

You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: Structural and Electrical Properties of Electrodeposited Single Junction of Cuprous (I) Oxide-Copper

Author: Edward Rówiński, Mateusz Pławecki

Citation style: Rówiński Edward, Pławecki Mateusz. (2016). Structural and Electrical Properties of Electrodeposited Single Junction of Cuprous (I) Oxide-Copper. "Acta Physica Polonica. A" (Vol. 130, nr 4 (2016), s. 1141-1143), doi 10.12693/APhysPolA.130.1141



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



Biblioteka Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego Proceedings of the XXIII Conference on Applied Crystallography, Krynica Zdrój, Poland, September 20–24, 2015

Structural and Electrical Properties of Electrodeposited Single Junction of Cuprous (I) Oxide–Copper

E. Rówiński^{*} and M. Pławecki

Institute of Materials Science, University of Silesia, 75 Pułku Piechoty 1A, 41-500 Chorzów, Poland

Cuprous (I) oxide (Cu₂O)-based solar cells were fabricated with the use of the electrodeposition technique at nanometre-scale, and the structural, morphological and electrical properties were investigated. The Cu₂O layers were electrodeposited on crystalline and polycrystalline copper substrates. To complete the Cu₂O/Cu(100) and Cu₂O/Cu interfaces as the solar cells the top electrodes of silver paste were painted on the rear of Cu₂O. The microscopic analysis exhibits uneven surface morphologies of a Cu₂O film with the roughness of 92.5 nm, while the X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that the layers are Cu₂O-type polycrystalline structures with the thickness of 493 nm and the crystallite size of 69.8(6) nm. The theoretical analysis of the current–voltage curve was provided to determine the values of electrical parameters of the most efficient solar cell of $Ag/Cu_2O/Cu(100)$ and clearly indicate presence of two Schottky barriers at interfaces.

DOI: 10.12693/APhysPolA.130.1141

PACS/topics: 61.05.cp, 73.50.Pz, 73.40.Sx

1. Introduction

The solar cell materials have been the subject of intense research for many years due to their unusual optical and electrical properties [1–12]. On the basis of theoretical studies it may be proven that, photovoltaic technology has advanced considerably, resulting in singlejunction solar cells with a record efficiency of 28.3% and multi-junction cells with an efficiency (under concentrated illumination) of 43.5% [2]. The initial effort to develop a solar energy conversion device can be traced back to the metal/semiconductor (MS) junction with a thin metal film [6, 7]. The efficiency of Cu/Cu_2O Schottky barrier solar cells has remained far below the theoretical value. The best results obtained so far remain in the range of 1–2% [7].

Motivated by these results, the authors of presented studies aim to show how to explain crystalline and electrical behaviors of solar cell based on a nanocrystalline Cu_2O layer sandwiched between two electrodes that consist of polycrystalline silver and crystalline or polycrystalline copper.

2. Materials and methods

Two samples were constructed in sandwich geometry with each of them having a dimension of $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$. The Cu₂O layers were prepared on crystalline Cu(100) (5 mm thickness) and polycrystalline Cu (0.5 mm thickness) substrates by electrodeposition using platinum counter electrode. Copper (II) sulfate (CuSO₄, 0.4 mol/L, Wako 97.5%) and L-lactic acid (3 mol/L, Wako) were dissolved into distilled water. Electrolyte pH was adjusted to 12.5 by adding NaOH. The electrolyte temperature was kept at 60 °C during electrodeposition. The current density of electrodeposition was carried out at 1.5 mA/cm² and at the time of 10 min. Close to the value of current density, deposition time and Faraday's law, the estimated thickness of the Cu_2O layers was about 0.5 nm.

To complete the interfaces the single solar cell a top electrode of silver paste (TAAB, S 270) was painted on the rear of Cu_2O . The Cu_2O serves as a common active layer to two electrodes.

The structural studies were carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXD) on an Empyrean PANalytical powder diffractometer using Cu K_{α_1} radiation. A grazing incidence geometry with an incident angle of 1 degrees was applied. The backscatter Laue diffraction pattern was provided on XRT-100 CCM diffractometer, company EFG. All measurements were taken at the room temperature.

