

You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: The Hahn–Banach theorem almost everywhere

Author: Roman Badora

Citation style: Badora Roman. (2016). The Hahn–Banach theorem almost everywhere. "Aequationes Mathematicae" (Vol. 90, no. 1 (2016), s. 173-179), doi 10.1007/s00010-015-0365-z



Uznanie autorstwa - Licencja ta pozwala na kopiowanie, zmienianie, rozprowadzanie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie pod warunkiem oznaczenia autorstwa.



UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI w katowicach Biblioteka Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego Aequat. Math. 90 (2016), 173–179 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com 0001-9054/16/010173-7 published online July 28, 2015 DOI 10.1007/s00010-015-0365-z

Aequationes Mathematicae



The Hahn–Banach theorem almost everywhere

Roman Badora

Dedicated to Professor Roman Ger on the occasion of his seventieth birthday

Abstract. The aim of this work is to present an almost everywhere version of the Hahn–Banach extension theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46A22; Secondary 43A07.

Keyword. Hahn-Banach extension property.

1. Introduction

In the year 1960 Erdös [3] raised the following problem: suppose that a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y),$$

for almost all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (in the sense of the planar Lebesque measure). Does there exists an additive function $a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ [i.e. a satisfies a(x + y) = a(x) + a(y), for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$] such that

$$f(x) = a(x)$$

almost everywhere in \mathbb{R} (in the sense of the linear Lebesque measure)? A positive answer to this question was given by de Bruijn [2] (and, independently, by Jurkat [9]). N. G. de Bruijn has put the Erdös problem into a more general setting.

Let (G, +) be a group. A non-empty family \mathcal{I} of subsets of G is called a proper linearly invariant ideal (briefly p.l.i. ideal) iff it satisfies the following conditions

(i) $G \notin \mathcal{I}$;

(ii) if $U \in \mathcal{I}$ and $V \subset U$, then $V \in \mathcal{I}$;

(iii) if $U, V \in \mathcal{I}$, then $U \cup V \in \mathcal{I}$;

(iv) if $U \in \mathcal{I}$ and $x \in G$, then $x - U \in \mathcal{I}$.

🕲 Birkhäuser

R. BADORA

For a p.l.i. ideal \mathcal{I} of subsets of a group G we say that a given condition is satisfied \mathcal{I} -almost everywhere in G (written \mathcal{I} -a.e.) iff there exists a set $Z \in \mathcal{I}$ such that this condition is satisfied for every $x \in G \setminus Z$.

The set belonging to the set ideal are regarded as, in a certain sense, small sets (see Kuczma [10]). For example, if G is a second category topological commutative group then the family of all first category subsets of G is a p.l.i. ideal. If G is a commutative locally compact topological group equipped with the Haar measure μ then the family of all subsets of G which have zero measure is a p.l.i. ideal. Moreover, if G is a normed space (dim $G \ge 1$) then the family of all bounded subsets of G is a p.l.i. ideal and also, if G is a commutative uncountable group then the family of all countable subsets of G is a p.l.i. ideal.

Let (G, +) be a commutative group. For a p.l.i. ideal \mathcal{I} we may define the following family of subsets of $G \times G$ (Ger [5,6]):

$$\Omega(\mathcal{I}) = \{ N \subset G \times G : N[x] \in \mathcal{I} \ \mathcal{I}\text{-}a.e. \text{ in } G \},\$$

where

$$N[x] = \{y \in G : (x, y) \in N\}$$

a subset N of $G \times G$ belongs to $\Omega(\mathcal{I})$ iff there exists a set $U \in \mathcal{I}$ such that

$$N[x] \in \mathcal{I}, \ x \in G \backslash U$$

(an abstract version of the Fubini theorem)]. The family $\Omega(\mathcal{I})$ is a p.l.i. ideal of subsets of $G \times G$.

The de Bruijn result can be formulated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. If (G, +) and (H, +) are commutative groups, \mathcal{I} is a p.l.i. ideal of subsets of G then for every $\Omega(\mathcal{I})$ -almost additive function $f: G \to H$, i.e.

