\Omega$  as the set of random parameters (states of nature). By  $s_i$  and  $a_i$  we denote the state of the system and the action performed  $i$  steps backward from the end of the planning horizon.  $\varphi_i(\omega, s_i)$  is interpreted as the set of all admissible actions at this step, when the state of nature is  $\omega$ . The transition function  $T_i$  is the deterministic law of motion of the system between time  $i$  and  $i-1$ . Finally,  $r_i$  is interpreted as a reward function, and  $\beta_i$  as a discount factor at the  $i$ -th step before the end of the planning horizon.

We associate with our dynamic programming model a sequence of decision problems with increasing planning horizon. Now we describe the decision problem with the horizon  $n$ .

We start to control our system at time  $n$ , when it is in a state  $s_n$ . We assume that the random parameter  $\omega$  is known before the decision making. We observe  $s_n$  and take an action  $a_n \in \varphi_n(\omega, s_n)$ , receive a reward  $r_n(\omega, s_n, a_n)$ , and the system moves to a new state  $s_{n-1} = T_n(\omega, s_n, a_n)$ , and so on until after  $n-1$  of these steps we observe  $s_1$ , take an action  $a_1 \in \varphi_1(\omega, s_1)$ , receive  $r_1(\omega, s_1, a_1)$  and then the process stops. The reward of one unit at time  $i-1$  is worth only  $\beta_i(\omega)$  at time  $i$ . Our total discounted reward is given by

$$R_n(\omega, s_n, a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1) = r_n(\omega, s_n, a_n) + \beta_n(\omega)(r_{n-1}(\omega, s_{n-1}, a_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}(\omega)(r_{n-2}(\omega, s_{n-2}, a_{n-2}) + \dots + \beta_2(\omega)r_1(\omega, s_1, a_1)) \dots).$$

We are going to maximize this function for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $s_n \in S$  by the appropriate choice of  $(a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1)$ . The optimal

reward function  $V_n$  corresponding to the decision problem with horizon  $n$  is defined by

$$V_n(\omega, s) = \sup R_n(\omega, s, a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad s \in S,$$

where supremum is taken over all sequences  $(a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1)$  such that  $a_i \in \varphi_i(\omega, s_i)$  for  $i = n, n-1, \dots, 1$ , and  $s_n := s$ ,  $s_{i-1} := T_i(\omega, s_i, a_i)$ .

The functions  $(R_n)$  satisfy the equations

$$R_n(\omega, s_n, a_n, \dots, a_1) = r_n(\omega, s_n, a_n) + \beta_n(\omega) R_{n-1}(\omega, T_n(\omega, s_n, a_n), a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $R_0 := 0$ . It follows from these relations that the optimal reward functions  $(V_n)$  satisfy the optimality equations.

$$(2.1) \quad V_n(\omega, s) = \sup_{a \in \varphi_n(\omega, s)} \left( r_n(\omega, s, a) + \beta_n(\omega) V_{n-1}(\omega, T_n(\omega, s, a)) \right),$$

$$V_0 := 0, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad s \in S, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

### 3. Asymptotic behaviour of $V_n$

For the main result of this paper we shall assume:

- A1.  $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, P)$  is a complete probability space.
- A2.  $S$  is a compact metric space, and  $A$  is a separable metric space.
- A3. Multifunctions  $(\varphi_i)$  are compact-valued, measurable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $s$ .
- A4. Transition functions  $(T_i)$  and rewards  $(r_i)$  are measurable in  $\omega$  and continuous in  $(s, a)$ .
- A5. Discount factors  $(\beta_i)$  are measurable functions.
- A6. For almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have:
  - a) the sequence  $(\varphi_i(\omega, \cdot))$  is uniformly convergent on  $S$  to a compact-valued multifunction  $\varphi$  from  $\Omega \times S$  to  $A$ ;
  - b) the sequences  $(T_i(\omega, \cdot, \cdot))$  and  $(r_i(\omega, \cdot, \cdot))$  are uniformly convergent on  $S \times A$  to functions  $T : \Omega \times S \times A \rightarrow S$ , and  $r : \Omega \times S \times A \rightarrow R$ , respectively;

$$c) \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta_i(\omega) = \beta(\omega);$$

$$d) k(\omega) := \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i(\omega) < 1.$$

For  $u \in C(S)$  we define

$$L_i(\omega, u)(s) := \sup_{a \in \varphi_i(\omega, s)} (r_i(\omega, s, a) + \beta_i(\omega)u(T_i(\omega, s, a))),$$

$$L(\omega, u)(s) := \sup_{a \in \varphi(\omega, s)} (r(\omega, s, a) + \beta(\omega)u(T(\omega, s, a))),$$

$$\omega \in \Omega, s \in S, i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**L e m m a 3.1.** If we assume A1-A5, then for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L_i$  is a random contraction on  $C(S)$ . If we additionally assume A6, then  $L$  is a random contraction on  $C(S)$ .