The atomic force microscopy (AFM) image and micrograph were determined by a Hysitron Ti 950 Triboindenter equipped with a Q-Scope 250 and JEOL-JSM-6480 (U = 20 kV) scanning electron microscope, respectively. All measurements were carried out at the room temperature.

Measurements of emission and excitation spectra were recorded using a FluoroMax-4 spectrofluorometer (Horiba, USA), equipped with automated polarizers. The 150 W ozone-free xenon arc lamp is source blazed at 330 nm (excitation) and 500 nm (emission).

The current –voltage curves were carried out using 2400 Series Source Meter, Keithley Instruments. The source was a 75 W wolfram lamp equipped with a sunlight filter to match the emission spectrum of the lamp to 1000 W/m². The solar cells were masked with a metal aperture to define an active area of 0.0 cm^2 .

3. Theoretical model of current–voltage characteristic for metal–semiconductor nanostructure–metal solar cell

For the sake of simplicity, we propose a versatile theoretical approach based on photonics and collection losses due to incomplete light refraction and reflection.

^{*}corresponding author; e-mail: edward.rowinski@us.edu.pl

The current of metal-semiconductor-metal solar cell as a function of the voltage can be expressed as follows [1, 4, 6-12]:

$$I(U) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(I_i \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{q[U - I(U)R_i^s]}{k_{\rm B}Tn_i}\right) - 1 \right\} + \frac{U - I(U)R_i^s}{R_s^{sh}} \right) - I_{sc}, \qquad (1)$$

$$I_i = A_i A_i^{**} T^2 \exp\left(\frac{-E_i^{SBH}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right),\tag{2}$$

$$I_{sc} = Q\{1 - [(1 - R)L + R]\}(1 - \exp(-\alpha d))$$

$$\times qn_{ph}E_g),\tag{3}$$

$$Q = Q_{\rm cell} + Q_{\rm col},\tag{4}$$

$$Q_{\rm col} = (1 + L/2) \frac{(1 - R)^2}{m};$$

$$L = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{n^2 - 1}}{n}; \quad R = \frac{n - 1}{n + 1},$$
(5)

where I(U) — the total current, U — voltage, I_{sc} — the short circuit current (photo-generated current), i the number of interfaces, I_i — the effective saturation current, e — the elementary charge, $k_{\rm B}$ — the Boltzmann constant, T — the absolute temperature, n_i — the ideality factor, R_i^{sh} — the shunt resistance, R_i^s — the series resistance, A_i — the area of detector, A_i^{**} — the Richardson constant, E_i^{SBH} — the Schottky barrier height, Q — the total photovoltaic efficiency, Q_{cell} the collection efficiency defined as the ratio of the carriers passing through the circuit to those which have been generated in the layer, $Q_{\rm col}$ — the efficiency of the collector through the two boundary planes, which is given by Eq.(5), R — the reflection coefficient, 1 - R — the fraction of light entering in the system, (1-R)L — the loss due to the fluorescence process, m — the number of parts containing an equal number of photons, n — the refractive index of semiconductor, α — the absorption constant, d — the thickness of the absorbing semiconductor layer, E_g — the band gap energy of the absorbing semiconductor, $n_{ph}(E_q)$ — the number of photons per second per unit area of a single junction whose energy is great enough to generate hole-electron pairs in the semiconductor layer.

