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Andrzej Biela, Pior Hallala

## STUDIES ON CHURCH'S CALCULUS*

An extended version of this abstract will appear in Reports on Mathematical Logic.

1. In Church's calculus we establish classes of equivalent formulas built from only one propositional variable $p$ in order to obtain the theorem on existence exactly one Lindenbaum's extension for Church's system.

Moreover, we construct a class of finitely axiomatizable systems between Church's and Grzegorczyk's systems and we consider the problem of structural completeness of Church's calculus reduced to formulas formed from the variable $p$.
2. We use the following notations: $S^{C}$ is the smallest set of well-formed formulas built by means of all propositional variables $A t=\left\{p, q, r, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and the connective of implication $\rightarrow\left(\overline{\overline{S^{C}}}=\aleph^{0}\right), A t(\Phi)$ denotes the set of all propositional variables occurring in $\Phi, Z_{2}$ is the set of all two-valued tautologies from $S^{C}$. The notions of consequence operations $S b(X)$ and $C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, X\right)$, where $R_{0^{*}}=\left\{r_{0}, r_{*}\right\}$ ( $r_{0}$ - the modus ponens rule, $r_{*}-$ the substitution rule), are known (cf. [7], [6], pp. 105-106). $\mathcal{M}$ denotes the logical matrix, $E(\mathcal{M})$ is the set of all valid formulas in this matrix (from the language $S^{C}$ ).

The couple $\left\langle R_{0^{*}}, A_{1}\right\rangle$ with axioms $A_{1}=\{p \rightarrow p,[p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow(p \rightarrow$ $q),[p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow[q \rightarrow(p \rightarrow r)],(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow[(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow(p \rightarrow r)]\}$ and primitive rules $R_{0^{*}}=\left\{r_{0}, r_{*}\right\}$ is called Church's system (cf. [2]).

Two formulas $\Phi, \Psi \in S^{C}$ are equivalent in Church's system, $\Phi \equiv \Psi$, iff $\Phi \rightarrow \Psi, \Psi \rightarrow \Phi \in C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, A_{1}\right)$.

[^0]$\left\langle R_{0^{*}}, A_{2}\right\rangle$ denotes Grzegorczyk's system with axioms $A_{2}=A_{1} \cup\{(p \rightarrow$ $q) \rightarrow[p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q)]\}$ (cf. [3], p. 102).

The set $L\left(C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, X\right)\right)$ of all Lindenbaum's extensions of the set $C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, X\right)$ will be defined as follows: $L\left(C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, X\right)\right)=\left\{Y \subseteq S^{C}\right.$ : $\left.\left.C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, X\right) \subseteq Y=C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, Y\right) \neq S^{C} \wedge \forall_{\Phi \in S^{C} \backslash Y} C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, Y\right) \cup\{\Phi\}\right)=S^{C}\right\}$.

SCpl is the set of all structural complete systems (cf. [5]).
3.

Lemma 3.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall\{A t(\Phi)=\{p\} \Rightarrow \\
& \left(\left[\Phi \in Z_{2} \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv p \rightarrow p \vee \Phi \equiv p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)\right.\right. \\
& \vee \Phi \equiv[p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)] \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)] \\
& \wedge\left[\Phi \notin Z_{2} \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv p \vee \Phi \equiv[p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)] \rightarrow p\right. \\
& \vee \Phi \equiv\{[p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)] \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)\} \rightarrow p])\}
\end{aligned}
$$

ThEOREM 3.2. $\forall_{\Phi \in S^{C}}\left\{A t(\Phi)=\{p\} \Rightarrow\left[\Phi \in E(\mathcal{M}) \Leftrightarrow \Phi \in C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, A_{1}\right)\right]\right\}$, where $\mathcal{M}=\langle\{0,1,2,3\},\{1,2,3\}, f \rightarrow\rangle$ and

| $f \rightarrow$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 allow us to formulate and algorithm concerned equivalents formulas.
Corollary 3.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall_{e: A t \rightarrow\{0,1,2,3\}} \forall_{\Phi \in S^{C}}\{A t(\Phi)=\{p\} \wedge e(p)=3 \Rightarrow \\
& \qquad \Phi \Phi \in Z_{2} \Rightarrow \quad\left(\left[h^{e}(\Phi)=0 \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)\right]\right. \\
& \\
& \\
& \wedge\left[h^{e}(\Phi)=1 \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv[p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)] \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)\right] \\
& \left.\left.\wedge\left[h^{e}(\Phi)=2 \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv p \rightarrow p\right]\right)\right\} \\
& \wedge\left\{\Phi \notin Z_{2} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{ll} 
& \Rightarrow \\
& \left(\left[h^{e}(\Phi)=0 \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv\{p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)] \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)\right) \rightarrow p\right] \\
& \wedge\left[h^{e}(\Phi)=1 \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv[p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow p)] \rightarrow p\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\wedge\left[h^{e}(\Phi)=3 \Rightarrow \Phi \equiv p\right]\right)\right\}\right)\right\}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that propositional calculus $\left\langle R_{0^{*}}, X\right\rangle$ has $\mathcal{T}$ property iff the set of all two-valued implicational tautologies is the only one Lindenbaum's extension for $\left\langle R_{0^{*}}, X\right\rangle$.

Theorem 3.4. $\left\langle R_{0^{*}}, A_{1}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{T}$.
4. There exist denumerable many finitely axiomatizable systems between Church's and Grzegorczyk's calculus.

Let $\underline{R}=\left\{\left\langle R_{0^{*}}, A_{1} \cup\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}\right\rangle\right\}_{n \in N}$, where $\alpha_{n}=\underbrace{p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow \ldots(p}_{n-1 \text { times }} \rightarrow$
$q) \ldots) \rightarrow[\underbrace{p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow \ldots(p}_{\text {times }} \rightarrow q) \ldots)]$, and $\mathcal{M}_{n}=\langle\{0,1,2, \ldots, n+1\},\{1,2, \ldots$, $\left.n+1\}, f_{n}\right\rangle n=1,2, \ldots$ where

| $f_{n}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $n-1$ | $n$ | $n+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $n-1$ | $n$ | $n+1$ |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $n-2$ | $n-1$ | $n$ |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | . | . |  |  | . | . | . |
| . | . | . | . |  |  | . | . |  |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | . | . |  |  | . | . | . |
| $n-1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| $n+1$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Let us notice that $\alpha_{n} \in E\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}\right)$ since for $v(p)=3$ and $v(q)=n+1$ $h^{v}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=0$, and $\alpha_{n+1} \in E\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 4.1.
a) $C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, A_{1}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in N} E\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}\right)$
b) $E\left(\mathcal{M}_{m}\right) \varsubsetneqq E\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}\right)$ for $m>n$, where $m, n \in N$
c) $C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, A_{1} \cup\left\{\alpha_{n+1}\right\}\right) \subseteq E\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}\right) \wedge C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, A_{1} \cup\left\{\alpha_{n+1}\right) \varsubsetneqq E\left(\mathcal{M}_{n+1}\right)\right.$ for every $n \in N$.
5. $S_{p}^{C}$ is the smallest set satisfying the conditions:
a. $p \in S_{p}^{C}$
b. $\varphi, \psi \in S_{p}^{C} \Rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \psi \in S_{p}^{C}$.

Theorem 5.1.
a) $\left\langle R_{0^{*}}, A_{p}\right\rangle \in S C p l$
b) $\left\langle R, S b\left(A_{p}\right)>\notin S C p l\right.$, where $A_{p}=C n\left(R_{0^{*}}, A_{1}\right) \cap S_{p}^{C}$.
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