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad \Omega(\mathcal{I})$$
-a.e. in $G \times G$,

there exists a unique homomorphism $a: G \to H$ such that

$$f(x) = a(x) \quad \mathcal{I}\text{-}a.e. \quad \text{in} \quad G.$$

Ger [7] generalized de Bruijn's theorem to the case of non-commutative groups. The notion of p.l.i. ideals and its properties and applications we can find in [10]. One of the most interesting applications is included in the paper of Ger [8] where the author combines the notions of approximately additive and almost additive mappings.

In this paper we proved the following version of the Hahn–Banach extension theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let (H, +) be a subgroup of a commutative group (G, +), let \mathcal{I} be a p.l.i. ideal of subsets of G and let $H \notin \mathcal{I}$. Assume that $p: G \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y) \quad \Omega(\mathcal{I}) \text{-}a.e. \text{ in } G \times G.$$
 (1.1)

Then for every additive function $a: H \to \mathbb{R}$ fulfilling

$$a(x) \le p(x)$$
 I-a.e. in *H* (1.2)

there exists an additive function $A: G \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A(x) = a(x) \quad \mathcal{I}\text{-}a.e. \quad \text{in} \quad H. \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$A(x) \le p(x) \quad \mathcal{I}\text{-}a.e. \quad \text{in} \quad G. \tag{1.4}$$

2. Proof of the theorem

Assume that \mathcal{I} is a p.l.i. ideal of subsets of a commutative group (G, +). For a real function f on G we define \mathcal{I}_f to be the family of all sets $Z \in \mathcal{I}$ such that f is bounded on the complement of Z. A real function f on G is called \mathcal{I} -essentially bounded if and only if the family \mathcal{I}_f is non-empty. The space of all \mathcal{I} -essentially bounded functions on G will be denoted by $B^{\mathcal{I}}(R, \mathbb{R})$.

For every element f of the space $B^{\mathcal{I}}(G,\mathbb{R})$ the real numbers

$$\mathcal{I}\operatorname{-essinf}_{x \in G} f(x) = \sup_{Z \in \mathcal{I}_f} \inf_{x \in G \setminus Z} f(x),$$

$$\mathcal{I}\operatorname{-esssup}_{x \in G} f(x) = \inf_{Z \in \mathcal{I}_f} \sup_{x \in G \setminus Z} f(x)$$

are correctly defined and are referred to as the \mathcal{I} -essential infimum and the \mathcal{I} -essential supremum of the function f, respectively.

From the Gajda theorem (Gajda [4], see also Badora [1]) we can derive the following.

Theorem 2.1. If \mathcal{I} is a p.l.i. ideal of subsets of a commutative group (G, +), then there exists a real linear functional $M^{\mathcal{I}}$ on the space $B^{\mathcal{I}}(G, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}\text{-}essinf_{x\in G}f(x) \le M^{\mathcal{I}}(f) \le \mathcal{I}\text{-}esssup_{x\in G}f(x)$$

$$(2.1)$$

and

$$M^{\mathcal{I}}(zf) = M^{\mathcal{I}}(f), \qquad (2.2)$$

for all $f \in B^{\mathcal{I}}(G, \mathbb{R})$ and all $z \in G$, where the function $_{z}f : G \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows

$$_{z}f(x) = f(z+x), \quad x \in G.$$

Now we prove our result.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Notice that if \mathcal{I} is a p.l.i. ideal of subsets of G, then the family

$$\mathcal{I} \cap H = \{Z \cap H : Z \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

is a p.l.i. ideal of subsets of H.

From condition (1.1) we infer the existence of the set $U_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that for every $x \in G \setminus U_1$ there exists a set $V_x \in \mathcal{I}$ such that

$$p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y), \quad g \in G \setminus V_x.$$
(2.3)

From (1.2) it follows that there exists a set $U_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that

$$a(x) \le p(x), \quad x \in H \setminus U_0.$$
 (2.4)

Let $U = U_1 \cup (-U_1)$. Next we choose arbitrary $x \in G \setminus U$. By (2.4) and (2.3) we get

$$a(z) \le p(z) = p(x - x + z) \le p(x) + p(-x + z),$$
 (2.5)

for all $z \in H \setminus (U \cup U_0 \cup (x + V_x))$. From (iv) with x = 0 we get $-V_x \in \mathcal{I}$. Using again (iv) we infer that $x + V_x \in \mathcal{I}$. Moreover $U \in \mathcal{I}$. Therefore (2.5) means that the function

$$H \ni z \mapsto a(z) - p(-x + z) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is $\mathcal I\text{-essentially bounded from above. So, we can define the function <math display="inline">\varphi:G\to\mathbb R$ as follows