4. Results and discussion

The aim of the research was to gain insight into the nature of the crystalline behavior of the solar cells by means of grazing incidence X-ray diffraction and X-ray diffraction. It has been found that the Cu₂O layers are polycrystalline with no traces of CuO (Fig. 1a). XRD lines correspond to Cu₂O phase and the polycrystalline Cu substrate (Fig. 1a). The particle size was estimated by using the well-known Scherrer equation [2, 3]. The crystallite sizes of Cu and Cu₂O were determined to be 49.2(3) nm and 69.8(6) nm, respectively. The last value of crystallite size of Cu₂O also denotes the *p*-type layer

conductivity [3, 13]. Figure 1b shows the uneven surface morphology of Cu_2O layer obtained from a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Figure 1c shows the backscatter Laue diffraction pattern from the crystalline (100) copper. This indicates that the Laue diffraction pattern is very symmetric, with sets of diffraction spots arranged in rings revolving around the center of the pattern. The roughness of the active layer of Cu_2O deposited on the Cu(100) substrate was evaluated with the use of atomic force microscopy (AFM), and an image of a typical scan is provided in Fig. 1d, which demonstrates a film with the roughness of 92.5 nm.



Fig. 1. Characteristics of Cu_2O layer deposited on the polycrystalline and crystalline copper substrates. (a) GIXD at 1° incidence angle of the Cu_2O layer and XRD patterns of the Cu_2O layer and the polycrystalline copper substrate (N is the intensity expressed in arbitrary units, arb.u.). (b) Micrograph of Cu_2O layer obtained from a scanning electron microscope. (c) The backscatter Laue diffraction pattern from the crystalline (100) copper substrates. (d) AFM image of Cu_2O deposited on the crystalline (100) copper substrate.

Figure 2a shows the photoemission spectrum of the $Cu_2O/Cu(100)$ interface. The spectrum has two peaks situated at $E_a \approx 2.03$ eV and $E_b \approx 2.17$ eV, and the broad four peaks around $E_c \approx 2.65$ eV, $E_d \approx 2.74$ eV, $E_e \approx 3.17$ eV and $E_f \approx 3.23$ eV, respectively. The result is in accordance with the theoretical peaks in the density of states of Cu_2O [13]. Figure 2b reveals that the blueshift behavior may be then used as an indicator of the absorption of phonons by some electrons transferred to the highest level. The anti-Stokes shift is about 0.16 eV. Figure 2c shows the calculated current-voltage result obtained from this model and the experimental currentvoltage characteristic for the Ag/Cu_2O -layer/Cu(100) solar cell. In this approach, the Schottky barrier heights of 1.1 eV for the Ag/Cu_2O interface and 1.4 eV for the Cu_2O/Cu interface were reported, which are acceptable when compared to the Schottky-Mott model [1]. It is indicated here that the U_{oc} depends linearly on the magni-



Fig. 2. Fluorescence emission spectrum and anti-Stokes emission process between the absorbed and emitted photons of the $Cu_2O/Cu(100)$ interface. Currentvoltage characteristics of the $Ag/Cu_2O/Cu(100)$ solar cell, open-circuit voltage as a function of Schottky barrier height of Ag/Cu₂O interface for the $Ag/Cu_2O/Cu(100)$ solar cell. (a) Fluorescence emission spectrum recorded for a typical Cu₂O/Cu interface. I^s is the fluorescence intensity expressed in arbitrary units. The peaks, designated with the energies from E_a to E_f , are due to emission of photons. (b) Fluorescence emission and excitation spectra of the Cu₂O/Cu interface. Energy difference between the positions of the band maxima of emission and excitation spectra is represented by the blue shift of ≈ 0.16 eV due to anti-Stokes process. (c) Experimental (dot line) and theoretical (solid line) currentvoltage characteristic of the $Ag/Cu_2O/Cu(100)$ solar cell using Eqs. (1)-(5). The parametric values of the centusing Eqs. (1)-(5). The parametric values of the model were found to be i = 1 (= Ag/Cu₂O), i = 2 (= Cu₂O/Cu), $A_{Ag/Cu_2O} = 10^{-6}$ m², $A_{Ag/Cu_2O}^{**} = 1.2 \times 10^{6}$ A m⁻² K⁻², $E_{Ag/Cu_2O}^{SBH} = 1.1$ eV, $k_BT = 0.026$ eV, $A_{Cu_2O/Cu}^{**} = 0.8 \times 10^{6}$, $E_{Cu_2O/Cu}^{SBH} = 1.4$ eV, $E_g = 2.1 \text{ eV}, n_{ph}(E_g) = 5 \times 10^{21} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}, n = 2.54,$ $R = 0.43, L = 0.08, m = 5, Q_{col} = 0.06, Q_{cell} = 0.22, Q = 0.28, \alpha = 13 \text{ cm}^{-1}, n_{Ag/Cu_2O} = 1.35,$ $n_{\rm Cu_2O/Cu} = 1, R_{\rm Ag/Cu_2O}^{sh} = 10 \text{ k}\Omega, R_{\rm Cu_2O/Cu}^{sh} = 44 \text{ k}\Omega,$ $R_{Ag/Cu_2O}^s = 50 \ \Omega$, and $R_{Cu_2O/Cu}^s = 100 \ \Omega$. (d) Open-circuit voltage as a linear function of Schottky barrier height of Ag/Cu₂O interface for Ag/Cu₂O/Cu solar cell. The coefficients of determination for the fitted data are much larger than 0.98.