**P r o o f .** For fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \in C(S)$  we denote

$$v(\omega, s, a) := r_i(\omega, s, a) + \beta_i(\omega)u(T_i(\omega, s, a)),$$

$$w(\omega, s) := \sup_{a \in \varphi_i(\omega, s)} v(\omega, s, a), \quad \omega \in \Omega, s \in S, a \in A.$$

The function  $v$  is measurable in  $\omega$ , and continuous in  $(s, a)$ . From Theorems 1.4 and 1.7 we conclude that for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $w(\omega, \cdot) \in C(S)$ , and for any  $s \in S$ ,  $w(\cdot, s)$  is measurable. In virtue of Lemma 1.3(i), the operator  $L_i : \Omega \times C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$  is measurable in  $\omega$ . For any  $u_1, u_2 \in C(S)$  and  $s \in S$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_i(\omega, u_1)(s) - L_i(\omega, u_2)(s)| &\leq \beta_i(\omega) \cdot \sup_{a \in \varphi_i(\omega, s)} |u_1(T_i(\omega, s, a)) - u_2(T_i(\omega, s, a))| < \\ &\leq \beta_i(\omega) \|u_1 - u_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $L_i(\omega, \cdot)$  is a  $\beta_i(\omega)$ -contraction.

Now we consider the operator  $L$ . By Theorem 1.6, the multifunction  $\varphi$  is measurable in  $\omega$ . For almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\varphi(\omega, \cdot)$  is continuous, as the uniform limit of continuous multifunctions. By the same argument,  $T$  and  $r$  are measu-

rable in  $\omega$ , and continuous in  $(s,a)$  for almost all  $\omega$ . It is obvious, that  $\beta$  is measurable, and  $\beta(\omega) < 1$  a.s. The rest of the proof is the same as for the operator  $L_i$ , and we omit it.

By Theorem 1.2, each operator  $L_i$  has a unique random fixed point  $f_i : \Omega \rightarrow C(S)$ . Similarly,  $L$  has a unique random fixed point  $f : \Omega \rightarrow C(S)$ . We associate with these fixed points functions  $V, V_i^* : \Omega \times S \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$V(\omega, s) := f(\omega)(s),$$

$$V_i^*(\omega, s) := f_i(\omega)(s), \quad i \in N.$$

The following theorem interrelates the functions  $V_n, V_n^*$  and  $V$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** (c.f. [3], Theorem 2). Assume A1-A6. For almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(\omega, s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n^*(\omega, s) = V(\omega, s),$$

uniformly on  $S$ .

**Proof.** There exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $P(U) = 1$  such that for all  $\omega \in U$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\varphi_n(\omega, \cdot) \rightarrow \varphi(\omega, \cdot)$  uniformly on  $S$ ,
- (ii)  $T_n(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) \rightarrow T(\omega, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $r_n(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) \rightarrow r(\omega, \cdot, \cdot)$  uniformly on  $S \times A$ ,
- (iii)  $\beta_n(\omega) \rightarrow \beta(\omega)$  and  $k(\omega) < 1$ ,
- (iv) for any  $n \in N, s \in S$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad V_n^*(\omega, s) = L_n(\omega, V_n^*(\omega, \cdot))(s), \quad V(\omega, s) = L(\omega, V(\omega, \cdot))(s).$$

By use of the operators  $(L_n)$ , we can rewrite the optimality equations (2.1) in the form

$$(3.2) \quad V_n(\omega, s) = L_n(\omega, V_{n-1}(\omega, \cdot))(s), \quad n \in N.$$

It can be compared with the equations (3.1) satisfied by the functions  $(V_n^*)$ .

Fix  $\omega \in U$  for the rest of the proof. It follows from (3.2) that

$$V_n(\omega, s) = L_n(\omega, L_{n-1}(\omega, \dots, L_1(\omega, V_0)) \dots)(s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In order to apply Theorem 1.1, we prove that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n(\omega, u) = L(\omega, u)$$

for  $u \in C(S)$ . It is not difficult to see that for any  $u \in C(S)$ ,

$$r_n(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) + \beta_n(\omega)u(T_n(\omega, \cdot, \cdot)) \rightarrow r(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) + \beta(\omega)u(T(\omega, \cdot, \cdot)),$$

uniformly on  $S \times A$ . Thus Theorem 1.5 implies (3.3). By Theorem 1.1, the sequences  $(V_n^*(\omega, \cdot))$  and  $(V_n(\omega, \cdot))$  are convergent to  $V(\omega, \cdot)$  in  $C(S)$ . This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. B e r g e : Espaces Topologiques, Paris 1959.
- [2] F.F. B o n s a l : Lectures on some fixed point theorems of functional analysis. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India, 1962.
- [3] U. Ć a p a r : Random fixed point theorems and sequential decision making in abstract spaces, in Transactions of the 7th Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions and Random Processes, Vol.B, 37-43, Prague, 1978.
- [4] H.W. E n g l : A general stochastic fixed-point theorem for continuous random operators on stochastic domains, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 66 (1978) 220-231.

- 
- [5] O. H a n Ź : Random operator equations, in Proceedings of the 4th Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Vol.II, Part I, 185-202, Berkeley 1961.
- [6] C.J. H i m m e l b e r g : Measurable relations, Fund. Math. 87 (1975) 53-72.
- [7] S. I t o h : A random fixed point theorem for a multi-valued contraction mapping, Pacific J. Math. 68 (1977) 85-90.
- [8] S. I t o h : Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 67 (1979) 261-273.
- [9] D.H. W a g n e r : Survey of measurable selection theorems, SIAM J.Control and Optimization 15 (1977) 859-903.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAAN UNIVERSITY, KATOWICE

Received November 22nd, 1979.