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}\text{-esssup}_{z \in H}(a(z) - p(-x+z)), & x \in G \setminus U \\ 0, & x \in U. \end{cases}$$

Let $N=(U\times G)\cup (G\times U)\cup \{(x,y)\in G\times G: x+y\in U\}.$ For every $x\in G\backslash U$ we have

$$\begin{split} N[x] &= \emptyset \cup U \cup \{y \in G : x + y \in U\} \\ &= U \cup \{y \in G : y \in -x + U\} = U \cup (-x + U) \in \mathcal{I}. \end{split}$$

Consequently $N \in \Omega(\mathcal{I})$.

Let $(x, y) \in G \times G \setminus N$ be fixed. Then $x \notin U, y \notin U$ and $x + y \notin U$. For $z \in G \setminus ((y + V_{-x}) \cup (x + y + V_x))$, by (2.3), we have

$$p(-x-y+z) \le p(-x) + p(-y+z)$$

and

$$p(-y+z) = p(x - x - y + z) \le p(x) + p(-x - y + z)$$

which leads to the following

$$-p(-x) \le p(-y+z) - p(-x-y+z) \le p(x).$$

From this we get

$$\begin{split} \varphi(x+y) &= \mathcal{I}\text{-esssup}_{z \in H}(a(z) - p(-y-x+z)) \\ &= \mathcal{I}\text{-esssup}_{z \in H}(a(z) - p(-y+z) + p(-y+z) - p(-x-y+z)) \\ &\leq \varphi(y) + p(x) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \varphi(y) &= \mathcal{I}\text{-esssup}_{z \in H}(a(z) - p(-y+z)) \\ &= \mathcal{I}\text{-esssup}_{z \in H}(a(z) - p(-x-y+z) + p(-x-y+z) - p(-y+z)) \\ &\leq \varphi(x+y) + p(-x). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$-p(-x) \le \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(y) \le p(x), \quad (x,y) \in G \times G \setminus N.$$
(2.6)

The last inequalities imply that, for $x \in G \setminus U$, the function

$$G \backslash (U \cup (-x+U)) \ni y \mapsto \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(y) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is bounded which yields that the function

$$G \ni y \mapsto \varphi(x+y) - \varphi(y) \in \mathbb{R}$$

belongs to the space $B^{\mathcal{I}}(G, \mathbb{R})$.

A function $\alpha: G \to \mathbb{R}$ we define by the formula

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} M_y^{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(y)), \ x \in G \backslash U \\ 0, \qquad x \in U, \end{cases}$$

where $M^{\mathcal{I}}$ is a linear functional whose existence guarantees Theorem 2.1 and the subscript y indicates that the functional $M^{\mathcal{I}}$ is applied to a function of the variable y.

If we choose $(x, y) \in G \times G \setminus N$ then, by the linearity of $M^{\mathcal{I}}$ and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) + \alpha(y) &= M_z^{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi(x+z) - \varphi(z)) + M_z^{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi(y+z) - \varphi(z)) \\ &= M_z^{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi(x+y+z) - \varphi(y+z)) + M_z^{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi(y+z) - \varphi(z)) \\ &= M_z^{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi(x+y+z) - \varphi(z)) = \alpha(x+y). \end{aligned}$$

The function α is $\Omega(\mathcal{I})$ -almost additive and from Theorem 1.1 we obtain the existence of an additive function $A: G \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A(x) = \alpha(x) \quad \mathcal{I}\text{-}a.e. \quad \text{in} \quad G. \tag{2.7}$$

Next, let $x \in H \setminus U$ be fixed and let $y \in G \setminus (U \cup (-x + U) \cup N[x])$. Then

$$\begin{split} \varphi(x+y) &= \mathcal{I}\text{-esssup}_{z \in H}(a(z) - p(-y-x+z)) \\ &= \inf_{Z \in \mathcal{I}} \sup_{z \in H \setminus Z} \left(a(z) - p(-y-x+z) \right) \\ &= \inf_{Z \in \mathcal{I}} \sup_{z \in H \setminus (-x+Z)} \left(a(x+z) - p(-y+z) \right) \\ &= \inf_{Z \in \mathcal{I}} \sup_{z \in H \setminus (-x+Z)} \left(a(x) + a(z) - p(-y+z) \right) \\ &= a(x) + \varphi(y). \end{split}$$