tude of the Schottky barrier height (Fig. 2d). As a result of the fact that $n_{\rm Cu_2O/Cu} = 1$ the rectifying (homogenous) junction exists at the Cu₂O/Cu interface in the case of the back wall cells. In the case ($n_{\rm Ag/Cu_2O} = 1.35$) of the front wall cells the inhomogeneous (rectifierlike) junction exists at the interface between the silver and Cu₂O layers. Thus, theoretical result reproduces the experimental curve. The chosen values of solar cell parameters, listed in Table I, were obtained through the current-voltage measurement.

TABLE I

Solar cell parameters of two devices based on the same thicknesses of Cu₂O layers: (AA — Ag/Cu₂O(493 nm thickness)/Cu(0.5 mm thickness), BB — Ag/Cu₂O(493 nm thickness)/Cu(100)(0.5 mm thickness)). Relative errors less than 2%.

	U_{oc} [V]	$I_{Sc} ~[{ m A/cm}^2]$	FF	η [%]
AA	0.26	1.32	0.47	0.16
BB	0.71	9.26	0.42	2.71

The analysis of the values provided in Table I shows the increases of efficiency η to 0.16% and 2.71%, respectively. Thus, in this case the solar cell based on the crystalline copper is optimal.

5. Summary

In the course of this study it has been demonstrated that the $Ag/Cu_2O/Cu(100)$ solar cell based on the crystalline copper has better electrical parameters than the $Ag/Cu_2O/Cu$ solar cell based on the polycrystalline copper. However, the concept of blue-shift behavior leads to a fundamentally new way of thinking about the unexpected behavior and offers a possibility of more efficient photovoltaic conversion.

References

- [1] O. Breitenstein, Opto-Electron. Rev. 21, 259 (2013).
- [2] K. Fujimoto, T. Oku, T. Akiyama, A. Suzuki, J. Phys. Conf. Series 433, 012024 (2013).
- [3] S. Noda, H. Shima, H. Akinaga, J. Phys. Conf. Series 433, 012027 (2013).
- [4] J.J. Loferski, J. Appl. Phys. 27, 777 (1956).
- [5] E. Rówiński, Appl. Surf. Sci. 255, 5881 (2009).
- [6] Y.K. Lee, H. Lee, J.Y. Park, Sci. Rep. 4, 4580 (2014).
- [7] L.C. Olsen, F.W. Addis, W. Miller, Solar Cells 7, 247 (1982).
- [8] Y. An, A. Behnam, E.A. Pop, Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 013110 (2013).
- [9] J.A. Assimos, D. Trivich, J. Appl. Phys. 44, 1687 (1973).
- [10] A. Goetzberger, W. Greubel, Appl. Phys. 14, 123 (1977).
- [11] Y.P. Rakovich, J.F. Donegan, M.I. Vasilevskiy, A.L. Rogach, *Phys. Status Solidi A* 206, 2497 (2009).
- [12] R.T. Tung, Mater. Sci. Eng. R 35, 1 (2001).
- [13] M. Sieberer, J. Redinger, P. Mohn, *Phys. Rev. B* 75, 035203 (2007).