Therefore, for $x \in H \setminus U$, using (2.1) we have

$$\alpha(x) = M_y^{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(y)) = M_y^{\mathcal{I}}(a(x)) = a(x),$$

which jointly with (2.7) gives (1.3). Finally, from the definition of α , (2.1), (2.6) and (2.7) we infer that condition (1.4) is satisfied which ends the proof.

3. Ending comments

Remark 3.1. Note that we can strengthen Theorem 1.2 assuming that the function a is \mathcal{I} -almost additive. Then we start the proof from Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.2. If, in Theorem 1.2, additionally we assume that the functional p is positively homogeneous then we can prove that the function A is linear.

Indeed, for a fixed $x \in G$ let us observe that condition (1.4) implies that

$$A(tx) \le p(tx) = tp(x), \quad t \in (0, +\infty),$$

which means that the real additive function

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto A(tx) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is bounded from above, for example, on the interval (0, 1). Whence this function is linear (continuous), i. e.

$$A(tx) = t \cdot c_x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some constant $c_x \in \mathbb{R}$. Putting t = 1 we get $c_x = A(x)$ and

$$A(tx) = tA(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence A is a linear map.

Remark 3.3. We will show that the assumption (iv) imposed on the family \mathcal{I} (symmetry with respect to zero) is essential in our theorem.

Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ and let $H = \mathbb{Z}$. The family \mathcal{I} of subsets of \mathbb{R} we define as follows: $A \in \mathcal{I}$ iff A is a countable subset of the interval $(c, +\infty)$, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then $H \notin \mathcal{I}$, the family \mathcal{I} satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) of the definition of a p.l.i. ideal [but the condition (iv) is not fulfilled].

Taking $p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ as p(x) = |x|, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ as a(x) = 2x, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that p satisfies (1.1) and a, p fulfill (1.2) [because $\mathbb{Z} \cap (0, +\infty) \in \mathcal{I}$].

If $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an additive function satisfying (1.4), then it is bounded from above on some interval (*p* is bounded from above on each bounded interval). Therefore *A* is a linear map. So, $A(x) = cx, x \in \mathbb{R}$, for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover $\mathbb{Z} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and if the function *A* fulfills (1.3), then A(x) = 2x, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which is impossible because *A*, *p* satisfy (1.4) and $(0, +\infty) \notin \mathcal{I}$. **Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Badora, R.: On an invariant mean for *I*-essentially bounded functions. Facta Univ. (Niš) Ser. Math. Inform. 6, 95–106 (1991)
- [2] de Bruijn, N.G.: On almost additive functions. Colloq. Math. 15, 65–77 (1966)
- [3] Erdös, P.: P 310. Colloq. Math. 7, 311 (1960)
- [4] Gajda, Z.: Invariant means and representations of semigroups in the theory of functional equations. In: Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, vol. 1273. Silesian University Press, Katowice (1992)
- [5] Ger, R.: On some functional equations with a restricted domain, I, II. Fund. Math. 89, 131–149 (1975)
- [6] Ger, R.: On some functional equations with a restricted domain, I, II. Fund. Math. 98, 249–272 (1978)
- [7] Ger, R.: Note on almost additive functions. Acqu. Math. 17, 173-76 (1978)
- [8] Ger, R.: Almost approximately additive mappings. General inequalities, 3 (Oberwolfach, 1981). In: Internat. Schriftenreihe Numer. Math., vol. 64, pp. 263–276. Birkhuser, Basel (1983)
- [9] Jurkat, W.B.: On Caucy's functional equation. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 16, 683-686 (1965)
- [10] Kuczma, M.: An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities. In: Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe and Silesian University, Warszawa (1985)

Roman Badora Institute of Mathematics University of Silesia Bankowa 14 40-007 Katowice Poland e-mail: robadora@math.us.edu.pl

Received: April 13, 2015 Revised: June 6, 2015